

1 MICHAEL MCSHANE (CA State Bar #127944)
2 JONAS P. MANN (CA State Bar #263314)
3 **AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP**
4 221 Main St., Suite 1460
5 San Francisco, CA 94105
6 Telephone: (415) 568-2555
7 Facsimile: (415) 568-2556
8 mmcshane@audetlaw.com
9 jmann@audetlaw.com

7 CHARLES J. LADUCA (to apply *Pro Hac Vice*)
8 MATTHEW E. MILLER (to apply *Pro Hac Vice*)
9 WILLIAM H. ANDERSON (to apply *Pro Hac Vice*)
10 **CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA LLP**
11 507 C St., N.E.
12 Washington, DC 20002
13 Telephone: (202) 789-3960
14 charlesl@cuneolaw.com
15 mmiller@cuneolaw.com
16 wanderson@cuneolaw.com

12 JON M. HERSKOWITZ (to apply *Pro Hac Vice*)
13 **BARON & HERSKOWITZ**
14 9100 S. Dadeland Blvd., Suite 1704
15 Miami, FL 33156
16 Telephone: (305) 670-0101
17 Facsimile: (305) 670-2393
18 jon@bhfloridalaw.com

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
17 (Additional Counsel Listed in Signature Block)

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

20 **PAUL ORSHAN and CHRISTOPHER**
21 **ENDARA, individually, and on behalf of**
22 **all others similarly situated,**

22 **Plaintiffs,**

23 **v.**

24 **APPLE INC.,**

25 **Defendant.**

Case No. _____

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT:

- (1) CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (§ 17200);
- (2) CALIFORNIA'S FALSE ADVERTISING LAW (§ 17500 *ET SEQ.*);
- (3) CALIFORNIA'S CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT (§ 1750 *ET SEQ.*)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

27
28

1 this matter is a class action in which certain class members are citizens of States other than
2 Defendant's state of citizenship.

3 5. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant resides in this District, and a
4 substantial part of the events alleged in this Complaint giving rise to Plaintiff's claims,
5 including the dissemination of the false and misleading advertising alleged herein, occurred in
6 and were directed from this District.

7 **THE PARTIES**

8 6. Plaintiff Paul Orshan is a resident of Miami, Florida. Plaintiff Christopher
9 Endara is a resident of Miami, Florida.

10 7. Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") is a corporation organized under the laws of the
11 State of California, and has its principal place of business in Cupertino, California.

12 **BACKGROUND**

13 8. Storage capacity in computing and telecommunications is typically measured in
14 a digital unit called a byte. A kilobyte, or "KB," is typically defined as one thousand, or 10^3 ,
15 bytes. A megabyte, or "MB," is typically defined as one million, or 10^6 , bytes. A gigabyte, or
16 "GB," is typically defined as one billion, or 10^9 , bytes. The foregoing definitions of KB, MB,
17 and GB are "decimal" definitions of the respective units, as recognized by the International
18 System of Quantities ("ISQ"). The ISQ is a measurement system jointly promulgated by the
19 International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") and the International Electrotechnical
20 Commission ("IEC").

21 9. An alternative to "decimal" units of storage are "binary"-based units. Instead of
22 being founded upon a base 10 system, it is predicated on a base 2 system. In the binary system
23 a kibibyte, or KiB, is 1024, or 2^{10} bytes. A mebibyte, or MiB is 1,048,576, or 2^{20} bytes. A
24 gibibyte, or GiB, is 1,073,741,824, or 2^{30} , bytes. Sometimes, the decimal terms, such as
25 megabyte or gigabyte, are used to describe quantities of bytes that would be more accurately
26 represented with binary units – for example, the term gigabyte is sometimes used, in practice, to
27 represent either 1,000,000,000 or 1,073,742,824 bytes. Some devices containing hard disk
28 drives (including the Devices at issue in this action) will, for example, graphically represent to

1 the user their total, and available, capacities using the term “GB,” but use that term to denote
2 1,073,742,824 bytes.

