IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

USPLABS JACK3D, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	C.A. NO
	§	
MMRNV, LLC d/b/a MAX MUSCLE	§	
SPORTS NUTRITION, RENO, NEVADA	§	
and PHILIP J. TRACY,	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Plaintiff, USPlabs Jack3d, LLC, ("USPlabs") files this its original complaint against Defendants, MMRNV, LLC d/b/a Max Muscle Sports Nutrition, Reno, Nevada ("Max Muscle Reno"), and Philip J. Tracy ("Tracy") (collectively "Defendants"), and for cause of action would show the Court as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. USPlabs Jack3d, LLC, is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Wyoming. Its principal place of business is 10761 King William Drive, Dallas, Dallas County, Texas 75220.
- 2. MMRNV, LLC d/b/a Max Muscle Sports Nutrition, Reno, Nevada, is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Nevada, and is a non-resident of Texas. Its principal place of business is 1296 East Plumb Lane, Reno, Nevada 89502. MMRNV, LLC, may be served with process by serving the Citations Unit, Texas Secretary of State, P.O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711-2079, which the Secretary of State may serve through serving its registered agent for service, Laughlin Associates, Inc., 9120 Double Diamond

Parkway, Reno, Nevada 89521, as defendant has not designated or maintained a resident agent for service of process in Texas.

3. Philip J. Tracy is an individual who resides at 1296 East Plumb Lane, Reno, Nevada 89502, and is a nonresident of Texas. Philip J. Tracy may be served with process through the Texas Secretary of State, Citations Unit, P.O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711-2079 at his resident address.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff USPlabs and Defendants, and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(3) because Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

III. FACTS

- 6. Plaintiff USPlabs owns and licenses a dietary supplement named Jack3d (pronounced "Jacked"). One of the ingredients comprising Jack3d is 1,3-Dimethylamylamine, or DMAA.
- 7. Jack3d is widely marketed, distributed, and sold through dietary supplement stores and through internet web sales, including through www.usplabsdirect.com. Plaintiff USPlabs has a significant economic interest in the product Jack3d.

- 8. Defendant Tracy is the owner of Defendant Max Muscle Reno, which is a dietary supplement retail outlet that no longer sells Jack3d, but sells competing dietary supplement products.
- 9. On or about May 8, 2012, Defendant Tracy gave an interview to a Reno, Nevada, local television station, KRNV, through its news broadcast, News4. This news outlet also publishes its news reporting online via the worldwide web at www.Mynews4.com.
- 10. During the interview, Defendant Tracy, individually and as owner of Defendant Max Muscle Reno, made the following false and disparaging statements about the dietary supplement, Jack3d:
 - a. Jack3d is an amphetamine-like compound.
 - b. "It [Jack3d] speeds up your heart rate."
 - c. Some of the short term effects of DMAA include insomnia and heart palpitations where your heart actually stops beating.
 - d. If you take a product like Jack3d, you are causing damage to your body, and possibly death.
 - e. "It's [Jack3d] a derivative of rat poisoning and has a lot of side effects."

The statements quoted above are direct quotations from the article, and the other statements were not direct quotations but are directly attributed to Defendants in the article.

11. The above statements by Defendant Tracy, individually and on behalf of Defendant Max Muscle Reno, were broadcast by KRNV, through its news broadcast, News4, and published on its online news reporting via the worldwide web at www.Mynews4.com, where the article continues to be published online. In addition, the article has been republished on the web, including but not limited to websites such as www.vitaminpoints.com, and

www.aolnews.com. Furthermore, Defendants Max Muscle Reno and Tracy have republished the broadcast, as well as caused additional inflammatory and derogatory statements to be published, on Defendant Max Muscle Reno's website and Facebook page, which is open to the public at https://www.facebook.com/MaxMuscleFranchise. These and other republications have disseminated the statements at issue in the State of Texas and nationally.

- 12. The statements were made in the context of direct references to the "manufacturer" of Jack3d, and Plaintiff USPlabs is the only manufacturer of this product.
- 13. Plaintiff USPlabs has suffered economic loss attributable to Defendant's disparaging statements.

IV. BUSINESS DISPARAGEMENT

- 14. Incorporating all of the facts above, on or about May 8, 2012, Defendants knowingly made the false and disparaging statements listed above about Jack3d, a dietary supplement owned by and licensed through Plaintiff USPlabs.
- 15. Defendants published the false and disparaging statements listed above with malice, and caused these false statements to be republished by themselves, as well as by third parties with malice. Defendants acted with malice in that Defendant knew the statements in question were false when the statements were made, and/or acted with reckless disregard for whether the statements were true or not. Furthermore, Defendants acted with malice and with the intent to cause harm to Plaintiff's pecuniary interests and to advance Defendants' own pecuniary interests and individual interests.
- 16. Defendants had no justification or excuse for publishing or causing to be published the false and disparaging statements listed above.

17. Defendants' publishing and causing others to publish the false and disparaging statements listed above proximately caused economic loss and special damages to Plaintiff.

V. DEFAMATION, DEFAMATION PER SE, DEFAMATION BY IMPLICATION, AND/OR DEFAMATION BY INNUENDO

- 18. Incorporating all of the facts above, on or about May 8, 2012, Defendants knowingly made the false and disparaging statements listed above about Jack3d, a dietary supplement owned by and licensed through Plaintiff USPlabs.
- 19. Defendants published the false and disparaging statements listed above with malice, and caused these false statements to be republished by themselves, as well as by third parties, with malice. Defendants acted with malice in that Defendant knew the statements in question were false when the statements were made, and/or acted with reckless disregard for whether the statements were true or not. Furthermore, Defendants acted with malice and with the intent to cause harm to Plaintiff's pecuniary interests and to advance Defendants' own pecuniary interests and individual interests.
- 20. Defendants also negligently published the false and disparaging statements listed above, and negligently caused these false statements to be published by themselves, as well as by third parties, while knowing that the statements were not true and knowing that harm would befall Plaintiff by Defendants making such statements and having such false statements negligently distributed to the public.
- 21. Defendants' statements are defamation per se in that they impugn Plaintiff's honesty, integrity, and business reputation, and expose Plaintiff to public hatred and financial injury. Further, one of the statements falsely charge Plaintiff with selling the equivalent of rat poison for human consumption, which would be a crime.

