

2. This class action arises out of Lumber Liquidators' scheme to import into the United States, and to falsely warrant, advertise, and sell Chinese Flooring that fails to comply with relevant and applicable formaldehyde standards as well as its breaches of express and implied warranties with respect to these products.

3. Lumber Liquidators manufactures, sells, and distributes Chinese Flooring which emits and off-gasses excessive levels of formaldehyde, which is categorized as a known human carcinogen by the United States National Toxicology Program and the International Agency for Research on Cancer.

4. Further, contrary to Lumber Liquidators' repeated, detailed representations on its product labels, website, and elsewhere that its flooring complies with strict formaldehyde standards, the toxic formaldehyde emissions from the Company's Chinese Flooring is multiple times the maximum permissible limits set by those standards at the time of purchase.

5. Lumber Liquidators' illegal behavior with respect to its manufacturing, marketing, and sale of Chinese Flooring has caused Plaintiffs and the other Class members to suffer direct financial harm. Plaintiffs' purchases of a product that fails to comply with the plain warranties, are markedly less valuable because of the Chinese Flooring's elevated level of formaldehyde.

6. Plaintiffs assert claims individually and on behalf of the other members of the proposed Class.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff, David Cross, is and at all times material to this action has been a United States citizen and a resident of Bullitt County, Kentucky.

8. Plaintiff, Shela Cross, is and at all times material to this action has been a United States citizen and a resident of Bullitt County, Kentucky.

9. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators, Inc., is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, 23168. Its registered agent in Kentucky is CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 421 West Main Street, Frankfort, Kentucky, 40601.

10. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC, is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, 23168. Such Defendant transacts business within the Commonwealth of Kentucky and has thereby appointed the Kentucky Secretary of State as the resident agent for service of process.

11. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators Holding, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, 23618. Such Defendant transacts business within the Commonwealth of Kentucky and has thereby appointed the Kentucky Secretary of State as the resident agent for service of process.

12. Defendant, Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC, is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation with its principal place of business at 3000 John Deere Road, Toano, Virginia, 23168. Such Defendant transacts business within the Commonwealth of Kentucky and has thereby appointed the Kentucky Secretary of State as the resident agent for service of process.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) (diversity jurisdiction) and the Class Action Fairness Act, in that (i) there is complete diversity (Plaintiffs are citizens of Kentucky and Defendants are domiciled and incorporated in a state other than Kentucky), (ii) the amount in controversy exceeds

\$5,000,000.00 (Five Million Dollars), exclusive of interests and costs, and (iii) there are 100 or more members of the proposed Plaintiffs' class.

14. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Lumber Liquidators does business and/or transacts business in this Judicial District, and therefore, is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District and resides here for venue purposes.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15. Lumber Liquidators have manufactured, labeled and sold, during the Class Period, the toxic Chinese Flooring advertised as being compliant with "CARB regulations in the State of California." CARB is an acronym for the California Air Resources Board, an entity which has promulgated safety standards for the emission of formaldehyde for products sold in California.

16. Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring is not what it purports to be. The Chinese Flooring contains a dangerous level of formaldehyde gas which exceeds the "CARB regulations in the State of California" and the standards promulgated in the Toxic Substances Contract Act, 15 U.S.C. 2601 et. seq. (Title VI – Formaldehyde Standards of Composite Wood Products) and which is hazardous to human health.

17. Formaldehyde gas can cause cancer, asthma, chronic respiratory irritation and other ailments including skin and breathing problems. The risk of these health problems is significantly greater for children.

18. Formaldehyde is the sort of toxic substance to which people may be exposed without knowing they are at risk. Day after day, week after week, month after month, Plaintiffs live in their home, an enclosed place, where their flooring is emitting toxic, cancer-causing fumes.

19. As such, the Chinese Flooring Lumber Liquidators sold to Plaintiffs and other customers poses great health risks.

FACTS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFFS

20. Plaintiffs purchased the Chinese Flooring on December 28, 2013, and subsequently installed it in their home shortly thereafter.