3 10. Defendant advertises the Devices using the decimal definition gigabyte, or GB.
4 Therefore the capacity of 8 GB Devices is advertised by Defendant as 8 billion bytes. The
5 storage capacity of 16 GB devices is advertised as 16 billion bytes.

6 11. In reality, nothing close to the advertised capacity of the Devices is available to
7 end users. Indeed, the discrepancy between advertised and available capacity is substantial and
8 beyond any possible reasonable expectation. For the Devices, the shortfall ranges from 18.1-
9 23.1%.

10 12. As noted above, although Defendant advertised based upon the decimal-based
11 system of measurement, upon information and belief, the Devices display available capacity
12 based upon the binary definitions. This is confusing even to the technically savvy because it
13 prevents consumers from making the proverbial “apples to apples” comparison. Exacerbating
14 this confusion is the fact that rather than using the GiB representation, as suggested by the ISQ,
15 the graphic interface used on the Devices uses the abbreviation GB, even though it is apparently
16 referring to gibibytes and not gigabytes.

17 13. Once one converts the available capacity of the Devices from gibibytes back to
18 gigabytes—a calculation few consumers are likely to make or understand—the capacity
19 available is materially less than what is represented in Defendant’s advertising. Further, it
20 appears that Defendant segregates the storage space of the Devices into a media partition and a
21 root partition. The media partition is the portion of the Device’s storage that is available to the
22 consumer. Control of the root partition rests exclusively with Apple and consumers have no
23 ability to reduce the portion of the storage apportioned to Apple. It is important to note that the
24 root partition is larger than it needs to be and viable storage capacity on the root partition side
25 can remain unused even as the media partition becomes full and a consumer is instructed to
26 purchase iCloud space from Apple. Further, several users have reported that, if a consumer
27
28

1 “jailbreaks¹” a Device, the root partition can be reduced in size to accommodate a greater
2 storage allocation to the consumer.

3 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

4 14. Apple is in the business of, *inter alia*, manufacturing and marketing its line of
5 “iPhone” cellular telephones, with the first model released on or about released on June 29,
6 2007. Apple currently markets and sells the iPhone 6 and 6+ introduced on or about September
7 9, 2014. Predecessor models include the iPhone 5s and 5c introduced on or about September
8 10, 2013, and the iPhone 4s introduced on or about October 10, 2011. Apple also manufactures
9 and markets a line of “iPad” tablet devices, first introduced on April 3, 2010. Apple also
10 manufactures and markets a line of “iPod” audio players, first introduced on October 23, 2001.
11 As noted above, 8 GB and 16 GB versions of the iPhones, iPods and iPads are collectively
12 referred to herein as “the Devices.”

13 15. Apple represents in its advertising that the iPhone 6 and 6+ are available with a
14 hard drive capacity of 16 GB. Apple made similar representations with respect to earlier
15 models of the iPhone, albeit with respect to lesser storage capacities of 8 GB, as well. Apple
16 also makes, and has made at all times during the relevant time period, representations
17 concerning the storage capacities of its 8 GB and 16 GB iPads and iPods.

18 16. In February, 2014, Plaintiff Orshan purchased two iPhone 5s’ represented by
19 Apple to have 16 gigabytes (“16GB”) of purported storage capacity from the AT&T Store
20 located in Coral Gables, Florida. Orshan purchased the devices on a payment plan of \$32.50
21 per month. Orshan purchased devices primarily for personal, family or household use. The
22 iPhones were purchased with iOS7 and recently upgraded to iOS 8.

23 17. In November, 2012 Plaintiff Orshan also purchased two iPads represented by
24 Apple to have 16 gigabytes (“16GB”) of purported storage capacity at the Apple Store in the
25

26
27 ¹ The term “jailbreak” is used to describe the modification of a Device to remove some, or all,
28 controls or limitations set by the manufacturer, and may include substitution of the operating
system. Jailbreaking a Device typically voids the manufacturer’s warranty, and is an option
pursued only by the most technically sophisticated and/or adventurous users.

