United States District Court Eastern District of New York

1:18-cv-05577

Dakota Campbell-Clark individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff

- against -

Complaint

Blue Diamond Growers

Defendant

Plaintiff by attorneys allege upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

- 1. Blue Diamond Growers ("defendant") manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells "Almond Nut-Thins" (crackers) under the "Blue Diamond Almonds" brand.
- 2. The Products are sold to consumers by third parties from brick-and-mortar stores and available online and sold directly by defendant.
- 3. The Products are sold in multiple varieties, including Hint of Sea Salt, Pepper Jack Cheese, Cheddar Cheese, Country Ranch and Smokehouse.
- 4. The Products' common principal display panel representations include (i) their name, "Almond Nut-Thins," (ii) a more specific identification as "Nut & Rice Cracker Snacks," and (iii) vignettes of almonds.
- 5. The back of the packages state "As The Almond People®, we're pretty partial to almonds in anything, but we think you'll agree these crispy crackers go well with almost anything. Now you can enjoy the delicious taste of Blue Diamond Almond Nut-Thins" and "Made with Real Almonds."





6. The most predominant ingredients for the Products is rice flour as indicated in the ingredient list (Sea Salt variety)

INGREDIENTS: RICE FLOUR, ALMONDS, POTATO STARCH, SALT, EXPELLER PRESSED SAFFLOWER OIL AND NATURAL FLAVORS (CONTAINS MILK). CONTAINS MILK, ALMONDS.

7. The Products are misleading because despite the labels naming them "Almond Nut-Thins" and more specifically identifying them as "Nut & Rice Cracker Snacks," they are actually rice-flour based crackers, which happen to include equivalent amounts of "almonds" as they do "potatoes."

- 8. Plaintiff believed the Almond Products were made with almonds as predominant ingredient in the same way consumers would observe wheat crackers and reasonably expect they were composed mainly of wheat.
- 9. Plaintiff desired to purchase a product that was made primarily of almond ingredients and believed that the predominant ingredients was almonds or derived from almonds.
- 10. Moreover, even the more specific identification of the Products as "nut & rice cracker snacks" is misleading because there is *more* rice than nut ingredients, and the amount of potatoes present closely approximates the amount of almond-based ingredients.
- 11. Excluding tax, the Products cost no less than \$2.99 per box (4.25 oz), a premium price compared to crackers that do not purport to contain almonds as their predominant ingredient.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 12. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
- 13. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy is more than \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.
- 15. Venue is proper because plaintiff and many class members reside in this District and defendant does business in this District and in New York.
- 16. A substantial part of events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

Class Allegations

17. The classes consist of all consumers in the following states: <u>all</u>, <u>New York</u> who purchased any Products with actionable representations during the statutes of limitation.

- 18. A class action is superior to other methods for fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 19. The class is so numerous that joinder of all members, even if permitted, is impracticable, as there are likely hundreds of thousands of members.
- 20. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether the representations were likely to deceive reasonable consumers and if plaintiff(s) and class members are entitled to damages.
- 21. Plaintiff(s) claims and the basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same representations.
- 22. Plaintiff(s) is/are an adequate representative because his/her/their interests do not conflict with other members.
- 23. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 24. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest.
- 25. Plaintiff(s) counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to adequately and fairly protect class members' interests.
 - 26. Plaintiff(s) seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

Parties

- 27. Plaintiff is a citizen of Kings County, New York.
- 28. Defendant is a California nonprofit corporation with its principal place of business in Sacramento, California.
- 29. In 2017 and/or 2018, plaintiff purchased one or more of the Products for personal consumption, for no less than \$2.99 per box, excluding tax, within this district and/or State.

30. Plaintiff paid this premium because prior to purchase, plaintiff saw and relied on the misleading representations.

New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350

- 31. Plaintiffs incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 32. Defendant's acts, practices, advertising, labeling, packaging, representations and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.
- 33. Plaintiff desired to purchase products which were as described by defendant predominantly almond-based ingredients.
- 34. Defendant's representations are false, unfair, deceptive and misleading for the reasons described herein.
- 35. The representations and omissions were relied on by plaintiff and class members, who paid more than they would have otherwise, causing damages.

Negligent Misrepresentation

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.
- 37. Defendant misrepresented the composition of the Products.
- 38. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide a non-deceptive description of the Products and knew or should have known same were false or misleading.
- 39. This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as the largest almond grower cooperative in the world.
 - 40. Defendant negligently misrepresented and/or negligently omitted material facts.
- 41. Plaintiff reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Products.
- 42. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Products or paid as much if the true facts had been known, thereby suffering damages.