21. Unknown to Plaintiffs, the Chinese Flooring was defective in that it had high levels of formaldehyde emissions and other failures as described.

22. The characteristics of the Chinese Flooring defect, the formaldehyde emissions, were present when the Chinese Flooring was sold and delivered by Lumber Liquidators to Plaintiffs, and were part of the design and manufacturing of the Chinese Flooring.

23. Plaintiffs have suffered damages to themselves and their family.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

24. Upon information and belief, Lumber Liquidators has sold, directly or indirectly (through dealers and other retail outlets), tens of thousands of square feet of Chinese Flooring in Kentucky and the Class States.

25. Lumber Liquidators sells its Chinese Flooring through third-party sellers or through its directly-owned showrooms.

26. At the time of sale, Lumber Liquidators warranted that its Chinese Flooring was fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods were used and were free from defects in materials and workmanship.

27. Lumber Liquidators represented and warranted that its Chinese Flooring conformed to the applicable Kentucky building codes and applicable CARB standards.

28. These representations, described herein, became part of the basis of the bargain when Plaintiffs and Class members, and/or their builders purchased the Chinese Flooring, and/or assumed the warranty.

29. In addition, these representations became part of the basis of the bargain when Plaintiffs and/or Class members purchased the product with Lumber Liquidators' express representations concerning the quality of the Chinese Flooring.

30. Plaintiffs and Class members relied on Lumber Liquidators' warranty, published specifications and/or advertisements regarding the quality of the Chinese Flooring.

31. However, the Chinese Flooring does not conform to these express representations and warranties, and, as alleged herein, Lumber Liquidators breached its express warranties and representations concerning this flooring.

32. The Chinese Flooring suffers from various design deficiencies which further discovery will establish in detail, including excessive formaldehyde levels.

33. Because the Chinese Flooring emits excessive formaldehyde levels, Lumber Liquidators violates Kentucky building codes and industry standards, including the applicable Building Codes and CARB standards as well as Lumber Liquidators' express representations and warranties.

34. The defects and deficiencies are due to fundamental design, engineering, and manufacturing errors well within Lumber Liquidators' area of expertise and control.

35. In addition to the express representations and warranties regarding the quality of the flooring discussed herein, Lumber Liquidators also ships a Limited Warranty with its Chinese Flooring.

36. Lumber Liquidators' shipping of the Chinese Flooring with prior knowledge of the defects, or with negligent or reckless disregard of the presence of defects, constituted a breach of its express warranty, makes the limitations of the Limited Warranty unconscionable in all respects, and therefore is void *ab initio*.

37. The Limited Warranty is not a negotiated contract and is so one-sided that no reasonable person would ever knowingly agree to its terms if properly disclosed.

38. Moreover, during contact with the Class members, Lumber Liquidators concealed its knowledge of repeated product defects in the Chinese Flooring in the Class members' structures.

39. As Lumber Liquidators has known of the Chinese Flooring defects and has failed to timely honor its express and implied warranties, the Limited Warranty has failed of its essential purpose, and the limitations therein are null and void. Further, the limitations contained in the Limited Warranty are not conspicuous.

40. Despite knowing of the defects in the Chinese Flooring, Lumber Liquidators has not notified all purchasers, builders, and/or homeowners with the Chinese Flooring of the defect nor provided uniform relief.

41. Plaintiffs and Class members have not received the value for which they or their builders bargained when the Chinese Flooring was purchased. There is a difference in value between the Chinese Flooring as warranted and the Chinese Flooring containing the defect.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

42. Plaintiffs bring this class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) and (c)(4) are met with respect to the classes defined below:

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLASS:

All persons and entities who purchased and installed wood flooring from Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., either directly or through an agent, that was sourced, processed, or manufactured in China.

DAMAGES CLASS:

All persons and entities who purchased and installed wood flooring from Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., either directly or through an agent, that was sourced, processed, or manufactured in China.

