Taylor C. Bartlett, N.J. Bar no. 142752015 Mark R. Ekonen Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC 220 Saint Paul Street Westfield, NJ 07090 Tel: 205-326-3336 taylor@hgdlawfirm.com

taylor@hgdlawfirm.com mark@hgdlawfirm.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY TRENTON DIVISION

TAMMY DEVANE,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No
v. CHURCH & DWIGHT CO, INC.,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND
Defendant.	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Tammy DeVane ("Plaintiff") brings this class action lawsuit on behalf of herself and others similarly situated against the Defendant Church & Dwight Co., Inc. ("Church & Dwight").

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Church & Dwight is a large Delaware corporation who manufactures a wide variety of household products, including a line of multivitamin products under the Vitafusion and L'il Critters brand names. As part of the labeling for these products, Church & Dwight identifies its multivitamin products as being "complete" and containing the "essential" nutrients expressly on the products' packaging and labels.
 - 2. Despite the representations and warranties contained on its products packaging and

labels, Church & Dwight's products are not, in fact, "complete" by any definition of the word as they are lacking several of the essential vitamins identified by the FDA as being necessary for human health. Specifically, these products all lack vitamin K, thiamin (vitamin B-1), and riboflavin (vitamin B-2), while many of the products additionally lack niacin (vitamin B-3).

3. Plaintiff therefore brings the following claims on behalf of herself and a nationwide class of purchasers of Defendant's Vitafusion and L'il Critters multivitamin products: (a) breach of express warranty, (b) breach of implied warranty, (c) violation of New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act; and (d) violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Tammy DeVane is a person, domiciled and residing in the State of Florida. She is therefore a citizen of Florida.
- 5. Defendant Church & Dwight Co., is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters located at 500 Charles Ewing Boulevard, Ewing, New Jersey 08628. It is therefore a citizen of Delaware and New Jersey.
- 6. Church & Dwight is a major American manufacturer of household products that is best known for its Arm & Hammer line of products. It describes itself as a "a \$3.5 billion company" and as one of the fastest growing Consumer Packaged Goods companies that has outpaced the Standard & Poor's by more than three times over the last 10 years." Church & Dwight's website identifies one of its "Guiding Principles" as being "compliance with ethical and applicable legal requirements," and states that its Guiding Principles "complement [its] commitment to comply with all other applicable legal, government, regulatory and professional requirements." Church & Dwight claims its reputation and integrity are "valuable corporate assets," and, to that extent, "[a]ny violation of [its] Guiding Principles or any dishonest, unethical

or illegal conduct will constitute grounds for disciplinary action up to and including termination."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Church & Dwight because it is both headquartered in and has its primary place of business in the State of New Jersey. Therefore, in accord with the Supreme Court's holding in *Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Superior Court*, 582 U.S. _____, 137 S. Ct. 1773, 1775 (2017), this Court has general personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's claims.
- 8. Federal subject matter jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in that the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is a class action in which at least on member of the class is a citizen of a state different than that of the Defendant.
- 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a)(1), because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this District as Defendant owns and operates research, development, and corporate offices within this District and throughout New Jersey.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- A. Vitamins B1, B2, B3 and K are "essential" nutrients required by the human body.
- 10. The FDA, in its 2009 publication "Fortify Your Knowledge About Vitamins," identified "13 vitamins that the body absolutely need," including vitamin K, vitamin B-1 (thiamin), vitamin B-2 (riboflavin) and vitamin B-3 (niacin). These same vitamins were further identified by the FDA in its regulations as being "essential in human nutrition." 21 C.F.R. 109(c)(8)(iv).
 - 11. Every five years, the U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA") and the U.S.

Department of Health and Human Services ("DHHS") publish the "Dietary Guidelines for Americans."