Breach of Express Warranty and Implied Warranty of Merchantability

43. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

44. Defendant manufactures and sells products which contain almonds.

45. Defendant warranted to plaintiff and class members that the Products were composed

predominantly of almond-based ingredients, when this was not truthful and was misleading.

46. The Products did not conform to their affirmations of fact and promises, wholly due

to defendant's actions.

47. Plaintiff and class members relied on defendant's claims, paying more than they

would have otherwise.

<u>Fraud</u>

48. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

49. Defendant's purpose was to mislead consumers who seek common foods (i.e.,

crackers) composed of non-common (i.e., almonds) ingredients.

50. Plaintiff and class members observed and relied on defendant's claims, causing them

to pay more than they would have otherwise, entitling them to damages.

Unjust Enrichment

51. Plaintiff incorporates by references all preceding paragraphs.

52. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Products were not as

represented, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek

restitution and disgorgement of such inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment:

- Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff(s) as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant(s) to correct such practices to comply with the law;
- 3. Awarding monetary damages and interest, including treble and punitive damages, pursuant to the common law and GBL claims;
- 4. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiffs' attorneys and experts; and
- 5. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 5, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. /s/Spencer Sheehan Spencer Sheehan (SS-8533) 891 Northern Blvd., Suite 201 Great Neck, NY 11021 (516) 303-0552 spencer@spencersheehan.com

Levin-Epstein & Associates, P.C. Joshua Levin-Epstein 1 Penn Plaza, Suite 2527 New York, NY 10119 (212) 792-0046 joshua@levinepstein.com

Paskowitz Law Firm, P.C. Larry Paskowitz 208 East 51st Street, Suite 380 New York, NY 10022 (212) 685-0969 lpaskowitz@pasklaw.com

1:18-cv-05577
United States District Court
Eastern District of New York

Dakota Campbell-Clark individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiffs

- against -

Blue Diamond Growers

Defendant(s)

Complaint

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. 891 Northern Blvd., #201 Great Neck, NY 11021 Tel: (516) 303-0052

Fax: (516) 234-7800

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: October 5, 2018

/s/ Spencer Sheehan
Spencer Sheehan

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

Case 1:18-cv-05577 Document $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1005}{18}$ Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)	, 1	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Dakota Campbell-Clark i situated	ndividually and on beh	nalf of all others simila	DEFENDANTS Blue Diamond Gro		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Sheehan & Associates, F. Neck, NY 11021, (516) 3	P.C., 891 Northern Bo	_{vr)} ulevard, Suite 201, Gr	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	RANKRIIPTCV	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 USC § 1332 Brief description of ca False advertising	Appellate Court atute under which you are f	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfer Another (specify, illing (Do not cite jurisdictional state) DEMAND \$	r District Litigation Transfer utes unless diversity):	
COMPLAINT:				JURY DEMAND:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10/05/2018	signature of attorney of record /s/ Spencer Sheehan				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A1	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

Case 1:18-cv-05577 Document 1-1 Filed 10/05/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 10

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

_	
I, Spencer Strineligible	heehan, counsel for plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):
×	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
×	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides that because the same judge case: (A) in	at "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil volves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
	s the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk Jounty: No
a)	Syou answered "no" above: Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk county? No
) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern bistrict? Yes
	swer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or bunty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau County?
or Switterin	(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
I am currer	ntly admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Yes No
Are you cu	rrently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
I certify the	e accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /s/ Spencer Sheehan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of New York

	Eustern District	of New York	
Dakota Campbell-Clark indivisimilarly situated	idually and on behalf of all others		
Pla	uintiff(s))	
	v.) Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-05577	
Blue Diamond Growers)))	
Defe	endant(s)	- ')	
)	
	SUMMONS IN A	CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address)	BLUE DIAMOND GROWERS C/O DEAN LAVALLE 1802 C STREET SACRAMENTO CA 95811		
A lawsuit has been filed	l against you.		
the United States or a United St (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve	ates agency, or an officer or employed on the plaintiff an answer to the attack answer or motion must be served on the	unting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are e of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 ned complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal ne plaintiff or plaintiff's P.C. 891 Northern Blvd., #201, Great Neck, NY 11021	
If you fail to respond, ju You also must file your answer		ainst you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	
		DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT	
Date:	<u> </u>	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	