(ALTERNATIVE) DAMAGES CLASS:

All persons and entities in Kentucky who purchased and installed wood flooring from Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc., either directly or through an agent, that was sourced, processed, or manufactured in China.

Excluded from the Classes are: (a) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (b) Lumber Liquidators, its affiliates, employees, officers and directors, persons or persons that distribute or sell Lumber Liquidators flooring; (c) all persons who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Classes; and (d) the attorneys of record in this case.

43. *Numerosity:* The Classes are composed of thousands of persons geographically dispersed, the joinder of whom in one action is impractical. Moreover, upon information and belief, the Classes are ascertainable and identifiable from Lumber Liquidator records or documents.

44. *Commonality:* Questions of law and fact common to the Classes exist as to all members of the Classes and predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Classes. These common legal and factual issues include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring products emit excessive levels of formaldehyde;

- b. Whether Lumber Liquidators represented and warranted that its Chinese Flooring products complied with their label descriptions;
- c. Whether Lumber Liquidators omitted and concealed material facts from its communications and disclosures to Plaintiffs and the other Class members regarding the illegal sourcing of its Chinese Flooring products;
- d. Whether Lumber Liquidators breached its express or implied warranties to Plaintiffs and the other Class members with respect to its Chinese Flooring products;
- e. Whether Lumber Liquidators knew or should have known that its Chinese Flooring did not conform to the label description;
- f. Whether, as a result of Lumber Liquidators' conduct, Plaintiffs and the other Class members have suffered damages; and if so, the appropriate measure of damages to which they are entitled;
- g. Whether, as a result of Lumber Liquidators' conduct, Lumber Liquidators was unjustly enriched; and
- h. Whether, as a result of Lumber Liquidators' misconduct, Plaintiffs and the other Class members are entitled to equitable relief and/or other relief, and, if so, the nature of such relief.

45. *Typicality*: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the other Class members.

Plaintiffs and each of the other Class members have been injured by the same wrongful practices of Lumber Liquidators. Plaintiffs' claims arise from the same practices and course of conduct that give rise to the other Class members' claims and are based on the same legal theories.

46. *Adequate Representation*: Plaintiffs will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of the other Class members. In addition, Plaintiffs have retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class action cases similar to this one. Neither Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests contrary to or conflicting with other Class members' interests.

47. *Predominance and Superiority*: This class action is appropriate for certification because questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over

questions affecting only individual members, and a Class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual joinder of all members of the Class is impracticable. Should individual Class members be required to bring separate actions, this Court and Courts throughout Kentucky would be confronted with a multiplicity of lawsuits burdening the court system while also creating the risk of inconsistent rulings and contradictory judgments. In contrast to proceeding on a case-by-case basis, in which inconsistent results will magnify the delay and expense to all parties and the court system, this class action presents far fewer management difficulties while providing unitary adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single Court.

48. Defendants have also acted or failed to act on grounds generally applicable to the class as a whole, thereby making certification under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) appropriate.

COUNT I
Negligence

49. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

50. Lumber Liquidators had a duty to Plaintiffs and to members of the Class to manufacture and sell flooring that was free of excessive formaldehyde levels that would cause damage to Plaintiffs' person and property.

51. Lumber Liquidators had a duty to Plaintiffs and to members of the Class to test the Chinese Flooring to ensure safe levels of formaldehyde for a reasonable period of use.

52. Lumber Liquidators had a duty to Plaintiffs and to Class members to ensure that the Chinese Flooring was suitable, either by testing or by verifying third-party test results.

53. Lumber Liquidators had a duty to Plaintiffs and to members of the Class to ensure that the Chinese Flooring complied with industry standards and all applicable building codes throughout Kentucky.

54. Lumber Liquidators failed to exercise ordinary and reasonable care in the purchase and sale of the Chinese Flooring and in determining whether the Chinese Flooring that it sold, and continued to sell, contained a latent defect that would result in dangerous and potentially life threatening levels of formaldehyde emissions.