1 Dadeland Mall. Orshan paid \$639.86 for the devices. The iPads were subsequently upgraded to
2 iOS 8.

3 18. Plaintiff Orshan purchased his iPhones and iPads in reliance on Defendant's
4 claims, on its website, advertisements, product packaging, and other promotional materials, that
5 the devices came equipped with 16 GB of storage space. Plaintiff upgraded to iOS 8 with the
6 belief that the upgrade would not substantially inhibit his available storage capacity. Defendant
7 did not disclose in conjunction with upgrades to iOS 8 the additional storage capacity that
8 would be consumed by the upgrade.

9 19. In December, 2014, Plaintiff Endara purchased an iPhone 6 represented by
10 Apple to have 16 gigabytes ("16GB") of purported storage capacity from the AT&T store
11 located in Miami, Florida. Endara purchased the device on a payment plan of approximately
12 \$27 per month. Endara purchased the device primarily for personal use. The iPhone was
13 purchased with iOS8 pre-installed.

14 20. Plaintiff Endara purchased his iPhone in reliance on Defendant's claims, on its
15 website, advertisements, product packaging, and other promotional materials, that his iPhone 6
16 came equipped with 16 GB of storage space.

17 21. Defendant employs false, deceptive and misleading practices in connection with
18 marketing, selling; and distributing the Devices. For example, in its advertising, marketing, and
19 promotional materials, including Apple's Internet website, product packaging, and product
20 displays, Defendant presently misrepresents the iPhone 6 as having 16 GB of storage capacity.

21 22. Defendant knows, but conceals and fails to disclose in its advertising, marketing
22 or promotional materials, that the operating system and other pre-installed software consumes a
23 substantial portion of the represented storage capacity of each of the Devices. The represented
24 capacity, is not, therefore, storage space that the consumer can actually use to store files after
25 purchase. Thus, for a consumer who purchases a "16 GB" iPhone, iPad, or iPod with iOS 8 pre-
26 installed, or who upgrades to iOS 8, as much as 23.1% of the represented storage capacity is
27 inaccessible and unusable.

28

23. The following table depicts the discrepancy between represented storage capacity, and storage capacity actually available to purchasers, on certain iPhones and iPads (with iOS 8 installed) that were recently examined by Plaintiffs' counsel:

Device	Represented Capacity	Capacity Available to User		Capacity Unavailable to User	
		(GB)	(GiB)	(GB)	(%)
iPhone 6+	16	11.8	12.7	3.3	20.6%
iPhone 6	16	12.1	13.0	3.0	18.8%
iPhone 5s	16	12.2	13.1	2.9	18.1%
iPad Air	16	11.7	12.6	3.4	21.3%
iPad	16	11.7	12.6	3.4	21.3%
iPod	16	11.5	12.3	3.7	23.1%

24. The foregoing actual capacities are further confirmed by reports from several purchasers and bloggers reported on various websites. For example, a purchaser complained that his new iPhone 4 with a represented capacity of 8 GB had only 6.37 GB of storage. An Apple representative conceded that “that is normal” and suggested that, if the user did “not like it,” to “take it back.” See <https://discussions.apple.com/thread/3558683>. A blogger, similarly, reported that a “16 GB” iPad only affords 13 GB of usable storage, and noted that “selling a 16 GB iPad that really only has 13 GB available (after iOS is installed) – is deceptive.” See <http://www.mcelhearn.com/apples-ios-apps-are-bloated-and-how-many-gigs-do-you-get-on-a-16-gb-ios-device/> See also David Price, “What's an iPhone or iPad's true storage capacity?” (April 10, 2014), <http://www.macworld.co.uk/feature/ipad/whats-iphone-or-ipads-true-storage-capacity-3511773/> (“a 16GB iPhone 5s offers 12.2GB of true capacity, and a 16GB iPhone 5c allows 12.6GB,” apparently using the binary definition of gigabyte). See also <http://www.imore.com/16gb-vs-64gb-vs-128gb-which-iphone-6-and-iphone-6-plus-storage-size-should-you-get> (“out of 16 GB of storage you get only 12~13”).

