# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	)	
Molly Crane,	)	
Individually And On Behalf Of All	)	
Other Persons Similarly Situated,	)	C.A. No.
Plaintiff,	)	
r tunigy,	)	
v.	)	
	)	
Sexy Hair Concepts, LLC, and Ulta Salon		
Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc.	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Molly Crane alleges for her complaint the following.

#### **Preliminary Statement**

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated to obtain monetary and other appropriate relief for herself and members of the Class (defined below) as a result of the unlawful acts of Defendants.
- 2. Defendant Sexy Hair Concepts, LLC ("Sexy Hair") manufactures and distributes, hair care products, including shampoos and conditioners, under the brand name "sexy hair". Defendant Ulta Salon Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc. ("Ulta") sells "sexy hair" hair care products in stores and on line. Plaintiff purchased a shampoo named Healthy Sexy Hair Sulfate-Free Soy Moisturizing Shampoo that was manufactured by Sexy Hair and sold by Ulta. The container represent in large type that the shampoo was "sulfate-free", and free of salt. However, according to the long list of ingredients printed in very small type on the back side of the container, the shampoo contained sodium sulfate, and salt (sodium chloride).

3. Defendants have thus been misrepresenting this shampoo and deceiving their customers, including Plaintiff and numerous other consumers.

#### **Parties**

- 4. Plaintiff Molly Crane is a resident of Quincy, Massachusetts.
- 5. Defendant Sexy Hair is a limited liability company with its principal place of business in Chatsworth, California.
- 6. Defendant Ulta Salon Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc. ("Ulta") is a corporation with its principal place of business in Bolingbrook, Illinois that operates numerous stores throughout the United States, including 12 stores in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

- 7. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(a). The Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d).
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) as a substantial part of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this District.

# **Factual Allegations**

- 9. Sexy Hair is a beauty products company that manufactures hair products and distributes them throughout the United States through distributors, retailers and salons, as well as online.
- 10. Plaintiff purchased a container of Healthy Sexy Hair Sulfate-Free Soy Moisturizing Shampoo manufactured by Sexy Hair from a retail store owned and operated by Ulta in Braintree, Massachusetts on July 22, 2016.
- 11. The container stated prominently in large print that it was "Sulfate-Free" and "Free of Salt". A picture of the container purchased by Plaintiff is below.



- 12. Plaintiff read the notice on the container that it was sulfate and salt free and purchased the shampoo thinking it would be good for her hair.
- 13. After using the shampoo, Plaintiff did not like the results. She studied the ingredients list in small type on the back side of the container and discovered that it contained sulfates and salt.
- 14. In much smaller print, the ingredients listed on the back of the container included sodium sulfate, sodium chloride (the chemical term for salt) and ammonium chloride, which is also a salt.
- 15. The prominent labeling on the front of the container representing the product was sulfate-free and free of salt is false and misleading.
- 16. Plaintiff has been economically injured by the misrepresentations that the shampoo she purchased was sulfate-free and salt free. Plaintiff would never have purchased the shampoo had she been aware that it contained sulfates and salt.

#### **Class Action Allegations**

- 17. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the allegations contained in the paragraphs above.
- 18. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and Chapter 93A, Section 9(2) on behalf of herself and a Massachusetts Class consisting of:

All persons who have purchased Sexy Hair hair care products in Massachusetts that were labeled sulfate-free and/or salt free, but contained sulfates and/or salt.

19. Plaintiff also brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of herself and a National Class consisting of:

All persons in the United States who have purchased Sexy Hair hair care products that were labeled sulfate-free and/or salt free, but contained sulfates and/or salt.

- 20. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the definition of the Massachusetts Class and/or the National Class.
  - 21. This action is properly maintainable as a class action.
- 22. The the members of the Massachusetts Class are thus so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical.
- 23. The members of both Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical.
- 24. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Massachusetts Class and the National Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Classes. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Classes are:
- (a) Whether Sexy Hair hair care products were sold with the labels sulfate-free and/or salt free;
  - (b) Whether the hair care products so labeled in fact contained sulfates and/or salt;
- (c) Whether, how, and when Defendants disclosed that the hair care products contain sulfates and /or salt.
- (d) Whether Defendants' conduct alleged herein constituted unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce in violation of Chapter 93A, Section 2;
- (e) Whether the members of the National Class are entitled to damages for unjust enrichment; and
  - (f) The proper measure of damages.
- 25. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of both Classes because, like Plaintiff, each member purchased Sexy Hair hair care products that were mislabeled as alleged herein.

