1 2 3 4	MILSTEIN, ADELMAN, JACKSON, FAIRCHILD & WADE, LLP Gillian L. Wade, Bar No. 229124 gwade@milsteinadelman.com 10250 Constellation Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (310) 396-9600				
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	NEWPORT TRIAL GROUP A Professional Corporation Scott J. Ferrell, Bar No. 202091 sferrell@trialnewport.com Richard H. Hikida, Bar No. 196149 rhikida@trialnewport.com David W. Reid, Bar No. 267382 dreid@trialnewport.com Victoria C. Knowles, Bar No. 277231 vknowles@trialnewport.com 4100 Newport Place, Ste. 800 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 706-6469				
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	VICTORIA KISSEL, individually and on Case No. 2:16-cv-02770 behalf of all others similarly situated,				
16 17	VICTORIA KISSEL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 2:16-cv-02770			
	VICTORIA KISSEL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:16-cv-02770 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:			
17	behalf of all others similarly situated,				
17 18	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW			
17 18 19	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v.	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and			
17 18 19 20 21	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 – 10,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW			
17 18 19 20	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S			
17 18 19 20 21 22	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS)			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS)			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS)			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. OMEGA NATURAL SCIENCE, INC., a Nevada corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR: 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S. AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600-17604); and 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS)			

Plaintiff Victoria Kissel ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, complains and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION & OVERVIEW OF CLAIMS

- 1. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of herself and a class of others similarly situated consisting of all persons in California who, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased subscriptions for any products (such as the OmegaBrite dietary supplements) from Omega Natural Science, Inc. ("Omega" or "Defendant"). The class of others similarly situated to Plaintiff is referred to herein as "Class Members." The claims for damages, restitution, injunctive and/or other equitable relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs arise under California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code") §§ 17602, 17603, and 17604) and 17200, et seq., and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Plaintiff and Class Members are consumers for purposes of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600-17606.
- 2. During the Class Period, Defendant made automatic renewal or continuous service offers to consumers in and throughout California and failed to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3). As a result, all goods, wares, merchandise, or products sent to Plaintiff and Class Members under the automatic renewal of continuous service agreements are deemed to be an unconditional gift pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603.
- 3. As a result of the above, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, seeks damages, restitution, declaratory relief, injunctive relief and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17603, 17203, and 17204, and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

28 || / / /

///

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as amended by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 because the amount in controversy exceeds five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00), exclusive of interest and costs, and is a class action in which some members of the class are citizens of different states than Defendant. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).
- 5. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant currently does business in this state.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and a substantial portion of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff purchased a subscription plan from Defendant in California during the Class Period. Plaintiff and Class Members are consumers as defined under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).
- 8. Defendant Omega Natural Science, Inc. is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business located at 8275 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 121, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123. Defendant operates in California and has done business throughout California at all times during the Class Period. Also during the Class Period, Defendant made, and continues to make, automatic renewal or continuous service offers to consumers in California. Defendant operates a website which markets subscriptions for products, including the OmegaBrite Gelcaps, that purport to improve one's "cardiac health", "emotional wellbeing", "joint health", and "cognitive clarity".
- 9. The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of

Court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known.

and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and/or scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the Defendants. Each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein were alleged and made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants (Omega Natural Science, Inc. and DOE Defendants will hereafter collectively be referred to as "Defendant").

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

California Business Professions Code §§ 17600-17606

- 11. On December 1, 2010, sections 17600-17606 of the Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code came into effect. The Legislature's stated intent for this Article was to end the practice of ongoing charges to consumers' Payment Methods without consumers' explicit consent for ongoing shipments of a product or ongoing deliveries of service. *See* Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600.
- 12. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a) makes it unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:

Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. If the offer includes a free trial, the business shall also disclose in the acknowledgment how to cancel and allow the consumer to cancel before the consumer pays for the goods or services.

13. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(a) defines the term "Automatic renewal" as a "plan or arrangement in which a paid subscription or purchasing agreement is automatically renewed at the end of a definite term for a subsequent term."

- 14. Section 17602(b) provides: "A business making automatic renewal or continuous service offers shall provide a toll-free telephone number, electronic mail address, a postal address only when the seller directly bills the consumer, or another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation that shall be described in the acknowledgment specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a)."
- 15. Section 17603 of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code provides: "In any case in which a business sends any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to a consumer, under a continuous service agreement or automatic renewal of a purchase, without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent as described in Section 17602, the goods, wares, merchandise, or products shall for all purposes be deemed an unconditional gift to the consumer, who may use or dispose of the same in any manner he or she sees fit without any obligation whatsoever on the consumer's part to the business, including but not limited to, bearing the cost of, or responsibility for, shipping any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to the business."