1 25. Apple’s misrepresentations and omissions are deceptive and misleading because
2 they omit material facts that an average consumer would consider in deciding whether to
3 purchase its products, namely, that when using iOS 8, as much as 3.7 GB of the represented
4 storage capacity on a device represented to have 16 GB of storage capacity is, in fact, not
5 available to the purchaser for storage. For example, Apple misrepresents that an iPhone 6+ with
6 the base level of storage has 16 GB of storage space while concealing, omitting and failing to
7 disclose that, on models with iOS 8 pre-installed, in excess 20% of that space is not available
8 storage space that the purchaser can access and use to store his or her own files.

9 26. In addition to making material misrepresentations and omissions to prospective
10 purchasers of Devices with iOS 8 pre-installed, Apple also makes misrepresentations and
11 omissions to owners of Devices with predecessor operating systems. These misrepresentations
12 and omissions cause these consumers to “upgrade” their Devices from iOS 7 (or other operating
13 systems) to iOS 8. Apple fails to disclose that upgrading from iOS 7 to iOS 8 will cost a Device
14 user between 600 MB and 1.3 GB of storage space – a result that no consumer could reasonably
15 anticipate. This is confirmed by our own comparison of devices with iOS 7 and iOS8
16 installations, and reports by others. *See* “iOS 8, thoroughly reviewed” (September 19, 2014),
17 available online at <http://arstechnica.com/apple/2014/09/ios-8-thoroughly-reviewed/2/#install>

18 27. Rather ironically, Apple touts iOS 8 as “The biggest iOS release ever.” Of
19 course, Apple is *not* referring to the literal size of iOS 8, which appears to be entirely
20 undisclosed in its voluminous marketing materials extolling the purported virtues of iOS 8.

21 28. At present, Apple does not enable users who have upgraded to iOS 8 to revert
22 back to iOS 7 or another operating system. *See* “How to downgrade from iOS 8 to iOS 7:
23 Apple stops signing iOS 7.1.2, and blocks iOS downgrades (Sept. 29, 2014), available online at
24 [http://www.macworld.co.uk/how-to/iosapps/how-downgrade-from-ios-8-ios-7-reinstall-ios-8-](http://www.macworld.co.uk/how-to/iosapps/how-downgrade-from-ios-8-ios-7-reinstall-ios-8-3522302/)
25 [3522302/](http://www.macworld.co.uk/how-to/iosapps/how-downgrade-from-ios-8-ios-7-reinstall-ios-8-3522302/); “There’s no turning back from iOS 8 if you upgrade from iOS 7.1.2” (Sept. 26,
26 2014), available online at <http://bgr.com/2014/09/26/downgrade-from-ios-8-to-ios-7-1-2/>).

27 29. The most popular storage option, for each of the Devices, is presently, and has
28 been at all times, the base level of storage, currently represented to be 8 or 16 GB depending on

1 the Device. At least a plurality (and perhaps a majority) of purchasers make the determination
2 that the storage afforded by the base model, which is priced lower than models with higher
3 storage capacity, will be sufficient for their purposes, based on Apple's representations as to the
4 Devices' storage capacities. The shortfall in actual storage capacity is most acute, and most
5 material, on the base models, as the unexpected shortfall in storage will cause some purchasers
6 to exhaust the Devices' storage capacities, and/or to do so earlier than expected.

7 30. Apple exploits the discrepancy between represented and available capacity for its
8 own gain by offering to sell, and by selling, cloud storage capacity to purchasers whose internal
9 storage capacity is at or near exhaustion. In fact, when the internal hard drive approaches "full,"
10 a pop up ad opens up offering the purchaser the opportunity to purchase "iCloud" cloud storage.
11 For this service, Apple charges prices ranging from \$0.99 to \$29.99 *per month*. It does not
12 appear that Apple permits users of its devices to access cloud storage from other vendors, nor
13 do any of the Devices (unlike certain competitors' smartphones, including most phones using
14 the Android operating system) permit the user to insert SD cards or other supplemental, non-
15 Apple, storage units. Apple also does not permit users to freely transfer files between the
16 Devices and a (notebook or desktop) PC using a "file manager" utility – an option available to
17 most users of Android or Windows-based portable devices.