- 26. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Classes and has retained counsel who have extensive experience prosecuting consumer class actions and who, with Plaintiff, are fully capable of, and intent upon, vigorously pursuing this action. Plaintiff does not have any interest adverse to the Classes.
- 27. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Furthermore, the damage that has been suffered by any individual Class member is likely not substantial, and the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it impracticable for all members of the Classes to redress the wrongs done to them individually. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.
- 28. The prosecution of separate actions against Defendants would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual Class members which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants. In addition, adjudications with respect to individual members of the Classes could, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other members of the Classes not parties to such adjudications, or could substantially impede or impair their ability to protect their interests.
- 29. The members of the Classes are readily identifiable through Defendants' and other records, and Plaintiff is a member of the Classes.
- 30. Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the Classes with respect to the matters complained of herein, thereby making appropriate the relief sought herein with respect to the Classes as a whole.

#### **COUNT I**

(Violation of Chapter 93A)

31. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 32. At all relevant times, Defendants were engaged in trade or commerce within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, including the trade or commerce of selling, or causing to be sold, the hair care products at issue within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 33. By conducting the unfair and deceptive branding efforts described above, Defendants have engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce in violation of Chapter 93A, Section 2.
- 34. Moreover, by engaging in the conduct described above, Defendants violated at least the following General Regulations of the Massachusetts Attorney General:
  - a. 940 C.M.R. 3.02(2), which states:

No statement or illustration shall be used in any advertisement which creates a false impression of the grade, quality, make, value, currency of model, size, color, usability, or origin of the product offered, or which may otherwise misrepresent the product in such a manner that later, on disclosure of the true facts, there is a likelihood that the buyer may be switched from the advertised product to another.

b. 940 C.M.R. 3.05(1), which states:

No claim or representation shall be made by any means concerning a product which directly, or by implication, or by failure to adequately disclose additional relevant information, has the capacity or tendency or effect of deceiving buyers or prospective buyers in any material respect. This prohibition includes, but is not limited to, representations or claims relating to the construction, durability, reliability, manner or time of performance, safety, strength, condition, or life expectancy of such product, or financing relating to such product, or the utility of such product or any part thereof, or the ease with which such product may be operated, repaired, or maintained or the benefit to be derived from the use thereof.

- c. 940 C.M.R. 3.16(1)-(2), which make any act or practice a violation of Chapter 93A, Section 2 (and thus Section 9) if:
  - (1) It is oppressive or otherwise unconscionable in any respect; or
  - (2) Any person or other legal entity subject to this act fails to disclose to a buyer or prospective buyer any fact, the disclosure of which may have

influenced the buyer or prospective buyer not to enter into the transaction . . . .

d. 940 C.M.R. 6.03(2), which states:

Sellers shall not use advertisements which are untrue, misleading, deceptive, fraudulent, falsely disparaging of competitors, or insincere offers to sell.<sup>1</sup>

- e. 940 C.M.R. 6.04(1)-(2), which state:
  - (1) Misleading Representations. It is an unfair or deceptive act for a seller to make any material representation of fact in an advertisement if the seller knows or should know that the material representation is false or misleading or has the tendency or capacity to be misleading, or if the seller does not have sufficient information upon which a reasonable belief in the truth of the material representation could be based.
  - (2) Disclosure of Material Representations. It is an unfair or deceptive act for a seller to fail to clearly and conspicuously disclose in any advertisement any material representation, the omission of which would have the tendency or capacity to mislead reasonable buyers or prospective buyers. . . .
- 35. Further, Defendants' violation of the regulations enumerated above constitute violations of Chapter 93A, Section 2(a) because regulations promulgated by the Massachusetts Attorney General under Chapter 93A, Section 2(c) provide that any act or practice violates Chapter 93A, Section 2 if "[i]t fails to comply with existing statutes, rules, regulations or laws, meant for the protection of the public's health, safety, or welfare promulgated by the Commonwealth or any political subdivision thereof intended to provide the consumers of this Commonwealth protection..." 940 C.M.R. 3.16(3).
- 36. The violations of Chapter 93A by Defendants' as described herein were done willfully, knowingly, and in bad faith.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff and the members of the Massachusetts Class were harmed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "An unfair or deceptive representation may result not only from direct representations and the reasonable inferences they create, but from the seller's omitting or obscuring a material fact." 940 C.M.R. 6.03(4).

- 38. Plaintiff sent Defendants written demand for relief pursuant to Chapter 93A, Section 9, identifying the claimant and reasonably describing the unfair acts or practices relied upon and the injuries suffered, on November 18, 2016.
- 39. As a result of Defendants' violation of Chapter 93A, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and the Massachusetts Class for up to three times the damages that Plaintiff and the Massachusetts Class incurred, or at the very least the statutory minimum award of \$25 per purchase of a hair care product as alleged herein, together with all related court costs, attorneys' fees, and interest.