- 12. The USDA and DHHS note that Americans as a whole "are not consuming healthy eating patterns." These poor eating habits have resulted in "[m]ore than two-thirds of adults and nearly one-third of children and youth [in America] [being] overweight or obese."
- 13. This failure to adhere to healthy eating patterns has, therefore, resulted in a large percentage Americans finding it difficult to meet their daily recommended intake for many of the essential vitamins without needing to pile on additional calories.
- 14. Accordingly, while the USDA and the DHHS recommend that "[i]ndividuals should aim to meet their nutrient needs through healthy eating patterns that include nutrient-dense foods," they also recognize that this isn't always practical and note that "dietary supplements may be useful in providing one or more nutrients that otherwise may be consumed in less than recommended amounts."
- B. Defendant markets its vitamin supplements as "complete multivitamins" despite knowing they do not contain many essential vitamins.
- 15. All of the Vitafusion and L'il Critters multivitamin products at issue in this case—as well as their labels—are substantially similar.
- 16. Defendant advertises products in its Vitafusion Multivitamins and L'il Critters Multivitamin lines of products as being "complete multivitamins," despite the fact that Defendant knows said products do not contain all of the essential vitamins identified by the FDA in both its publications and regulations, including any vitamin K, thiamin, riboflavin and niacin.
 - 17. For example, Defendant's Vitafusion Men's Multivitamin product is advertised as

being a "complete multivitamin dietary supplement." Further, Defendant specifically advertises this product as including niacin (vitamin B3).² Despite this fact, however, the Men's Multivitamin product does not contain any vitamin K, thiamin, riboflavin or niacin.³

- 18. Another example, Defendant's L'il Critters Gummy Vites product is advertised as a "complete multivitamin" and a "dietary supplement." Again, this product lacks any vitamin K, thiamin, riboflavin or niacin. 5
- 19. Upon information and belief, this is a pattern repeated across <u>all</u> of Defendant's multivitamin products carried under its L'il Critters and Vitafusion brand names, including, but not limited to: L'il Critters Twisted Fruits⁶; L'il Critters Super MarioTM Power Ups Complete Multivitamins⁷; L'il Critters Despicable MeTM Complete Multivitamins⁸; Vitafusion Women's

Products which include: Vitamin B3







³ http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/vitafusion/Supplements/in/72012825.jpg

¹ http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/NewProducts/chd/Mens-1.jpg

² http://www.gummyvites.com/en/vitafusion/Supplement-Index?s=Vitamin%20B3:

⁴ http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/lil-Critters/bottles/LC_GummyVites_Bottle-noct_Large.png

⁵ http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/New-Facts/LC-GV-190ct_72011784.gif

⁶ Bottle: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/lil-Critters/bottles/lc-twisted-fruits.png; label: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/New-Facts/lc-twisted-fruits-suppl-facts.png

⁷ Bottle: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/lil-Critters/bottles/LCLB-02684-04A_front.png; label: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/New-Facts/LC-SuppFacts-01-GumVites.gif

⁸ Bottle: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/lil-Critters/bottles/LC_minions_large.png; label: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/New-Facts/LC-SuppFacts-01-GumVites.gif

Supercharged Multi⁹; and the Vitafusion MultiVites.¹⁰

20. Despite this, Defendant affirmatively labels and advertises these products as being "Complete" multivitamins containing the "Essential" vitamins and nutrients. Further, Defendant's labels fail to inform consumers, including Plaintiff and members of the putative class, that its products lacked some of the essential vitamins as described herein. A review of the labeling of these products fails to reveal that the products lacked any vitamins which the FDA deems to be "essential in human nutrition."

PLAINTIFF SPECIFIC FACTS

- 21. Plaintiff Tammy DeVane has been purchasing Defendant's L'il Critters Gummy Vites Complete Multivitamin products for at least six months, with refills approximately every three months.
- 22. The packaging and labeling of this product represents and warrants that it is a "Complete Multivitamin." Such representation and warranty is displayed prominently in the center of the packaging:

⁹ This product does indicate that it contains niacin on the label. Bottle: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/NewProducts/chd/Womens-1.jpg; label: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/vitafusion/Supplements/in/72012826.jpg

This product does indicate that it contains niacin on the label. Bottle: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/NewProducts/chd/MultiVites-(1).jpg; label: http://www.gummyvites.com/-/media/VMS/vitafusion/Supplements/in/72014160.jpg.