18 31. Plaintiff Orshan purchased a 16 GB iPhone 5s on or about February 2014 with (a
19 version of) iOS 7 pre-installed. On or about October 2014, Plaintiff upgraded the operating
20 system on his iPhone 5s to iOS 8 in reliance on Apple's misrepresentations and omissions.

21 32. Plaintiff Endara purchased a 16 GB iPhone on or about December 2014 with
22 iOS8 pre-installed.

23 33. Plaintiffs hereby bring this class action seeking redress for Defendant's unfair
24 business practices, false or deceptive or misleading advertising, and violations of the Consumers
25 Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA").

26 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27 34. This action may properly be maintained as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.
28 P. 23.

1 35. Plaintiffs bring this action as a class action on behalf of themselves and the
2 following classes (“the Classes”): (1) (a) an “iOS 8 Purchaser Class” consisting of all persons
3 or entities in the United States who purchased an iPhone, iPod or iPad with represented storage
4 capacity of 16 GB or less with iOS 8 pre-installed for purposes other than resale or distribution,
5 and (b) an “iOS 8 Purchaser CLRA Subclass” consisting of all persons in the United States who
6 purchased an iPhone, iPod or iPad with represented storage capacity of 16 GB or less with iOS
7 8 pre-installed for personal, family or household use within the four years preceding the filing
8 of this Complaint, (2)(a) an “Upgrade Class” consisting of all persons or entities in the United
9 States who upgraded an iPhone, iPod or iPad with represented storage capacity of 16 GB or less
10 to iOS 8, and (b) an “Upgrade CLRA Subclass” consisting of all persons or entities in the
11 United States who upgraded an iPhone, iPod or iPad used for personal, family or household use
12 with represented storage capacity of 16 GB or less to iOS 8,

13 36. Excluded from the Classes are the Defendant, and all officers, directors,
14 employees, or agents of the Defendant.

15 37. The members of the Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members would
16 be impracticable. Plaintiffs do not know the exact size or identities of the proposed Classes,
17 since such information is in the exclusive control of Defendant. Plaintiffs, however, believe
18 that the Classes encompass at least tens of thousands of individuals.

19 38. There are common questions of law or fact, among others, including

- 20 a. The nature, scope and operations of the wrongful practices of Apple;
- 21 b. Whether Defendant's advertising, marketing, product packaging, and other
22 promotional materials were untrue, misleading, or reasonably likely to deceive;
- 23 c. Whether Defendant knew that its representations and/or omissions regarding the
24 Devices' storage capacity were false or misleading, but continued to make them.
- 25 d. Whether Defendant's failure to disclose the amount of storage space consumed
26 by its operating system and other pre-installed software was a material fact;

- 1 e. Whether, by the misconduct as set forth in this Complaint, Apple
- 2 engaged in unfair or unlawful business practices, pursuant to California
- 3 Business and Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*;
- 4 f. Whether Defendant’s conduct violated the California Consumer Legal Remedies
- 5 Act;
- 6 g. Whether Defendant’s conduct violated the California Business and Professions
- 7 Code § 17500, *et seq.*;
- 8 h. Whether, as a result of Apple’s misconduct as set forth in this Complaint,
- 9 Plaintiffs and the Classes are entitled to damages, restitution, equitable
- 10 relief and other relief, and the amount and nature of such relief; and
- 11 i. Whether Apple has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class,
- 12 making injunctive relief appropriate.

13 39. Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the members of the Classes because Plaintiffs and
14 all members of the Classes were injured by the same wrongful practices of Apple as described
15 in this Complaint. Plaintiff’s claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that
16 gives rise to the claims of the Classes members, and are based on the same legal theories.
17 Plaintiffs have no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those of the Classes he seeks
18 to represent.

19 40. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the members of the
20 Classes. Plaintiff’s interests are the same as, and not in conflict with, the other members of the
21 Classes. Plaintiff’s counsel is experienced in class action and complex litigation.