- 22. In the alternative, Defendants' statements are defamation by implication because the statements convey a false and defamatory impression in the context of the article as a whole and by omitting material facts. In the further alternative, Defendants' statements are defamation by innuendo in that a reasonable person would consider the statements defamatory in light of extrinsic facts and circumstances.
- 23. Defendants had no justification or excuse for publishing or causing to be published the false and disparaging statements listed above.
- 24. Defendants' publishing and causing others to publish the false and disparaging statements listed above proximately caused economic loss to Plaintiff and injury to Plaintiff's business reputation.

VI. TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS

- 25. Incorporating all of the facts above, Defendants are business competitors of Plaintiff USPlabs. As stated in the published articles at issue, Defendant Max Muscle Reno no longer sells the dietary supplement Jack3d. Defendant Max Muscle Reno does, however, sell other dietary supplements that directly compete with Jack3d.
- 26. USPlabs has business relationships with current purchasers of the dietary supplement Jack3d. Jack3d continues to be marketed and sold through retail stores and online retailers, which are competitors of Defendants. As a franchise of a national chain of Max Muscle retail stores, Defendants are well aware of these business relationships.
- 27. Defendants made the false and disparaging statements listed above about Jack3d with the intent to harm Plaintiff USPlabs by interfering with Plaintiff's business relationships with current purchasers of Jack3d.

28. Defendants had no justification or excuse for publishing or causing to be published the false and disparaging statements listed above. Defendants' publishing and causing others to publish the false and disparaging statements listed above proximately caused economic loss to Plaintiff.

VII. TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE BUSINESS RELATIONS

- 29. Incorporating all of the facts above, Defendants are business competitors of Plaintiff USPlabs. As stated in the published articles at issue, Defendant Max Muscle Reno no longer sells the dietary supplement Jack3d. Defendant Max Muscle Reno does, however, sell other dietary supplements that directly compete with Jack3d.
- 30. USPlabs has prospective business relationships with potential purchasers of the dietary supplement Jack3d. Jack3d continues to be marketed and sold through retail stores and online retailers, which are competitors of Defendants. As a franchise of a national chain of Max Muscle retail stores, Defendants are well aware of these prospective business relationships.
- 31. Defendants made the false and disparaging statements listed above about Jack3d with the intent to harm Plaintiff USPlabs by interfering with Plaintiff's prospective business relationships with potential purchasers of Jack3d.
- 32. Defendants had no justification or excuse for publishing or causing to be published the false and disparaging statements listed above.
- 33. Defendants' publishing and causing others to publish the false and disparaging statements listed above proximately caused economic loss to Plaintiff.

VIII. JURY DEMAND

34. Plaintiff demands a jury trial and tenders the appropriate fee with this Complaint.

IX. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff USPlabs Jack3d, LLC, prays that Defendants, MMRNV, LLC d/b/a Max Muscle Sports Nutrition, Reno, Nevada, and Philip J. Tracy, be cited to appear and answer, and that upon trial, USPlabs be granted the following relief:

- a. The principal amount of \$3,000,000.00;
- b. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law;
- c. Reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees for prosecution and trial of this matter, and for any appeals; and
- d. All other relief to which USPlabs is entitled, at law or in equity, both general and special.

Respectfully submitted,

The Brewer Law Group, L.L.C.

/s/ Ruth Brewer

Ruth Brewer Texas Bar No. 24047346 8117 Preston Rd., Suite 300 Dallas, TX 75225 rbrewer@thebrewerlawgroup.com

Phone: (972) 904-1756 Fax: (972) 692-7737

Counsel for Plaintiff, USPlabs Jack3d, LLC

%J\$ 44 (TXND Rev. 2/10)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEB INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS MMRNV. LLC d/	b/a MAX MUSCLE SPOF	RTS NUTRION, RENO.	
USPLABS JACK	3D, LLC	NEVADA, and P			
(b) County of Residence of Pirst Listed Plaintiff DALLAS CO., TEXAS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant WASHOE CO., NEVADA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
		LAND	INVOLVED,		
8117 Preston Rd	r, Address, and Telephone Number) e Brewer Law Group, LLC I., Suite 300, Dallas, TX 75225	Attorneys (If Known)			
(972) 904-1756 H. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
O I U.S. Government Plainfill	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF I (I I Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place 29 4 17 4	
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State C	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In a		
		Citizen or Subject of a E Foreign Country	3 3 G 3 Fereign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place on "X" in One Box Only) TORIS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	- BARREN DANKRUPTCY	Management of the statutes of	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instantant ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Inforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Stedent Leans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Strits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Lend Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelaster ☐ 230 Rent Leane Æ Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INIURY 310 Abrylane Product Libbility	Company Comp	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 424 USC 157	Hoto State Reapportionment	
STI Original CI 2 R	fate Court Appellate Court	Reopened (spec		Appeal to District Judgo from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause: DEFAMATION AND BUSINESS DI			RAGING STATEMENTS	
VII, REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS PENDING OR CLO			DOCKET NUMBER_		
DATE May 23	, 2012 SIGNATURE OF AT	ITORNEY OF RECORD BLU	ver/Ans-		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	- 30ДОЛ.	MAG. JU	DGE-	