23. Based upon this representation and warranty, Plaintiff believed that the product was a complete multivitamin contained all the vitamins her grandchildren needed in them.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 24. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23. The Class which Plaintiff seeks to represent is composed of and defined as:
 - a. All persons in the United States (except citizens of California) who purchased L'il Critters Multivitamins and/or Vitafusion Multivitamins (the "Class").
 - b. A subclass of all Class members who purchased L'il Critters Multivitamins and/or
 Vitafusion Multivitamins in Florida (the "Florida Subclass")
 - c. A subclass of all Class members who purchased L'il Critters Multivitamins and/or Vitafusion Multivitamins in New Jersey (the "New Jersey Subclass").
- 25. Excluded from the Class and both Sub-Classes are persons who purchased such multivitamins for resale and employees of the Defendant.

26. Plaintiff reserves the right to create additional subclasses or classes, if necessary, and to revise these definitions to maintain a cohesive class that does not require individual inquiries to determine the Defendant's liability.

COMMON QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT PREDOMINATE

- 27. There are common questions of law and fact which are of general interest to the class. These common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the class. Such common questions include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether Defendant's multivitamin products actually contained all of the "essential" vitamins, including thiamin, riboflavin, niacin and vitamin K;
 - Whether the deliberate and deceitful marketing and labeling of the Defendant's multivitamin products violated the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act;
 - c. Whether the deliberate and deceitful marketing and labeling of the Defendant's multivitamin products violated Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act;
 - d. Whether Defendant warranted that its multivitamin products were "complete" and "essential" multivitamins; and
 - e. Whether Defendant breached these warranties.

TYPICALITY AND NUMEROSITY

- 28. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class.
- 29. Based on information and belief, the total number of members of each putative Class and Sub-Class exceeds 100 members and is so numerous that separate joinder of each member is impracticable. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be ascertained through discovery. Class members may be notified

of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication via the distribution records of Defendant and its third-party retailer partners.

ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION

30. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has no interest antagonistic to those of other Class members. Plaintiff has retained class counsel competent to prosecute class actions and such class counsel is financially able to represent the Class and Sub-Classes.

SUPERIORITY

- 31. The class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual joinder of all members of the classes is impracticable. The interests of judicial economy favor adjudicating the claims for the Plaintiff and the putative Class and Sub-Classes, rather than on an individual basis. The Class action mechanism provides the benefit of unitary adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 32. Questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.

COUNT ONE BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 33. Plaintiff adopts, re-asserts and incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Pursuant to N.J.S.A § 12A:2-313, an express warranty is created by the seller of a good by "[a]ny description of the goods which is made part of the basis of the bargain . . . that the goods shall conform to the description."
 - 35. Defendant expressly warranted, through its labeling and advertisement of the

products described herein, that they were "complete multivitamins."

- 36. However, all of the products described herein were lacking in thiamin, riboflavin, and Vitamin K, and a number of them were also lacking in niacin.
- 37. Therefore, Defendant breached the express warranty which accompanied its products by selling products that failed to conform to the descriptions of the products upon their labeling and advertisements.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's breach of the express warranty, Plaintiff and class members have been injured as: (a) the Defendant's products, as described herein do not have the characteristics as promised and warranted by the Defendant; and (b) they would not have purchased the Defendant's products if they had known of the true facts of the product.