55. Lumber Liquidators failed to exercise ordinary and reasonable care in the purchase and sale of the Chinese Flooring and breached the foregoing duties.

56. Lumber Liquidators breached its duty to the Plaintiffs and class members to test the Chinese Flooring to ensure safe levels of formaldehyde emissions for a reasonable period of use.

57. Lumber Liquidators breached its duty to Plaintiffs and to class members to ensure that the Chinese Flooring was suitable, either by testing or by verifying third-party test results.

58. Lumber Liquidators breached its duty to Plaintiffs and to members of the class to ensure that the Chinese Flooring complied with industry standards and the applicable building codes.

59. Lumber Liquidators breached its duty to Plaintiffs and to members of the class to forewarn purchasers, installers, and users regarding the known risk of formaldehyde emissions.

60. The negligence of Lumber Liquidators, its agents, servants, and/or employees, includes the foregoing, as well as the following acts and/or omissions:

- a. processing, distributing, delivering, supplying, inspecting, marketing and/or selling Chinese Flooring without adequately and thoroughly testing them to all applicable standards and building codes;

- b. processing, distributing, delivering, supplying, inspecting, marketing and/or selling Chinese Flooring without adequately testing long-term performance;
- c. negligently failing to ensure that the Chinese Flooring conformed to all applicable standards and building codes; and
- d. concealing information concerning the dangerous level of formaldehyde emissions in the Chinese Flooring from Plaintiffs and the Class members, while knowing that Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring was defective and non-conforming with accepted industry standards and building codes.

61. Plaintiffs and the Class members have been damaged because the Chinese Flooring does not perform its ordinary purpose and emits high levels of formaldehyde gas.

62. Plaintiffs and the Class members have also been damaged as a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, willfulness, and wantonness of Lumber Liquidators as aforesaid.

63. As Lumber Liquidators' conduct was grossly negligent, reckless, willful, wanton, intentional, fraudulent or the like, Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Lumber Liquidators.

COUNT II
Breach of Express Warranties

64. Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

65. Lumber Liquidators warranted that its flooring was free of defects when it sold those products to Plaintiffs and the members of the Class as described in this Complaint. Defendants further represented that its flooring products complied with CARB formaldehyde standards and all applicable laws and regulations. Plaintiffs and members of the Class reasonably relied upon these representations.

66. Lumber Liquidators' warranties became part of the basis of the bargain.

67. Lumber Liquidators breached their warranties by:
- a. Manufacturing, selling and/or distributing flooring that exceeds the CARB formaldehyde standards;
 - b. Manufacturing, importing, selling and/or distributing flooring that fails to comply with all applicable laws and regulations; and
 - c. Refusing to honor the express warranty by refusing to properly repair or replace the defective flooring.

68. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the other Class members, provided Lumber Liquidators with timely notice of its breach of warranty. Lumber Liquidators was also on notice regarding the excessively high levels of formaldehyde in its flooring from the complaints and requests for refund it received from Class members, Internet message boards and from published product reviews.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' misconduct, Plaintiffs and the other Class members have suffered damages and continue to suffer damages, including economic damages at the point of sale. Additionally, Plaintiffs and the other Class members have either incurred or will incur economic damages at the point of repair in the form of the cost of repair and/or the cost of purchasing non-defective flooring to replace the Lumber Liquidators flooring.

70. Plaintiffs and the other Class members are entitled to legal and equitable relief against Lumber Liquidators, including damages, consequential damages, specific performance, rescission, attorneys' fees, costs of suit, and other relief as appropriate.

COUNT III
Breach of Implied Warranties

71. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

72. At all times relevant hereto, there was a duty imposed by law which requires that a manufacturer's or seller's product be reasonably fit for the purposes for which such products are used and that the product be acceptable in trade for the product description.

73. Defendants breached this duty by selling flooring to Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class that was not merchantable.

74. Defendants were notified that its product was not merchantable within a reasonable time after the defect manifested itself to Plaintiffs and the members of the Class.