22 41. Questions of law or fact common to the members of the Classes predominate and
23 a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this
24 lawsuit, because individual litigation of the claims of all members of the Classes is
25 economically unfeasible and procedurally impracticable. While the aggregate damages
26 sustained by Classes members are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the individual damages
27 incurred by each Class member resulting from Apple’ wrongful conduct are, as a general
28 matter, too small to warrant the expense of individual suits. The likelihood of individual

1 members of the Classes prosecuting separate claims is remote and, even if every Class member
2 could afford individual litigation, the court system would be unduly burdened by individual
3 litigation of such cases. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying,
4 inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties
5 and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. Plaintiffs know
6 of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its
7 maintenance as a class action and certification of the Classes is proper.

8 42. Relief concerning Plaintiff’s rights under the laws herein alleged and with
9 respect to the Classes would be proper on the additional ground that Apple has acted or refused
10 to act on grounds generally applicable to the Classes, thereby making appropriate final
11 injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with regard to members of each Class as a
12 whole.

13 **COUNT I**

14 **California Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”), Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.**

15 43. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth above as if fully contained
16 herein.

17 44. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action individually and on behalf of the Class.

18 45. Defendant has violated California Business and Professions Code § 17200 by
19 engaging in unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business acts or practices as described in this
20 Complaint, including but not limited to, disseminating or causing to be disseminated from the
21 State of California, unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising as set forth above in this
22 Complaint.

23 46. Defendant's practices are likely to deceive, and have deceived, members of the
24 public.

25 47. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its misrepresentations, omissions,
26 failure to disclosure and/or partial disclosures omit material facts and are likely to deceive a
27 reasonable consumer.

28

1 failure to disclose the storage space consumed by iOS 8 (both to prospective purchasers of
2 Devices with iOS 8 pre-installed and to prospective upgraders) was a material omission.

3 56. When Defendant disseminated the advertising described herein, it knew, or by
4 the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that the statements concerning iOS 8 and
5 the storage capacity of its Devices were untrue or misleading, or omitted to state the truth about
6 the Devices' storage capacity, in violation of the False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
7 § 17500, *et seq.*

8 57. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs and members of the
9 Class were exposed to these misrepresentations, omissions and partial disclosures, purchased
10 the Devices in reliance on these misrepresentations, omissions and partial disclosures, and
11 suffered monetary loss as a result. They would not have purchased the Devices, or would have
12 paid significantly less for them, and/or would not have upgraded their Devices to iOS 8, had
13 they known the truth regarding the actual storage capacities of the Devices when equipped with
14 iOS 8.

15 58. Defendant made such misrepresentations despite the fact that it knew or should
16 have known that the statements were false, misleading, and/or deceptive.

17 59. There were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's legitimate
18 business interests, other than the conduct described herein.

19 60. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 17203 and 17535, Plaintiffs and
20 the members of the Class seek an order of this Court enjoining Defendant from continuing to
21 engage, use, or employ the above-described practices in advertising the sale of the Devices and
22 promoting iOS 8.

23 61. Likewise, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring Defendant to make full corrective
24 disclosures to correct its prior misrepresentations, omissions, failures to disclose, and partial
25 disclosures.

26 62. On information and belief, Defendant has failed and refused, and in the future
27 will fail and refuse, to cease its deceptive advertising practices, and will continue to do those
28

1 acts unless this Court orders Defendant to cease and desist pursuant to California Business and
2 Professions Code § 17535.

3 63. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the Class, seek restitution, disgorgement,
4 injunctive relief, and all other relief allowable under § 17500, *et seq.*

5 **COUNT III**

6 **California Consumer Legal Remedies Act (“CLRA”), Cal. Civil Code § 1750, et seq.**

7 64. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations set forth above as if fully contained
8 herein.

9 65. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action individually and on behalf of the Purchaser
10 and Upgrader CLRA Subclasses.

11 66. The acts and practices described in this Complaint were intended to result in the
12 sale of goods, specifically a cellular phone, in a consumer transaction.

13 67. The Defendant's acts and practices violated, and continue to violate, the
14 Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA") in at least the following respects:

15 a. Defendant violated California Civil Code § 1770(a)(5) by representing
16 that Devices and iOS 8 had characteristics, uses, and benefits that they did not
17 have, including representations that they had specific storage capacities when
18 that is not, in fact, the case.

19 b. Defendant violated California Civil Code § 1770(a)(9) by advertising the
20 Devices as having specific storage capacities with the intent not to sell them as
21 advertised.

22 68. At this time, Plaintiffs disclaim any claim for damages under the CLRA but,
23 pursuant to California Civil Code § 1780, seeks an order of this Court enjoining Defendant from
24 continuing to engage, use, or employ any act prohibited by California Civil Code § 1770 *et seq.*

25 69. Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to amend this Complaint to seek damages.

26 **Prayer for Relief**

27 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray:

28

- 1 a. That this matter be certified as a class action with the Class defined as set forth
- 2 above pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and that the Plaintiffs be appointed Class
- 3 Representatives, and their attorneys be appointed Class Counsel.
- 4 b. That the Court enter an order requiring Defendant to immediately cease the
- 5 wrongful conduct as set forth above; enjoining Defendant from continuing to conduct business
- 6 via the unlawful and unfair business acts and practices complained of herein; and ordering
- 7 Defendant to engage in a corrective notice campaign;
- 8 c. That judgment be entered against Defendant for restitution, including
- 9 disgorgement of profits received by Defendant as a result of said purchases, cost of suit, and
- 10 attorneys' fees, and injunction; and
- 11 d. For such other equitable relief and pre- and post-judgment interest as the Court
- 12 may deem just and proper.

Jury Demand

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

16 Dated: December 30, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jonas P. Mann

MICHAEL MCSHANE (SBN 127944)

JONAS P. MANN (SBN 263314)

AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP

221 Main Street, Suite 1460

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (415) 568-2555

Facsimile: (415) 576-1776

mcmshane@audetlaw.com

jmann@audetlaw.com

CHARLES J. LADUCA

MATTHEW E. MILLER

WILLIAM H. ANDERSON

CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA LLP

507 C Street, NE

Washington, DC 20002

Telephone: (202) 789-3960

Facsimile: (202) 789-1813

charlesl@cuneolaw.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

mmiller@cuneolaw.com
wanderson@cuneolaw.com

JON M. HERSKOWITZ
BARON & HERSKOWITZ
9100 S. Dadeland Blvd.
Suite 1704
Miami, Fl. 33156
Telephone (305) 670-0101
Facsimile. (305) 670-2393
jon@bhfloridalaw.com

ROBERT SHELQUIST
LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN PLLP
Suite 2200
100 Washington Avenue S
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Telephone: (612) 339-6900
Facsimile: (612) 339-0981
rkshelquist@locklaw.com

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

DECLARATION OF VENUE

I, Paul Orshan, declare as follows:

1. I am a Plaintiff in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

2. My Complaint filed in this matter contains causes of action for violation of the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act against Defendants.

3. The Complaint filed in this action is filed in the proper place for trial under California Civil Code Section 1780(c) in that Defendants maintain their principal place of business in Santa Clara County, which is within the Northern District of California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing declaration is true and correct, and was executed by me at Miami, Florida on December 29, 2014.



Paul Orshan

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Paul Orshan and Christopher Endara, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami-Dade County, FL (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Audet & Partners, LLP
221 Main Street, Suite 1460
San Francisco, CA 94105 / (415) 568-2555

DEFENDANTS

Apple Inc.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Santa Clara, CA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State
Citizen of Another State
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country
PTF DEF
1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State
2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State
3 3 Foreign Nation
4 4
5 5
6 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Table with 5 columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal categories like Insurance, Motor Vehicle, Personal Injury, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District
6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. Section 1332(d)
Brief description of cause:
Consumer fraud class action

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

12/30/2014 Jonas P. Mann

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil L.R. 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only) SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND SAN JOSE EUREKA

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.