COUNT TWO BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY

- 39. Plaintiff adopts, re-asserts and incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Defendants, as the designers, manufacturer's, marketers, distributors, and/or sellers, of their products, impliedly warranted that its Vitafusion and L'il Critter multivitamins are "complete" multivitamins.
- 41. Pursuant to N.J.S.A § 12A:2-314, an implied warranty of merchantability exists in any product sold, such that any good sold must:
 - a. Pass without objection in the trade under the contract description;
 - b. Be of fair average quality within its description;
 - c. Be fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used;
 - d. Conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label.
 - 42. Defendant's product labeling promised or affirmed that its multivitamin products

were "complete multivitamins."

- 43. However, all of the products described herein were lacking in thiamin, riboflavin, and Vitamin K, and a number of them were also lacking in niacin.
- 44. Defendant breached the implied warranty implied in the contract for the sale of its Vitafusion and L'il Critter multivitamin products, because they could not pass without objection in the trade under the contract description, the goods were not of fair average quality within their description; the goods were not fit for the ordinary purpose for which such goods are used; and the goods do not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the products' labels.
- 45. Due to Defendant's breach, Plaintiff and members of the putative class members, did not receive the goods as impliedly warranted by the Defendant to be merchantable.
- 46. Therefore, Defendant breached the implied warranty of merchantability by selling products that failed to conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on their containers and labels.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's breach of the implied warranty, Plaintiff and class members have been injured as: (a) the Defendant's products, as described herein do not have the characteristics as promised and warranted by the Defendant; and (b) they would not have purchased the Defendant's products if they had known of the true facts of the product.

<u>COUNT THREE</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NEW JERSEY'S CONSUMER FRAUD ACT</u>

- 48. Plaintiff adopts, re-asserts and incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. Plaintiff, each Class Member and the Defendant are "persons" within the meaning of N.J.S.A. § 56:8-1.
 - 50. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act ("NJCFA") provides that Defendant has a

duty not to engage in "any unconscionable commercial practice, deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or the knowing concealment, suppression, or omission of any material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise . . ." N.J.S.A. § 56:8-2.

- 51. The business activities of Defendant described above constitute the sale or advertisement of merchandise within the meaning of the NJCFA. See N.J.S.A. § 56:8-1.
- 52. The Defendant has engaged in unconscionable commercial practices or deceptive acts or practices where its conduct regarding the marketing of its products as "complete" multivitamins lacked honesty in fact, fair dealing, and good faith or because it had the capacity to mislead consumers acting reasonably. As such, its conduct violates the NJCFA.
- 53. NJSA 56:8-2.11 provides that "Any person violating the provisions of the [NJCFA] act shall be liable for a refund of all monies acquired by means of any practice declared herein to be unlawful."
- 54. Due to Defendant's violation of the NJCFA, Plaintiff, Class Members and New Jersey Sub-Class Members have suffered ascertainable losses, and unless restrained, said individuals and others in the future will continue to suffer injury and harm.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's violation of the NJCFA, Plaintiff and class members have been injured as: (a) the Defendant's products, as described herein do not have the characteristics as promised and advertised by the Defendant; and (b) they would not have purchased the Defendant's products if they had known of the true facts of the product.

<u>COUNT FOUR – FLORIDA SUB-CLASS ONLY</u> VIOLATION OF FLORIDA'S DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT

56. Plaintiff adopts, re-asserts and incorporates all of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

- 57. Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, *et seq.* ("FDUTPA"), prohibits "unfair methods of competition, unconscionable acts or practices, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce."
- 58. The stated purpose of the FDUTPA is to "protect the consuming public and legitimate business enterprises from those who engage in unfair methods of competition, or unconscionable, deceptive, or unfair acts or practices in the conduct of any trade or commerce." Fla. Stat. § 501.202.
- 59. Plaintiff, and each member of the putative class, qualify as "consumers" under the FDUTPA (Fla. Stat. § 501.203(7)), and each has been harmed by the Defendant's unconscionable, deceptive, and unfair acts and practices of mislabeling their multivitamin products as "complete," while knowing that they did not contain certain essential vitamins which the U.S. government recommends children receive. The Defendant's misrepresentations, omissions and deceptive practices as set forth above and throughout this Class Action Complaint, are likely to mislead reasonable customers under the circumstances.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's violation of the FDUTPA, Plaintiff and class members have been injured as: (a) the Defendant's products, as described herein do not have the characteristics as promised and advertised by the Defendant; and (b) they would not have purchased the Defendant's products if they had known of the true facts of the product.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment on behalf of herself and on behalf of all other class members as follows:

A. Certifying the national class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, appointing Plaintiff Tammy DeVane as class representative, and appointing W. Lewis Garrison and Taylor C. Bartlett as class counsel;

B. Certifying the New Jersey sub-class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure, appointing Plaintiff Tammy DeVane as class representative, and appointing W.

Lewis Garrison and Taylor C. Bartlett as class counsel;

C. Certifying the Florida sub-class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, appointing Plaintiff Tammy DeVane as class representative, and appointing W. Lewis

Garrison and Taylor C. Bartlett as class counsel;

D. Entering a final decree and order declaring that the Defendant (1) breached the

express and implied warranties associated with its Vitafusion and L'il Critters multivitamin

products; (2) violated the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act; and (3) violated the Florida Deceptive

and Unfair Trade Practices Act.

E. Awarding reasonable costs and attorneys' fees to Plaintiff and his counsel;

F. Awarding applicable pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and

G. Awarding such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all Counts and as to all issues.

Date: April 15, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

By: <u>/s/ Taylor C. Bartlett</u>

Taylor C. Bartlett

W. Lewis Garrison, Jr.*

Mark Ekonen*

2224 First Avenue North

Birmingham, AL 35203

Telephone: (205) 326-3336

Facsimile: (205) 380-8072

taylor@hgdlawfirm.com

mark@hgdlawfirm.com

_

14

*Application for admission pro hac vice to be filed

Attorneys for Plaintiff

LOCAL RULE 11.2 CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 11.2, the Plaintiffs certify that they are unaware of any other litigation that concerns the general matter in controversy.

/s/ Taylor C. Bartlett

Taylor C. Bartlett, N.J. Bar no. 142752015 Mark R. Ekonen Heninger Garrison Davis, LLC 220 Saint Paul Street Westfield, NJ 07090 Tel: 205-326-3336

Fax: 205-380-8085 taylor@hgdlawfirm.com mark@hgdlawfirm.com

$_{\rm JS~44~(Rev.~06/1)}$ Case 3:19-cv-09899-BRM-LHG VPc current 1.1 SFIER 04/15/19 Page 1 of 1 PageID: 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TI	HIS FORM.)	•	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
TAMMY DEVANE			CHURCH & DWIGHT CO, INC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Taylor C. Bartlett Heninger Garrison Davis LLC., 2224 1st Avenue North, Birmingha 35203; 205.326.3336			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CASES OF LAND INVOLVED.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Prof Business In □	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITHDE/DENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ ☐ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information ☐ Act ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure ☐ Act/Review or Appeal of ☐ Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of ☐ State Statutes
	moved from 3 tte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S. C. & 1332	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfe Anothe (specify, ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state)	er District Litigation Transfer	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Breach of Express and Implied Warranty; REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:				
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 04/15/2019	04/15/2019 /s/ Taylor C. Bartlett				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey

	, and the second			
TAMMY DEVANE)			
)) Civil Action No.)			
v.				
CHURCH & DWIGHT CO, INC.,				
Defendant)			
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address)				
Church & Dwight Co, Inc.				
500 Charles Ewing Boulevard Ewing, New Jersey 08628				
Ewing, New Jersey 00020				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you cer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. Inswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of ion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,			
whose name and address are: Taylor C. Bartlett, Esq.				
Heninger Garrison Davis LLC				
2224 1st Avenue North Birmingham, AAL 35203				
205.326.3336				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			
	0 7 1			