75. As a result of the non-merchantability of Lumber Liquidators' flooring described herein, Plaintiffs and other members of the Class sustained a loss or damages.

COUNT IV
Violation of Kentucky's Consumer Protection Act
(On behalf of Plaintiffs and Kentucky Class members)

76. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

77. The conduct described above and throughout this Complaint took place within the Commonwealth of Kentucky and constitutes unfair business practices in violation of Kentucky's Consumer Protection Act, KRS 367.110, et seq. (hereinafter "CPA").

78. The CPA applies to the claims of Plaintiffs and Kentucky Class members because the conduct which constitutes violations of the CPA by the Defendants occurred within the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

79. The CPA prohibits "[u]nfair, false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce"

80. Lumber Liquidators engaged in unfair, false, misleading or deceptive acts or practices in violation of the CPA when, in selling and advertising the Chinese Flooring, Lumber

Liquidators knew that there were defects in the Chinese Flooring which would result in dangerous levels of formaldehyde gas emissions.

81. Lumber Liquidators engaged in the concealment, suppression, or omission of the aforementioned material facts with the intent that others, such as Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Builders, Class members, Class members' Builders, and/or the general public would rely upon the concealment, suppression, or omission of such material facts and purchase Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring containing said defect.

82. Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' builders, Class members, and/or Class members' builders would not have purchased the Chinese Flooring had they known or become informed of the material defects in the Chinese Flooring.

83. Lumber Liquidators' concealment, suppression, or omission of material facts as alleged herein constitute unfair, deceptive and fraudulent business practices within the meaning of the CPA.

84. Lumber Liquidators has acted unfairly and deceptively by misrepresenting the quality of the Chinese Flooring

85. Lumber Liquidators either knew, or should have known, that the Chinese Flooring was defectively designed and/or manufactured and would emit unsafe levels of formaldehyde, which would result in severe damages to the Plaintiff's person and property.

86. Upon information and belief, Lumber Liquidators knew that, at the time Chinese Flooring left Lumber Liquidators' control, the Chinese Flooring contained the defect described herein resulting in dangerous levels of formaldehyde emissions. The defects permitted unsafe levels of formaldehyde gas emission and rendered the flooring unable to perform the ordinary purposes for which it was used and caused the resulting damage described herein.

87. As a direct and proximate cause of the violation of the CPA, Plaintiffs and members of the Class have been injured in that they have purchased homes or other structures with the unsafe and dangerous Chinese Flooring based on nondisclosure of material facts alleged above. Had Plaintiffs and Class members known the defective nature of the Chinese Flooring used on their structures, they would not have purchased their structures, or would have paid a lower price for their structures.

88. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' unfair and deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class will suffer damages, which include, without limitation, costs to inspect, repair or replace their flooring and other property, in an amount to be determined at trial.

89. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators' unfair and deceptive acts and practices, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class will suffer damages, which include, without limitation, damage to their health and wellbeing in an amount to be determined at trial.

90. As a result of the acts of consumer fraud described above, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered ascertainable loss in the form of actual damages that include the purchase price of the products, for which Lumber Liquidators is liable to the Plaintiffs and the Class for their ascertainable losses, plus attorneys' fees and costs, along with equitable relief prayed for herein in this Complaint.

91. Plaintiffs and Class members are further entitled to damages under KRS 446.070.

COUNT V
Fraudulent Misrepresentations

92. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

93. Lumber Liquidators falsely and fraudulently represented to Plaintiffs, the Class members, and/or the consuming public in general that Lumber Liquidators' products would be free from defects and fit for their customary and normal use.

94. Lumber Liquidators falsely represented to purchasers and consumers that the Chinese Flooring was warranted against defects in material and workmanship when in fact the Limited Warranty was so limited as to prevent and preclude any warranty protection against the known defect in the Chinese Flooring.

95. When said representations were made by Lumber Liquidators, upon information and belief, they knew those representations to be false and they willfully, wantonly, and recklessly disregarded whether the representations were true.