Defendant's Business

16. Defendant provides an "AutoRefill" monthly subscription for its OmegaBrite Gelcaps product, which, for consumers who select the monthly subscription option, \$30.59, plus shipping and handling, per month. Defendant's product and services plan constitutes an automatic renewal and/or continuous service plan or arrangement for the purposes of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601.

Defendant Failed to Provide an Acknowledgment as Required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b)

17. After Plaintiff and Class Members subscribed to one of Defendant's subscription plans, Defendant sent to Plaintiff and Class Members documents entitled "OmegaBrite thanks you", but has failed, and continues to fail, to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable

3

5

11

21

27

28

of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3). Moreover, Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and Class Members 2 with an acknowledgement regarding how to cancel the subscription and allow Plaintiff and Class Members to cancel before payment, as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 4 17602(b). 6 From: OmegaBrite <updates@omegabrite.com> Date: Thursday, December 31, 2015 7 Subject: Order # -- OmegaBrite thanks you. 8 9 Dear Thank you for shopping at OmegaBrite! This message confirms your order, number . Please use this number in all 10 correspondence regarding this order. You can check your order status at any time by visiting your order status page. Invoice 12 **Product Unit Price** Qty **Ext Price** 13 Ship To: OmegaBrite Gelcaps AutoRefill \$30.59 \$30.59 every 30 Days: 14 Ship Via: UPS Ground 15 Subtotal \$30.59 Sales Tax \$0.00 \$13.95 Shipping 16 **Order Total** \$44.54 17 You will receive an additional e-mail when your order has been shipped. 18 Again, thank you for shopping with OmegaBrite. 19 OmegaBrite http://omegabrite.com 1-800-383-2030 20 The OmegaBrite Online Store is powered by the Nexternal eCommerce Platform - Your Brand is Your Passion. eCommerce is Ours. 22 23 24 25 26

1 2 3 4 5	Date: Saturday, January 30, 2016 Subject: Order # OmegaBrite thanks To: Dear	s you.		. Please use this number in all	
6			,,		
7	7 Product Qt	/ Unit Price	Ext Price		
8	OmagaPrita Calcana AutoPofill	W22 82		Ship To:	
9		\$30.59	\$30.59	Ship Via: UPS Ground	
10		ototal	\$30.59	Only via. of o ordina	
11		es Tax pping	\$0.00 \$13.95		
12	0	der Total	\$44.54		
13	You will receive an additional e-mail when your order has been shipped.				
14	Again, thank you for shopping with OmegaBrite.				
15	OmegaBrite http://omegabrite.com				
16	1-800-383-2030 updates@omegabrite.com				
17	The OmegaBrite Online Store is powered by the Nexternal eCommerce Platform – Your Brand is Your Passion. eCommerce is Ours.				
18	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS				
19	18. Plaintiff brings this actio	n, on behalf	of herself	and all others similarly	

18. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The proposed Class (the "Class") that Plaintiff seeks to represent is composed of and defined as:

- "All persons within California that, within the applicable statute of limitations period, purchased any product or service in response to an offer constituting an "Automatic Renewal" as defined by § 17601(a) from Omega Natural Science, Inc., its predecessors, or its affiliates."
- 19. This action is brought and may be properly maintained as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(1)-

- (3). This action satisfies the numerosity, typicality, adequacy, predominance and superiority requirements of those provisions.
- 20. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1)] The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all of its members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of Class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is informed and believes the Class includes hundreds of thousands of members. Plaintiff alleges that the Class may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendant.
- 21. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2)] Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from class member to class member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any class member, include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - i) Whether Defendant failed to provide an acknowledgment that describes a cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b);
 - ii) Whether Defendant failed to provide an acknowledgement that included the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members, in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3);
 - iii) Whether Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to restitution of money paid in circumstances where the goods and services provided by Defendant are deemed an unconditional gift in accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17603;
 - iv) Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution in accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, 17203;

- v) Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5; and
- vi) The proper formula(s) for calculating the restitution owed to Class Members.
- 22. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)] Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and all members of the Class have sustained injury and are facing irreparable harm arising out of Defendant's common course of conduct as complained of herein. The losses of each member of the Class were caused directly by Defendant's wrongful conduct as alleged herein.
- 23. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)] Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of class actions, including complex consumer and mass tort litigation.
- 24. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)] A class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented herein, presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class member.
- 25. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A)] The prosecution of separate actions by thousands of individual Class members would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to, among other things, the need for and the nature of proper notice, which Defendant must provide to all Class members.