#### **COUNT II**

(For Unjust Enrichment)

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. This Count is pled on behalf of Plaintiff and the National Class.
- 42. Defendants were unjustly enriched by the false and deceptive marketing of hair care products as alleged herein.
- 43. Plaintiff and the members of the National Class were damaged by their purchases of hair care products that were falsely labeled.

# **Prayers for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief in the form of an order as follows:

- 1. Allowing this action to proceed as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and Chapter 93A, Section 9(2);
  - 2. Awarding Plaintiff and members of the Classes monetary damages;
- 3. Awarding Plaintiff and members of the Massachusetts Class up to three times their damages, or in the alternative statutory damages, together with interest and costs;

- 4. Awarding counsel for the Plaintiff and the Classes their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- 5. Enjoining Defendants from using false and deceptive marketing, branding, and labeling as described herein; and
  - 6. Awarding such other and further relief which the Court finds just and proper.

### **Jury Demand**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all claims so triable.

Dated: February 23, 2017 By her attorneys,

/s/ Thomas G. Shapiro\_

Thomas G. Shapiro (BBO # 454680) Ian J. McLoughlin (BBO # 647203) SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP

Seaport East Two Seaport Lane, Floor 6 Boston, MA 02210 (617) 439-3939 – Telephone (617) 439-0134 – Facsimile tshapiro@shulaw.com imcloughlin@shulaw.com

Kenneth D. Quat (BBO # 408640) **QUAT LAW OFFICES** 929 Worcester Rd. Framingham MA 01701 508-872-1261 ken@quatlaw.com

# Case 1:17-cv-10300 $\Gamma$ Decument 1 $\Gamma$ SFiled 02/23/17 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	SEE INSTRUCT	HONS ON NEXT TAGE O	T THIS I'C	DEFENDANTS			
	f First Listed Plaintiff  **XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA  Address, and Telephone Numbe			County of Residence  NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT  Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. PI	LAINTIFF CASES OF	,
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Pla
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government in	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State		Incorporated or Pri	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR  PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U:  PROPEF □ 820 Copy: □ 830 Paten □ 840 Trade  SOCIAL □ 861 HIA ( □ 862 Black □ 863 DIW( □ 864 SSID ( □ 865 RSI ( □ 870 Taxes or De □ 871 IRS— 26 U:	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rights t t tmark  SECURITY (1395ff) £ Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  SL TAX SUITS G (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced ar Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedu Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from	Appellate Court			r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	nuse:					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only :	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (	OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE

# Case 1:17-cv-10300 Document 1-2 Filed 02/23/17 Page 1 of 1

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of	case (nam	ne of first party on ea	nch side only)						
	Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).									
		I.	410, 441, 470, 535,	830*, 891, 893, 8	894, 895, R.23, REGA	RDLES	S OF NATU	JRE OF S	SUIT.	
	_	II.	110, 130, 140, 160, 820*, 840*, 850, 87		40, 290,320,362, 370,	371, 38	0, 430, 440	), 442-440	6, 710, 720, 73	0, 740, 790
	_	III.		465, 480, 490, 5	20, 245, 310, 315,  330 10, 530, 540, 550, 555					
			*Also complete AO	120 or AO 121.	for patent, trademark	or co	oyright cas	es.		
-					rule 40.1(g)). If more this this co		ne prior rel	ated cas	e has been fil	ed in this
	Has a p	rior actior	n between the same p	parties and base	ed on the same claim	ever b	een filed in	this cou	ırt?	
						YES		NO		
•	Does th §2403)	e complai	nt in this case quest	ion the constitu	tionality of an act of o	ongre	ss affectin	g the pul	olic interest?	(See 28 U
	16 !-	41- II <b>0 A</b>			the H.O. ements O	YES		NO		
	IT SO, IS	tne U.S.A	. or an officer, agent	or employee or	tne U.S. a party?	YES		NO		
	Is this c	ase requi	red to be heard and o	determined by a	district court of three	e judge	s pursuan	t to title	28 USC §2284	?
						YES		NO		
					nental agencies of the in Massachusetts res					
						YES		NO		
		A.	If yes, in which div	ision do <u>all</u> of th	e non-governmental	parties	reside?			
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	tern Division	
		В.	If no, in which divis	•	ority of the plaintiffs o	r the o	nly parties	s, excludi	ing governme	ntal agenci
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	tern Division	
			of Removal - are there		ending in the state co	urt rec	uiring the	attentior	of this Court	? (If yes,
						YES		NO		
L	EASF TY	PE OR PR	INT)							

(CategoryForm4-4-11.wpd - 4/4/11)