96. These representations were made by Lumber Liquidators with the intent of defrauding and deceiving the Plaintiffs, the Class members and/or the consuming public, all of which evinced reckless, willful, indifference to the safety and welfare of the Plaintiffs and the Class members.

97. At the time the aforesaid representations were made by Lumber Liquidators, Plaintiffs and the Class members were unaware of the falsity of said representations and reasonably believed them to be true.

98. In reliance upon said representations, the Plaintiffs' and Class members' properties were built using Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring, which were installed and used on Plaintiffs' and the Class members' properties thereby sustaining damage and injury and/or being at an increased risk of sustaining damage and injury in the future.

99. Lumber Liquidators knew and was aware, or should have been aware, that Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring was defective and not fit for their customary and normal use.

100. Lumber Liquidators knew, or should have known, that Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring had a potential to, could, and would cause severe damage and injury to property owners.

101. Lumber Liquidators brought its Chinese Flooring to the market and acted fraudulently, wantonly, and maliciously to the detriment of the Plaintiffs and the Class members.

102. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and the Class members suffered, and continue to suffer, financial damage and injury.

COUNT VI
Negligent Misrepresentations

103. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

104. Lumber Liquidators made representations about the Chinese Flooring to Plaintiffs, Class members, and their agents or predecessors, as set forth in this complaint.

105. Those representations were false.

106. When Lumber Liquidators made the representations, it knew they were untrue or it had a reckless disregard for whether they were true, or it should have known they were untrue.

107. Lumber Liquidators knew that Plaintiffs, Class members, and their agents or predecessors, were relying on the representations.

108. In reliance upon the representations, Plaintiffs and Class members purchased the Chinese Flooring and installed on the Plaintiffs' and Class members' homes.

109. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiffs and Class members have been damaged as set forth in this Complaint.

110. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and the Class members suffered, and continue to suffer, financial damage and injury, and are entitled to all damages, including punitive damage, in addition to costs, interest and fees, including attorneys' fees, as allowed by law.

COUNT VII
Fraudulent Omission/Concealment

111. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

112. Lumber Liquidators knew or should have known that the Chinese Flooring was defective in design, were not fit for their ordinary and intended use, and performed in accordance with neither the advertisements, marketing materials and warranties disseminated by Lumber Liquidators nor the reasonable expectations of ordinary consumers.

113. Lumber Liquidators fraudulently concealed from and/or intentionally failed to disclose to Plaintiffs and the Class that the Chinese Flooring is defective.

114. Lumber Liquidators had exclusive knowledge of the defective nature of the Chinese Flooring at the time of sale. The defect is latent and not something that Plaintiffs or Class members, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, could have discovered independently prior to purchase, because it is not feasible.

115. Lumber Liquidators had the capacity to, and did, deceive Plaintiffs and Class members into believing that they were purchasing flooring free from defects.

116. Lumber Liquidators undertook active and ongoing steps to conceal the defect. Plaintiffs are aware of nothing in Lumber Liquidators' advertising, publicity or marketing materials that disclosed the truth about the defect, despite Lumber Liquidators' awareness of the problem.

117. The facts concealed and/or not disclosed by Lumber Liquidators to Plaintiffs and the Class members are material facts in that a reasonable person would have considered them important in deciding whether to purchase (or to pay the same price for) the flooring from their builders.

118. Lumber Liquidators intentionally concealed and/or failed to disclose material factors for the purpose of inducing Plaintiffs and the Class to act thereon.

119. Plaintiffs and the Class justifiably acted or relied upon the concealed and/or non-disclosed facts to their detriment, as evidenced by their purchase of the Chinese Flooring.

120. Plaintiffs and Class members suffered a loss of money in an amount to be proven at trial as a result of Lumber Liquidator's fraudulent concealment and nondisclosure because: (a) they would not have purchased the Chinese Flooring on the same terms if the true facts concerning the defective flooring had been known; (b) they paid a price premium due to fact that the flooring would be free from defects; and (c) the flooring did not perform as promised. Plaintiffs also would have initiated this suit earlier had the defect been disclosed to them.

121. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and the Class members suffered, and continue to suffer, financial damage and injury.

COUNT VIII
Unjust Enrichment

122. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

123. Plaintiffs and Class members conferred a benefit on Defendants when they purchased the Chinese Flooring.

124. Lumber Liquidators has been unjustly enriched in retaining the revenues derived from Class members' purchases of the Chinese Flooring, the retention of which under these circumstances is unjust and inequitable because Lumber Liquidators' Chinese Flooring is defective in design, was not fit for its ordinary and intended use, and performed in accordance with neither the advertisements, marketing materials and warranties disseminated by Lumber Liquidators nor the reasonable expectations of ordinary consumers and caused the Plaintiffs and Class members to lose money as a result thereof.

125. Plaintiffs and Class members suffered a loss of money as a result of Lumber Liquidators' unjust enrichment because: (a) they would not have purchased the Chinese Flooring on the same terms if the true facts concerning the unsafe Chinese Flooring had been known; (b) they paid a price premium due to the fact the Chinese Flooring would be free from defects; and (c) the Chinese Flooring did not perform as promised.

126. Because Lumber Liquidators' retention of the non-gratuitous benefit conferred on them by Plaintiffs and Class members is unjust and inequitable, Lumber Liquidators must pay restitution to Plaintiffs and the Class members for their unjust enrichment, as ordered by the Court.

127. Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to restitution of, disgorgement of, and/or the imposition of the constructive trust upon, all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by the Defendants from their deceptive, misleading, and unlawful conduct.

COUNT IX
Violation of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act

128. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

129. Plaintiffs and the other Class members are “consumers” within the meaning of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).

130. Lumber Liquidators is a “supplier” and “warrantor” within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301(4)-(5).

131. Lumber Liquidators’ flooring, purchased separately from the initial construction of the structure, constitutes a “consumer product” within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).

132. Lumber Liquidators’ express warranties and written affirmations of fact regarding the nature of the flooring, including that the flooring was free from defects and was in compliance with CARB and EU formaldehyde standards and all other applicable laws and regulations, constitute written warranties within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 2301(6).

133. Lumber Liquidators breached their warranties by:

- a. Manufacturing, selling and/or distributing flooring that exceeds the CARB formaldehyde standards;
- b. Manufacturing, importing, selling and/or distributing flooring that fails to comply with all applicable laws and regulations; and
- c. Refusing to honor the express warranty by refusing to properly repair or replace the defective flooring.

134. Lumber Liquidators’ breach of its express warranties deprived Plaintiffs and the other Class members of the benefits of their bargains.

135. As a direct and proximate result of Lumber Liquidators’ breaches of its written warranties, Plaintiffs and the other Class members sustained damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Lumber Liquidators’ conduct damaged Plaintiffs and the other Class

members, who are entitled to recover damages, consequential damages, specific performance, diminution in value, costs, attorneys' fees, rescission, and/or other relief as appropriate.

COUNT X
Declaratory Relief 28 U.S.C. § 2201

136. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, adopt and incorporate by reference all foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

137. Lumber Liquidators has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the Declaratory Relief Class, so that final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief is appropriate respecting the Class as a whole within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2).

Plaintiffs seek a ruling that:

- a. the Chinese Flooring has a defect which results in unsafe levels of formaldehyde emissions. The defect may not be detectable until after the warranty provided by Lumber Liquidators has expired. The Court finds that this defect is material and requires disclosure for all of this flooring;
- b. the Chinese Flooring has a defect in workmanship and material that allows for unsafe levels of formaldehyde emissions. The defect may not be detectable until after the warranty provided by Lumber Liquidators has expired. The court declares that all persons who own structures containing Chinese Flooring are to be provided the best practicable notice of the defect, which cost shall be borne by Lumber Liquidators;
- c. Certain provisions of Lumber Liquidators' warranty are void as unconscionable;
- d. Lumber Liquidators shall re-audit and reassess all prior warranty claims, including claims previously denied in whole or in part, where the denial was based on warranty or on other grounds, and pay the full cost of repairs and damages; and
- e. Lumber Liquidators will establish an inspection program and protocol, under Court supervision, to be communicated to class members, which will require Lumber Liquidators to inspect, upon request, a class member's structure to determine formaldehyde emissions levels are safe. Any disputes over coverage shall be adjudicated by a Special Master appointed by the Court and/or agreed to by the parties.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated, pray for a judgment against Defendants as follows:

- a. For an order certifying the Classes, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23, appointing Plaintiffs as representative of the Classes, and appointing the law firms representing Plaintiffs as Class Counsel;
- b. For compensatory damages sustained by Plaintiffs and the Damages Class;
- c. For equitable and/or injunctive relief for the Declaratory Relief Class;
- d. For payment of costs of suit herein incurred;
- e. For both pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded;
- f. For punitive damages;
- g. For payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and expert fees as may be allowable under applicable law; and For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and on behalf of the Class members, hereby demand a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ W. Lewis Garrison, Jr.
W. Lewis Garrison, Jr.
William L. Bross
Taylor C. Bartlett
Mark R. Ekonen
Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC
2224 1st Avenue North
Birmingham, AL 35203
(205) 326-3336
wlgarrison@hgdllawfirm.com
william@hgdllawfirm.com
mark@hgdllawfirm.com

/s/ John E. Spainhour
John E. Spainhour
Givhan & Spainhour, PSC
Professional Building, Suite One
200 S. Buckman Street
Shepherdsville, KY 40165
(502) 543-2218 (tel.)
(502) 955-7000 (fax)
john@gsatty.net

Pro Hac Vice Pending

JS 44 (Rev. 12-12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

3-15-cv-228-JGH

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<p>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DAVID CROSS and SHELA CROSS</p> <p>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Bullitt</u> <i>(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</i></p> <p>(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) John E. Spainhour, Givhan & Spainhour, PSC, Professional Bldg, Suite One, 200 S. Buckman Street, Shepherdsville, KY 40165 502-543-2218</p>	<p>DEFENDANTS Lumber Liquidators, Inc., Lumber Liquidators Leasing, LLC, Lumber Liquidators Holding, Inc., Lumber Liquidators Services, LLC</p> <p>County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____ <i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i></p> <p>NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED</p> <p>Attorneys (If Known) _____</p>
--	---

<p>II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</p>	<p>III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)</p> <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> <th></th> <th>PTF</th> <th>DEF</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of This State</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1</td> <td>Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen of Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 2</td> <td>Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 5</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3</td> <td>Foreign Nation</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 6</td> </tr> </table>		PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF	Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6
	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF																				
Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4																				
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5																				
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6																				

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<p>PERSONAL INJURY</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<p>PERSONAL INJURY</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <p>PERSONAL PROPERTY</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157
			<p>LABOR</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<p>PROPERTY RIGHTS</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark
			<p>SOCIAL SECURITY</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities Commodities Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
<p>REAL PROPERTY</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<p>CIVIL RIGHTS</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer w/ Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer w/ Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<p>PRISONER PETITIONS</p> <p>Habeas Corpus:</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <p>Other:</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<p>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 USC §1332

Brief description of cause:
Property and personal injury from sale of contaminated flooring

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE: 03/24/2015 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: John E. Spainhour

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

DAVID CROSS AND SHELA CROSS

Plaintiff

v.

LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC.

Defendant

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

Civil Action No. 3-15-cv-228-JGH

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)*

LUMBER LIQUIDATORS, INC.
CSC-LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERVICE COMPANY
421 WEST MAIN STREET
FRANKFORT, KY 40601

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Hon. John E. Spainhour
Givhan & Spainhour, PSC
Professional Building, Suite One
200 S. Buckman Street
Shepherdsville, KY 40165

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk