

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

JAN MOUZON, <i>et al.</i> , Plaintiffs v. RADIANCY, INC., <i>et al.</i> , Defendants	Civil Action No. 15-1142 (CKK) <i>Consolidated with 15-cv-1926</i>
APRIL CANTLEY, <i>et al.</i> , Plaintiffs v. RADIANCY, INC., <i>et al.</i> , Defendants	Civil Action No. 16-1614 (CKK)

ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES
(August 29, 2016)

Defendant Radiancy has filed a [32] Consent Motion to consolidate Case No. 15-cv-1142 (*Mouzon v. Radiancy*) and Case No. 16-cv-1614 (*Cantley v. Radiancy*). All parties to both of these cases consent to the motion. In light of the Consent Motion, the Court finds that the cases involve common issues of fact and law, that they pertain to the same underlying actions, and that the interests of judicial economy, efficiency, and conservation of costs will be served by consolidation. Therefore, in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a), it is, this 29th day of August 2016,

HEREBY ORDERED that Case No. 1:15-cv-01142 (*Mouzon v. Radiancy*) and Case No. 1:16-cv-1614 (*Cantley v. Radiancy*) are consolidated for all purposes.

The parties are directed that all filings in these consolidated cases shall be made only in Case No. 15-cv-1142. The parties shall make no additional filings in Case No. 16-cv-1614, and the Clerk is directed to close Case No. 16-cv-1614 and transfer whatever parties are not identical to Case No. 15-cv-1142.

It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs shall file their Consolidated Amended Complaint by no later than **September 2, 2016**.

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendant shall file its Answer no later than **September 30, 2016**.

Finally, it would appear that, once a Consolidated Amended Complaint is filed encompassing both cases, Case No. 16-cv-1614 (*Olivo v. Radiancy*) can be dismissed based on the fact that all of the claims in *Olivo* are included within the Consolidated