- 26. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(B)] The prosecution of separate actions by individual class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to such adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non-party Class members to protect their interests.
- 27. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)] Defendant has acted or refused to act in respects generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

WITH AUTOMATIC RENEWAL TERMS

AND INFORMATION REGARDING CANCELLATION POLICY

(CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17602(a)(3), 17602(b))

(By Plaintiff, on her own behalf and on behalf of the Class, against All Defendants)

- 28. The foregoing paragraphs are alleged herein and are incorporated herein by reference.
 - 29. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17602(a)(3) provides:
 - (a) It shall be unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:
 - (3) Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. If the offer includes a free trial, the business shall also disclose in the acknowledgment how to cancel and allow the consumer to cancel before the consumer pays for the goods or services.

Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b) provides:

"A business making automatic renewal or continuous service offers shall provide a toll-free telephone number, electronic mail address, a postal address only when the seller directly bills the consumer, or

another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation that shall be described in the acknowledgment specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a)."

- 30. Defendant failed to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 31. As a result of Defendant's violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b), Defendant is subject to all civil remedies under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17604 that apply to a violation of Article 9, of Chapter 1 of Part 3, of Division 7 of the Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code.
- 32. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, requests relief as described below.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

(CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE§ 17200 et. seq.)

(By Plaintiff, on her own behalf and on behalf of the Class, against All Defendants)

- 33. The foregoing paragraphs are alleged herein and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 34. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.* (the "UCL") prohibits unfair competition in the form of any unlawful or unfair business act or practice. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17204 allows "a person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the UCL. Such a person may bring such an action on behalf of himself or herself and others similarly situated who are affected by the unlawful and/or unfair business practice or act.
- 35. Since December 1, 2010, and continuing to the present, Defendant has committed unlawful and/or unfair business acts or practices as defined by the UCL, by violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(1), 17602(a)(2), 17602(a)(3), and 17602(b). The public policy which is a predicate to a UCL action under the unfair

prong of the UCL is tethered to a specific statutory provision. *See* Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600, 17602.

- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful, and/or unfair acts and practices described herein, Defendant has received, and continues to hold, unlawfully obtained property and money belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members in the form of payments made for subscription agreements by Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendant has profited from its unlawful and/or unfair acts and practices in the amount of those business expenses and interest accrued thereon.
- 37. Plaintiff and similarly-situated Class Members are entitled to restitution pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203 for all monies paid by Class Members under the subscription agreements from December 1, 2010, to the date of such restitution at rates specified by law. Defendant should be required to disgorge all the profits and gains it has reaped and restore such profits and gains to Plaintiff and Class Members, from whom they were unlawfully taken.
- 38. Plaintiff and similarly situated Class Members are entitled to enforce all applicable penalty provisions pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17202, and to obtain injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203.
- 39. Plaintiff has assumed the responsibility of enforcement of the laws and public policies specified herein by suing on behalf of herself and other similarly-situated Class Members. Plaintiff's success in this action will enforce important rights affecting the public interest. Plaintiff will incur a financial burden in pursuing this action in the public interest. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees to Plaintiff is thus appropriate pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure§ 1021.5.
- 40. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, request relief as described below.

///

///

28 || / / /

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- A. That the Court determine that this action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and define the Class as
- requested herein;

mechanism for cancellation.

- B. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b) by failing to provide an acknowledgment that describes a toll-free telephone number, electronic mail address, a postal address only when the seller directly bills the consumer, or another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use
- C. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3) by failing to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members;
- D. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated the UCL and committed unfair and unlawful business practices by violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602.
- E. That the Court award to Plaintiff and Class Members damages and full restitution in the amount of the subscription payments made by them pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17603, in an amount to be proved at trial;
- F. That Defendant be ordered to pay restitution to Plaintiff and the Class due to Defendant's UCL violations, pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200-17205 in the amount of their subscription agreement payments;
- G. That the Court find that Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203;

1	H.	That Plaintiff and the Class be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and		
2	costs pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, and/or other applicable			
3	law; and			
4	I.	That the Court award such other and further relief as this Court may deem		
5	appropriate	».		
6				
7	Dated: Ap	ril 22, 2016 MILSTEIN ADELMAN, LLP		
8		By: /s/ Gillian M. Wade		
9		Gillian M. Wade Attorney for Plaintiff		
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
2324				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		- 13 - CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on April 22, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing via electronic mail to all counsel of record. /s/ Gillian M. Wade Gillian M. Wade - 1 -