PACIFIC TRIAL ATTORNEYS 1 A Professional Corporation Scott J. Ferrell, Bar No. 202091 sferrell@pacifictrialattorneys.com 3 4100 Newport Place, Ste. 800 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 706-6464 4 Fax: (949) 706-6469 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 KATHY LIRA, individually and on behalf | Case No. 8:17-cv-01210-JLS-KES 11 of all others similarly situated, 12 PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED Plaintiff. NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY 13 DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE v. PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF 14 CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)(i) WEB.COM GROUP, INC., a Delaware 15 corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive, FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO Defendants. 16 LOCAL RULE 79-5.2.2(c) AND ORDERS OF THE COURT DATED 17 AUGUST 1, 2017 AND AUGUST 11, 2017 18 19 Complaint filed: June 5, 2017 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff KATHY LIRA ("Plaintiff") voluntarily dismisses the above-captioned action with prejudice as to her individual claims, and without prejudice as to the putative class. Pursuant to the Court's August 1, 2017 and August 11, 2017 orders [Dkt. Nos. 11 and 13], and Local Rule 79-5.2.2(c), Plaintiff concurrently files under seal the individual settlement agreement between Plaintiff and Defendant Web.com Group, Inc. under seal.

Pursuant to Rule 23(e), "the district court should inquire into possible prejudice from (1) class members' possible reliance on the filing of the action if they are likely to know of it either because of publicity or other circumstances, (2) lack of adequate time for class members to file other actions, because of a rapidly approaching statute of limitations, (3) any settlement or concession of class interests made by the class representative or counsel in order to further their own interests." *Diaz v. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands*, 876 F.2d 1401, 1408 (9th Cir. 1989).

In this instance, the *Diaz* factors weigh in favor of dismissal of Plaintiff's individual claims with prejudice. First, it is Plaintiff's counsel's understanding that this action has not been publicized in any way and as such, the putative class members are highly unlikely to have knowledge of it, or to have relied upon it in any way. Similarly, Plaintiff's counsel is unaware of any other circumstances that may have lead to the putative class's knowledge of, or reliance upon, this action.

Second, the statute of limitations in this action is not approaching. Plaintiff made the underlying purchase in this action in February 2017 and as such, based on the three year statute of limitations associated with her causes of action, the statute of limitations shall not run until February 2020. As such, the rights of the putative class are preserved by the solely individual dismissal of Plaintiff's claims with prejudice.

Lastly, Plaintiff and her counsel made no settlement or concession of class interests in order to resolve Plaintiff's individual claims. Indeed, the resolution reached

between the Parties does not address, affect or change the putative class's rights or claims in any manner. An evaluation of the foregoing Diaz factors weigh in favor of dismissal of Plaintiff's individual claims with prejudice, and dismissal of the putative class's claims without prejudice. Respectfully submitted, Dated: August 16, 2017 PACIFIC TRIAL ATTORNEYS, APC By: /s/ Scott J. Ferrell Scott. J. Ferrell Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on August 16, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF **CIVIL PROCEDURE 41(a)(1)(A)(i)** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing via electronic mail to all counsel of record. /s/ Scott J. Ferrell Scott J. Ferrell