

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DAIJ, INC. d/b/a GENADEEN CATERERS,
Individually and on Behalf of All Others
Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY and
AMTRUST FINANCIAL,

Defendants.

x

: Civil Action No.

:

: CLASS ACTION

:

:

:

: **COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF
INSURANCE POLICIES**

:

:

:

: DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

:

:

x

Plaintiff, DAIJ, Inc. d/b/a Genadeen Caterers (“Plaintiff”), by way of Complaint against Wesco Insurance Company and AmTrust Financial (“Defendants”) alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. On March 11, 2020, World Health Organization (“WHO”) Director General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus declared the COVID-19 outbreak a worldwide pandemic: “WHO has been assessing this outbreak around the clock and we are deeply concerned both by the alarming levels of spread and severity, and by the alarming levels of inaction. We have therefore made the assessment that COVID-19 can be characterized as a pandemic.”¹

2. On March 13, 2020, President Trump declared the COVID-19 pandemic to be a national emergency.² On March 16, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”), and members of the national Coronavirus Task Force issued to the American public guidance, styled as “30 Days to Slow the Spread” for stopping the spread of COVID-19. This guidance advised individuals to adopt far-reaching social distancing measures, such as working from home, avoiding shopping trips and gatherings of more than ten people, and staying away from bars, restaurants, and food courts.³

¹ See World Health Organization, *WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020* (Mar. 11, 2020), <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020>.

² See The White House, *Proclamation on Declaring a National Emergency Concerning the Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak* (Mar. 13, 2020), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/proclamation-declaring-national-emergency-concerning-novel-coronavirus-disease-covid-19-outbreak/>.

³ See *The President’s Coronavirus Guidelines for America, 30 Days to Slow the Spread*, WHITE HOUSE, https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/03.16.20_coronavirus-guidance_8.5x11_315PM.pdf (last visited June 19, 2020).

3. Following this advice for individuals to adopt far-reaching social distancing measures, many state government administrations across the nation recognized the need to take steps to protect the health and safety of their residents from the human to human and surface to human spread of COVID-19. As a result, many governmental entities entered civil authority orders suspending or severely curtailing business operations of non-essential businesses that interact with the public and provide gathering places for the individuals. Currently, almost all states within the United States have issued some sort of “stay-at-home” order and ordered private non-essential business operations to close.

4. The result of these far-reaching restrictions and prohibitions has been catastrophic for most non-essential businesses, especially restaurants and other foodservice businesses, as well as retail establishments, entertainment venues, and other small, medium, and large businesses who have been forced to close, furlough employees, and endure a sudden shutdown of cash flow that threatens their survival.

5. Restaurants provide economic benefits far beyond their own business. They are anchors to revitalizing down-and-out neighborhoods and can become a tourist attraction themselves, as well as a key part of the identity of smaller cities and large towns.⁴

6. In addition to their contribution to the national economy in providing jobs, restaurants are also vital to the national spirit because shared meals are an important mental relief. As Oscar Wilde noted, “After a good dinner, one can forgive anybody, even one’s relations.”

⁴ Jennifer Steinhauer, Pete Wells, *As Restaurants Remain Shuttered, American Cities Fear the Future*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (May 7, 2020), <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/07/us/coronavirus-restaurants-closings.html?action=click&module=Top%20Stories&pgtype=Homepage>.

7. Moreover, food is part of the national psyche. As Anthony Bourdain noted, “Food is everything we are. It’s an extension of nationalist feeling, ethnic feeling, your personal history, your province, your region, your tribe, your grandma. It’s inseparable from those from the get-go.”

8. Most businesses insure against such catastrophic events like the current unforeseen COVID-19 pandemic through all-risk commercial property insurance policies. These policies promise to indemnify the policyholder for actual business losses incurred when business operations are involuntarily suspended, interrupted, curtailed, when access to the premises is prohibited because of direct physical loss or damage to the property, or by a civil authority order that restricts or prohibits access to the property. This coverage is commonly known as “business interruption coverage” and is standard in most all-risk commercial property insurance policies.

9. Defendants, and most insurance companies who have issued all- risk commercial property insurance policies with business interruption coverage, are denying the obligation to pay for business income losses and other covered expenses incurred by policyholders for the physical loss and damage to the insured property from measures put in place by the civil authorities to stop the spread of COVID-19 among the population. This action seeks a declaratory judgment that affirms that the COVID-19 pandemic and the corresponding response by civil authorities to stop the spread of the outbreak triggers coverage, has caused physical property loss and damage to the insured property, provides coverage for future civil authority orders that result in future suspensions or curtailments of business operations, and finds that Defendants are liable for the losses suffered by policyholders.

10. In addition, this action brings a claim against Defendants for their breach of their contractual obligation under common all-risk commercial property insurance policies to indemnify Plaintiff and others similarly situated for business losses and extra expenses, and related losses

resulting from actions taken by civil authorities to stop the human to human and surface to human spread of the COVID-19 outbreak.

11. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a proposed class of policyholders who paid premiums in exchange for business insurance policies that included lost business income and extra expense coverage.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. The Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”) – with a nationwide class being alleged in our case – 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2)(A) affords federal district courts diversity jurisdiction over class actions in which “any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant.”

13. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, and Defendants do business in this District and thus reside in this District, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1391(c).

PARTIES

14. Plaintiff DAIJ, Inc. d/b/a Genadeen Caterers is a New York company with its principal place of business in Cedarhurst, New York.

15. Plaintiff owns and operates an event planning and catering service and is the exclusive caterer to The Sephardic Temple in Cedarhurst, New York.

16. Defendant Wesco Insurance Company is a New York insurance company, with its principal place of business in New York, New York and is duly licensed to issue insurance in New York.

17. Defendant AmTrust Financial is a global insurance company, with its principal place of business in New York, New York, and is duly licensed to issue insurance in Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Maryland,

Massachusetts, Michigan, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

18. Defendants issued to Plaintiff policy no. 3217125 covering the policy period July 1, 2019 through July 1, 2020 (the “Policy”).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Global COVID-19 Pandemic

The Global COVID-19 Pandemic

19. Viruses of the family Coronaviridae, such as Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (SARS-CoV), have been responsible for the loss of human life since at least 2002 and were identified in several animal hosts.⁵

20. In December 2019, an initial cluster of patients with an unknown cause of viral pneumonia was found to be linked to the Huanan seafood market in Wuhan, China, where many non-aquatic animals such as birds were also on sale. However, at least one of the patients never visited the market, though he had stayed in a hotel nearby before the onset of the illness.⁶

⁵ See Roujian Lu, et al., *Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding*, CTR. FOR DISEASE CONTROL, (Jan. 29, 2020), <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/genomic-characterization-of-2019-nCoV-Lancet-1-29-2020.pdf> (As a typical RNA virus, the average evolutionary rate for coronaviruses is roughly 10^{-4} nucleotide substitutions per site per year, with mutations arising during every replication cycle. This finding suggests that COVID-19 originated from one source within a short period and was detected rapidly. However, as the virus transmits to more individuals, constant surveillance of arising mutations is needed. The fact that at least one patient had not visited the market suggests either possible droplet transmission or that the patient was infected by a currently unknown source. Evidence of clusters of infected family members and medical workers has now confirmed the presence of human-to-human transmission.).

⁶ See *id.*; Francesco Di Gennaro et al., *Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) Current Status and Future Perspectives a Narrative Review*, MDPI: INT’L J. ENVTL. RESEARCH & PUB. HEALTH, (Apr. 1,

21. By January 2020, genetic sequencing from patient samples was conducted to identify a novel virus, SARS-CoV-2, as the causative agent for the pneumonia cluster.⁷ SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus, with a crown-like appearance under an electron microscope because of glycoprotein spikes on its envelope. Among the functions of the structural proteins, the envelope has a crucial role in virus pathogenicity as it promotes viral assembly and release.⁸

22. The first confirmed case of the virus outside China was diagnosed on January 13, 2020, in Bangkok, Thailand with the number of cases exceedingly increasing worldwide. On January 30, 2020, the WHO declared the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak constituted a public health emergency of international concern, and by February 11, 2020, the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 was named “COVID-19” by the WHO Director-General.⁹ As of April 23, 2020, the WHO reported a confirmed 2.5 million cases of COVID-19 globally and over 170,000 deaths, with the United States dealing with more than 800,000 confirmed cases and 40,000 deaths – more than any other country.¹⁰

2020), <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (There are four genera of coronaviruses: (I) α -coronavirus (alphaCoV) and (II) β -coronavirus (betaCoV), which are probably present in bats and rodents; and (III) δ -coronavirus (deltaCoV) and (IV) γ -coronavirus (gammaCoV), which probably represent avian species.).

⁷ See Di Gennaro, *supra* note 5.

⁸ See *id.* (To address the pathogenetic mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2, its viral structure and genome must be considered. Coronaviruses are enveloped positive strand RNA viruses with the largest known RNA genomes – 30-32 kb – with a 5’-cap structure and 3’-poly-A tail.).

⁹ See *id.*

¹⁰ See *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 94*, WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (Apr. 23, 2020) https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200423-sitrep-94-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=b8304bf0_4.

23. The clinical features of COVID-19 vary from asymptomatic forms to fatal conditions of severe respiratory failure that requires ventilation and support in an intensive care unit (“ICU”). Pneumonia has been the most frequent severe manifestation of COVID-19, with symptoms of fever, cough, dyspnea, and bilateral infiltrates on chest imaging.¹¹ There are no specific treatments recommended for COVID-19, and no vaccine is currently available; so understanding the complexities of COVID-19 is ongoing.¹²

24. It has now been discovered by scientists that COVID-19 has several modes of transmission. Pursuant to a “Situation Report” released by the WHO, the virus can be transmitted through symptomatic transmission, pre-symptomatic transmission, or asymptomatic transmission.¹³ Symptomatic transmission refers to transmission by an individual who is experiencing symptoms associated with the virus who then transfers COVID-19 to another individual. Data from published studies provide evidence that COVID-19 is primarily transmitted from symptomatic people to others

¹¹ See Di Gennaro, *supra* note 5 (Asymptomatic infections have also been described, but their frequency is unknown. Other, less common symptoms have included headaches, sore throat, and rhinorrhea. Along with respiratory symptoms, gastrointestinal symptoms (*e.g.*, nausea and diarrhea) have also been reported, and in some patients, they may be the presenting complaint.).

¹² See *id.* (The treatment is symptomatic, and oxygen therapy represents the major treatment intervention for patients with severe infection. Mechanical ventilation may be necessary in cases of respiratory failure refractory to oxygen therapy, whereas hemodynamic support is essential for managing septic shock. Different strategies can be used depending on the severity of the patient and local epidemiology. Home management is appropriate for asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic patients. They need a daily assessment of body temperature, blood pressure, oxygen saturation and respiratory symptoms for about 14 days. Management of such patients should focus on prevention of transmission to others and monitoring for clinical status with prompt hospitalization if needed.).

¹³ See World Health Organization, *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 73* (Apr. 3, 2020), https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2.

who are in close contact through respiratory droplets, by direct contact with infected persons, or by contact with contaminated objects and surfaces.¹⁴

25. The incubation period for COVID-19, which is the time between exposure to the virus (becoming infected) and symptom onset, averages 5-6 days, however, it can be up to 14 days.¹⁵ During this period, also known as the “presymptomatic” period, some infected persons can be contagious. For that reason, transmission from a pre-symptomatic case can occur before symptom onset. Pre-symptomatic transmission still requires the virus to be spread through infectious droplets or touching contaminated surfaces.¹⁶

26. An individual who does not develop symptoms, an asymptomatic case of COVID-19, can still transmit the virus to another. Though there are few documented cases reported, it does not exclude the possibility that it has or may occur.¹⁷

27. Not only is COVID-19 transmitted via human-to-human, but the WHO and scientific studies have confirmed that the virus can live on contaminated objects or surfaces. According to a study by scientists documented in *The New England Journal of Medicine*, COVID-19 was detectable

¹⁴ See *id.* (Data from clinical and virologic studies that have collected repeated biological samples from confirmed patients provide evidence that shedding of the COVID-19 virus is highest in the upper respiratory tract (nose and throat) early in the course of the disease. That is, within the first three days from onset of symptoms. Preliminary data suggests that people may be more contagious around the time of symptom onset as compared to later on in the disease.).

¹⁵ See *id.*

¹⁶ See *id.* (In a small number of case reports and studies, pre-symptomatic transmission has been documented through contact tracing efforts and enhanced investigation of clusters of confirmed cases. This is supported by data suggesting that some people can test positive for COVID-19 from 1-3 days before they develop symptoms. Thus, it is possible that people infected with COVID-19 can transmit the virus before significant symptoms develop.).

¹⁷ See *id.*

in aerosols for up to three hours, up to four hours on copper, up to 24 hours on cardboard, and up to two to three days on plastic and stainless steel.¹⁸ All of these materials are used in the preparation and service of food by restaurants. The results of the study suggest that individuals could get COVID-19 through indirect contact with surfaces or objects used by an infected person, whether or not they were symptomatic.

28. Another scientific study documented in the *Journal of Hospital Infection* found that human coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV can remain infectious on inanimate surfaces at room temperature for up to nine days.¹⁹ At a temperature of 30 degrees Celsius or more, the duration of persistence is shorter. Contamination of frequently touched surfaces is, therefore, a potential source of viral transmission.²⁰ Though this study was not conclusive on COVID-19 itself, scientists are still grappling to understand this implication.

¹⁸ See News Release, *New coronavirus stable for hours on surfaces*, NAT'L INSTS. OF HEALTH (Mar. 17, 2020), <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/new-coronavirus-stable-hours-surfaces>; see also World Health Organization, *Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC* (Mar. 29, 2020), <https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-implications-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations> (Airborne transmission of COVID-19 “may be possible in specific circumstances and settings in which procedures or support treatments that generate aerosols are performed; *i.e.*, endotracheal intubation, bronchoscopy, open suctioning, administration of nebulized treatment, manual ventilation before intubation, turning the patient to the prone position, disconnecting the patient from the ventilator, non-invasive positive-pressure ventilation, tracheostomy, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation.”).

¹⁹ See G. Kampf et al., *Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents*, J. HOSPITAL INFECTION (Jan. 31, 2020), <https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0195-6701%2820%2930046-3>.

²⁰ See *id.* (Although the viral load of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces is not known during an outbreak situation, it seems plausible to reduce the viral load on surfaces by disinfection, especially of frequently touched surfaces in the immediate area surrounding a patient where the highest viral load can be expected. The WHO recommends ensuring that “environmental cleaning and disinfection procedures are followed consistently and correctly.”).

29. On March 27, 2020, the CDC released a report entitled “*Public Health Responses to COVID-19 Outbreaks on Cruise Ships - Worldwide, February - March 2020.*”²¹ The report detailed that during this time frame, COVID-19 outbreaks associated with three different cruise ship voyages caused over 800 confirmed cases and ten deaths.²² Of the individuals tested, a high proportion were found to be asymptomatic, which may explain the high rates on cruise ships. What is interesting about this study though, is that COVID-19 was identified on a variety of surfaces in cabins of both symptomatic and asymptomatic infected passengers up to 17 days after cabins were vacated on the Diamond Princess cruise line, but before disinfection procedures had been conducted.²³ The CDC

²¹ See Leah F. Moriarty, MPH, *Public Health Responses to COVID-19 Outbreaks on Cruise Ships - Worldwide, February - March 2020*, CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION (Mar. 27, 2020), https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e3.htm?s_cid=mm6912e3_w.

²² See *id.* (“During February 7-23, 2020, the largest cluster of COVID-19 cases outside mainland China occurred on the Diamond Princess cruise ship, which was quarantined in the port of Yokohama, Japan, on February 3. . . . On March 6, cases of COVID-19 were identified in persons on the Grand Princess cruise ship off the coast of California; that ship was subsequently quarantined. By March 17, confirmed cases of COVID-19 had been associated with at least 25 additional cruise ship voyages. On February 21, CDC recommended avoiding travel on cruise ships in Southeast Asia; on March 8, this recommendation was broadened to include deferring all cruise ship travel worldwide for those with underlying health conditions and for persons [over] 65 years. On March 13, the Cruise Lines International Association announced a 30-day voluntary suspension of cruise operations in the United States. CDC issued a level 3 travel warning on March 17, recommending that all cruise travel be deferred worldwide.”).

²³ See *id.* (“Cruise ships are often settings for outbreaks of infectious diseases because of their closed environment, contact between travelers from many countries, and crew transfers between ships. On the Diamond Princess, transmission largely occurred among passengers before quarantine was implemented, whereas crew infections peaked after quarantine. . . . On the Grand Princess, crew members were likely infected on voyage A and then transmitted [COVID-19] to passengers on voyage B. The results of testing of passengers and crew on board the Diamond Princess demonstrated a high proportion (46.5%) of asymptomatic infections at the time of testing. Available statistical models of the Diamond Princess outbreak suggest that 17.9% of infected persons never developed symptoms. . . . A high proportion of asymptomatic infections could partially explain the high attack rate among cruise ship passengers and crew. . . . Although these data cannot be used to determine whether transmission occurred from contaminated surfaces, further study of fomite transmission of [COVID-19] aboard cruise ships is warranted.”).

notes that more studies are required to understand the perpetuation of transmission, but what is clear is the uncertainty around COVID-19 and its implications for the lawful and safe functioning of a variety of businesses, most significantly, food service businesses.

30. Without a vaccine to protect against COVID-19, effective control of the outbreak relies on measures designed to reduce human-to-human and surface-to-human exposure. Recent information on the CDC's website provides that COVID-19 spreads when people are within six feet of each other or when a person comes in contact with a surface or object that has the virus on it.²⁴ Various other sources state that close contact with a person with the virus or surfaces where the virus is found can transmit the virus.²⁵

31. The secondary exposure of the surface-to-humans is particularly acute in places where the public gathers typically to socialize, eat, drink, shop, be entertained, and go for recreation. This is why the CDC recommends that in viral outbreaks individuals who are infected stay at home and those who are not sick engage in preventive measures such as constant hand washing and avoiding activities that would bring them into close proximity of people with the virus or surfaces where the virus may reside. However, because these recommendations have proven ineffective to minimize the spread of COVID-19, containment efforts have led to civil authorities issuing orders

²⁴ See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *How COVID-19 Spreads*, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-COVID-spreads.html> (last visited June 19, 2020).

²⁵ See Kampf, *supra* note 18 (remains infectious from two hours to 28 days depending on conditions); see also Nina Bai, *Why One Test May Not Be Enough*, UCSF (Feb. 13, 2020), <https://www.ucsf.edu/news/2020/02/416671/how-new-coronavirus-spreads-and-progresses-and-why-one-test-may-not-be-enough> (door knobs and table tops can contain the virus); Heather Murphy, *Surfaces? Sneezes? Sex? How the Coronavirus Can and Cannot Spread*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 19, 2020), <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/02/health/coronavirus-how-it-spreads.html> (virus can remain on metal and plastic for several days).

closing many business establishments, including restaurants, bars, hotels, theaters, personal care salons, gyms, and schools, and mandating social distancing among the population. This has caused the cancelation of sporting events, parades, and concerts, the closure of amusement parks, and substantial travel restrictions. In addition, to conserve medical supplies, orders have been issued prohibiting the performance of non-urgent or non-emergency elective procedures and surgeries, forcing the suspension of operations at many medical, surgical, therapeutic, and dental practices.

32. New York was one of the first States to issue a stay-at home order. On March 16, 2020, Gov. Cuomo, in conjunction with New Jersey Governor Phil Murphy and Connecticut Governor Ned Lamont ordered that as of 8 p.m. that evening, all gyms, movie theaters, bars and casinos were to be closed and restaurants were limited to take-out and delivery orders only. On March 22, 2020, Gov. Cuomo issued a “stay at home” order, ordering New York residents to stay at home except for necessary travel and mandated that all non-essential businesses close until further notice.

33. Such “stay-at-home” orders are in effect in all but five states: more than 30 states have closed all non-essential businesses with additional states enacting measures to curtail business operations, all 50 states have closed schools, and nearly all states have closed restaurants and bars for services other than take-out and delivery (the “Closure Orders”).²⁶

²⁶ See Heather Murphy, *Surfaces? Sneezes? Sex? How the Coronavirus Can and Cannot Spread*, THE NEW YORK TIMES (May 26, 2020), <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/coronavirus-stay-at-home-order.html>; *State Data and Policy Actions to Address Coronavirus*, KFF (June 16, 2020), <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/state-data-and-policy-actions-to-address-coronavirus/>.

**Defendants' Standard Uniform All-Risk
Commercial Property Insurance Policies**

34. The insurance policies Defendants issued to Plaintiff and the Class members are “all risk” commercial property policies which cover loss or damage to the covered premises resulting from all risks other than those expressly excluded.

35. Plaintiff’s Policy, as well as the Policies of other Class members, are standard forms that are used by Defendants for all insureds having applicable coverage and provide identical or substantially similar coverage for all Class members.

PLAINTIFF’S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

36. Among the coverages provided by the Policy was business interruption insurance, which, generally, would indemnify Plaintiff for lost income and profits in the event that its business was shut down.

37. The Commercial Property Business Income (And Extra Expense) Coverage Form, form CP 00 30 10 12, in Plaintiff’s Policy provides the following:

A. Business Income

We will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain due to the necessary “suspension” of your “operations” during the “period of restoration”. The “suspension” must be caused by direct physical loss of or damage to property at premises which are described in the Declarations and for which a Business Income Limit of Insurance is shown in the Declarations. The loss or damage must be caused by or result from a Covered Cause of Loss. With respect to loss of or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle, the described premises include the area within 100 feet of the site at which the described premises are located.

* * *

5. Additional Coverages

a. Civil Authority

In this Additional Coverage, Civil Authority, the described premises to which this Coverage Form applies, as shown In the Declarations.

When a Covered Cause of Loss causes damage to property other than property at the described premises, we will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises, provided that both of the following apply:

(1) Access to the area immediately surrounding the damaged property is prohibited by civil authority as a result of the damage, and the described premises are within that area but are not more than one mile from the damaged property; and

(2) The action of civil authority is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage or continuation of the Covered Cause of Loss that caused the damage, or the action is taken to enable a civil authority to have unimpeded access to the damaged property.

Civil Authority Coverage for Business income will begin 72 hours after the time of the first action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises and will apply for a period of up to four consecutive weeks from the date on which the coverage began.

Civil Authority Coverage for Extra Expense will begin immediately after the time of the first action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises and will end:

- (1) Four consecutive weeks after the date of that action; or
- (2) When your Civil Authority Coverage for Business Income ends;

* * *

38. The Cause of Loss – Special Form, form CP 10 30 10 12, in Plaintiff’s Policy provides the following:

* * *

B. Exclusions

* * *

2. We will not pay for loss or damage caused by or resulting from any of the following:

* * *

I. Discharge, dispersal, seepage, migration, release or escape of “pollutants” unless the discharge, dispersal, seepage, migration, release or escape is itself caused by any of the “specified causes of loss”. But if the discharge, dispersal,

seepage, migration, release or escape of “pollutants” result in a “specified causes of loss”, we will pay for the loss or damage caused by that “specified causes of loss”.

* * *

“Specified causes of loss” means the following: fire; lightning; explosion; windstorm or hail; smoke; aircraft or vehicle; riot or civil commotion; vandalism; leakage from fire-extinguishing equipment; sinkhole collapse; volcanic action; falling object; weight of snow, ice or sleet; water damage.

* * *

39. The New York-Exclusion of Loss Due to Virus or Bacteria, form CP 01 78 08 08, in Plaintiff’s Policy provides the following:

COMMERCIAL PROPERTY COVERAGE PART

A. The exclusion set for In Paragraph B. applies to all coverage under all forms and endorsements that comprise this Coverage part or Policy, including but not limited to forms or endorsements that cover property damage to buildings or personal property and forms or endorsements that cover business Income, extra expense or action of civil authority.

B. We will not pay for loss or damage caused by or resulting from any virus, bacterium or micro-organism that induces or is capable of inducing physical distress, illness or disease.

However, this exclusion does not apply to loss or damage caused by or resulting from “fungus”, wet rot or dry rot. Such loss or damage is addressed in a separate exclusion in this Coverage Part or Policy.

C. The terms of the exclusion in Paragraph B., or the inapplicability of this exclusion to a particular loss, do not serve to create coverage for any loss that would otherwise be excluded under this Coverage Part.

40. Plaintiff and all similarly situated Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property because they have been unable to use their property for its intended purpose.

41. The Policy does not contain any reference to pandemic or exclusions for pandemics. The exclusion of loss due to “virus or bacteria” contained in the form CP 01 78 08 08 is not applicable because Plaintiff’s, and other class members’, losses were not caused by any of the

actions set forth in the endorsement, and/or because other provisions make clear such exclusion is not applicable to certain losses, including food contamination losses. Rather, the efficient proximate cause of Plaintiff's, and other Class members' losses, were the Closure Orders.

**The Closure Orders Have Affected
Policyholders Nationwide.**

42. The Closure Orders are physically impacting private commercial property throughout the United States and the State of New York, threatening the survival of thousands of restaurants, retail establishments, and other businesses that have had their business operations suspended or curtailed indefinitely by order of civil authorities.

43. Defendants do not intend to cover losses caused by the Closure Orders as part of business interruption coverage. As aforementioned, Defendants denied Plaintiff's claim, even though Plaintiff was forced to close due to the Closure Orders. On information and belief, Defendants have denied similar claims by other Class members across-the-board, a practice which is belied by not only the express terms of the insurance policies, but also: (a) the Small Business Administration's requirement that "reimbursement" from "business interruption insurance" be submitted along with an application for an Economic Injury Disaster Loan ("EIDL") loan;²⁷ and (b) America's SBDC, whose COVID-19 newsletter expressly states, "Business interruption insurance also applies if government actions cause operations to cease temporarily, which results in a loss for a firm."²⁸

²⁷ *Applying for SBA Disaster Loans (EIDL)* at 12, U.S. SMALL BUS. ADMIN. (Mar. 26, 2020), https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/articles/EIDL_Information_and_Documentation_-_3-30-2020_FINAL_2_pm.pdf.

²⁸ *COVID-19: The Latest News and Resources for Your Business*, at 15, AMERICA'S SBDC (Mar. 20, 2020), <https://www.dropbox.com/s/jcw2iw9vk2hcq9y/COVID%2019%20-%20Rev6.pdf?dl=0>.

44. As a result, many small businesses that maintain commercial multi-peril insurance policies with business interruption coverage will have significant uninsured losses absent declaratory relief from this Court. Indeed, even if state and local governments re-open, small businesses will almost certainly still be under social-distancing mandates and will continue to experience diminishing revenues due to the loss of covered property.

45. A declaratory judgment determining that the Business Income loss and Extra Expense coverage provided in standard commercial property insurance policies applies to the suspension, curtailment, and interruption of business operations resulting from measures put into place by civil authorities is necessary to prevent plaintiff and similarly situated Class members from being denied critical coverage for which they have paid premiums.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

46. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of itself and all other persons similarly situated.

47. The Multi-State Class is defined as:

All entities who have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with AmTrust Financial insuring property in Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin, where such policies provide for business income loss and extra expense coverage and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

48. The New York Sub-Class is defined as:

All entities who have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Defendants insuring property in New York, where such policies provide for business income loss and extra expense coverage and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

Excluded from each class are the Defendants, its employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, successors, and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies; Class Counsel and their employees; and the judicial officers and their immediate family members and associated court staff assigned to this case.

49. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify, expand, or amend the definitions of the proposed classes following the discovery period and before the Court determines whether class certification is appropriate.

50. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of its claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

Numerosity

51. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1). The Class numbers is at least in the hundreds and consists of geographically dispersed business entities who are insured for business interruption losses. AmTrust Financial sells its insurance policies in Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin, and therefore joinder of the Class members is impracticable.

52. The identity of Class members is ascertainable, as the names and addresses of all Class members can be identified by Defendants or their agent's books and records. Plaintiff anticipates providing appropriate notice to the certified Class in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(A) and/or (B), to be approved by the Court after class certification, or pursuant to court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(d).

Typicality

53. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3) because Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of each of the Class members, as all Class members were and are similarly affected and their claims arise from the same all-risk commercial property insurance policy provisions entered into with Defendants. Each Class member's insurance policy contains the same form providing coverage for business income loss. None of the forms exclude coverage due to a governmental action intended to reduce the effect of the ongoing global pandemic. As a result, a declaratory judgment as to the rights and obligations under Plaintiff's Policy will address the rights and obligations of all Class members.

Adequacy of Representation

54. Plaintiff is committed to prosecuting the action, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class, and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class action litigation, including litigation relating to insurance policies. Plaintiff is aware of no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with other members of the Class. Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action.

Commonality

55. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2) because there are questions of law and fact that are common to each of the classes. These common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. The questions of law and fact common to the Class include, but are not limited to:

(a) Whether there is an actual controversy between Plaintiff and Defendants as to the rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations of the parties under the business interruption coverage provisions in standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies;

(b) Whether measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic are excluded from Plaintiff's and the Class members' standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies;

(c) Whether the measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020 caused physical loss or damage to covered commercial property;

(d) Whether Defendants have repudiated and anticipatorily breached the all-risk commercial property insurance policies it issued with business interruption coverage by intending to deny claims for coverage; and

(e) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members suffered damages as a result of the anticipatory breach by Defendants.

Superiority/Predominance

56. This action satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3). A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the rights of the Class members. The joinder of individual Class members is impracticable because of the vast number of Class members who have entered into the standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Defendants.

57. Because a declaratory judgment as to the rights and obligations under the uniform all-risk commercial property insurance policies will apply to all Class members, most or all Class members would have no rational economic interest in individually controlling the prosecution of specific actions. The burden imposed on the judicial system by individual litigation, and to Defendants, by even a small fraction of the Class members, would be substantial.

58. In comparison to piecemeal litigation, class action litigation presents far fewer management difficulties, far better conserves the resources of both the judiciary and the parties, and far more effectively protects the rights of each Class member. The benefits to the legitimate interests

of the parties, the Court, and the public resulting from class action litigation substantially outweigh the expenses, burdens, inconsistencies, economic infeasibility, and inefficiencies of individualized litigation. Class adjudication is superior to other alternatives under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3)(D). Class treatment will also avoid the substantial risk of inconsistent factual and legal determinations on the many issues in this lawsuit.

59. Plaintiff knows of no obstacles likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. Rule 23 provides the Court with the authority and flexibility to maximize the efficiencies and benefits of the class mechanism and reduce management challenges. The Court may, on motion of Plaintiff or on its own determination, certify nationwide and statewide classes for claims sharing common legal questions; use the provisions of Rule 23(c)(4) to certify particular claims, issues, or common questions of law or of fact for class-wide adjudication; certify and adjudicate bellwether class claims; and use Rule 23(c)(5) to divide any Class into subclasses.

COUNT I

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

60. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-59 as if fully set forth herein.

61. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

62. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

63. On information and belief, Plaintiff and other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or

Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and have wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

64. On information and belief, Defendants have denied claims related to the Closure Orders on a uniform and class-wide basis, even in circumstances where they claim to have conducted an "investigation," so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

65. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and the other Class members' rights and Defendants' obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and Class members for the full amount of Business Income losses incurred by Plaintiff and the other Class members in connection with the suspension of their businesses stemming from Closure Orders.

66. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiff and the other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Business Income losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Defendants are obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of the Business Income losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the Closure Orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT II

BREACH OF CONTRACT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

67. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-66 as if fully set forth herein.

68. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

69. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

70. In the Policies, Defendants agreed to pay for their insureds' actual loss of Business Income sustained due to the necessary suspension of their operations during the "period of restoration."

71. In the Business Income policy, Defendants agreed to pay for their insureds' actual loss of Business Income sustained due to the necessary "suspension of [their] operations" during the "period of restoration" caused by direct physical loss or damage.

72. The Closure Orders caused direct physical loss and damage to Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Covered Properties, requiring suspension of operations at the Covered Properties. Losses caused by the Closure Orders thus triggered the Business Income provision of Plaintiff's and the other Class members' Policies.

73. On information and belief, Plaintiff and the other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of their policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

74. By denying coverage for any Business Income losses incurred by Plaintiff and other Class members as a result of the Closure Orders, Defendants have breached their coverage obligations under the Policies.

75. As a result of Defendants' breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other Class members have sustained substantial damages for which Defendants are liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT III

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

76. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-75 as if fully set forth herein.

77. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

78. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

79. Plaintiff and Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policy's clear and unambiguous terms and have wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

80. On information and belief, Defendants have denied claims related to Closure Orders on a uniform and class wide basis, even in circumstances where they claim to have conducted an "investigation," so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

81. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and other Class members' rights and Defendants' obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of covered Civil Authority losses incurred by Plaintiff and other Class members

in connection with Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from those Orders.

82. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiff and other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiff's and other Class members' Civil Authority losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from those Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Defendants are obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members the full amount of the Civil Authority losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered losses related to the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT IV

BREACH OF CONTRACT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

83. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-82 as if fully set forth herein.

84. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

85. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

86. The Policies provide "Civil Authority" coverage, which promises to pay "the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises, provided that . . . (1) [a]ccess to the area immediately surrounding the damaged property is prohibited by civil authority as a result of the damage . . . and

(2) [t]he action of civil authority is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage or continuation of the Covered Cause of Loss that caused the damage”

87. The Closure Orders triggered the Civil Authority provision under Plaintiff’s and the other members of the Class’s Policies.

88. On information and belief, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies’ clear and unambiguous terms.

89. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiff and other members of the Class in connection with the Closure Orders, Defendants have breached their coverage obligations under the Policies.

90. As a result of Defendants’ breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Defendants are liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT V

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

91. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-90 as if fully set forth herein.

92. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and the New York Subclass.

93. Plaintiff’s Policy, as well as those of other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff’s and other Class members’ losses for claims covered by their Policies.

94. On information and belief, Plaintiff and other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and have wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiff and Class members are entitled.

95. On information and belief, Defendants have denied claims related to the Closure Orders on a uniform and class wide basis, even in circumstances where they claim to have conducted an "investigation," so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

96. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiff's and other Class members' rights and Defendants' obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiff and the other Class members for the full amount of Extra Expense losses incurred by Plaintiff and Class members in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from those Orders.

97. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiff and other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiff's and other Class members' Extra Expense losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Defendants are obligated to pay Plaintiff and other Class members for the full amount of the Extra Expense losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered losses related to the Closure Orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT VI

BREACH OF CONTRACT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass)

98. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-97 as if fully set forth herein.

99. Plaintiff brings this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and New York Subclass.

100. Plaintiff's Policy, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Defendants were paid premiums in exchange for their promise to pay Plaintiff's and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by their Policies.

101. In the Extra Expense policy, Defendants also agreed to pay necessary Extra Expense "caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises."

102. Due to the Closure Orders, Plaintiff and other members of the Class incurred Extra Expense at Covered Property.

103. On information and belief, Plaintiff and other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Defendants or Defendants are estopped from asserting them, and yet Defendants have abrogated their insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

104. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiff and other members of the Class in connection with the Closure Orders and Orders intended in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Defendants have breached their coverage obligations under the Policies.

105. As a result of Defendants' breaches of the Policies, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Defendants are liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of itself and all similarly situated individuals, demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. Declaring this action to be a proper class action maintainable pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3) and declaring Plaintiff and its counsel to be representatives of the Class;

B. Issuing a Declaratory Judgment declaring the Parties' rights and obligations under the insurance policies;

C. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class compensatory damages from Defendants' breach of the insurance policies in an amount to be determined at trial, together with appropriate prejudgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;

D. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class costs and disbursements and reasonable allowances for the fees of Plaintiff's and the Class's counsel and experts, and reimbursement of expenses; and

E. Awarding such other and further relief the Court deems just, proper, and equitable.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff and the Class request a jury trial for all Counts for which a trial by jury is permitted by law.

DATED: June 19, 2020

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
SAMUEL H. RUDMAN
MARK S. REICH

/s/ Samuel H. Rudman

SAMUEL H. RUDMAN

58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100
631/367-1173 (fax)
srudman@rgrdlaw.com
mreich@rgrdlaw.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
PAUL J. GELLER
STUART A. DAVIDSON
120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
Boca Raton, FL 33432
Telephone: 561/750-3000
561/750-3364 (fax)
pgeller@rgrdlaw.com

CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI
OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO
JAMES E. CECCHI
LINDSEY H. TAYLOR
5 Becker Farm Road
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: 973/994-1700
jcecchi@carellabyrne.com
ltaylor@carellabyrne.com

SEEGER WEISS
CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
STEPHEN A. WEISS
55 Challenger Road, 6th Floor
Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660
Telephone: 973/639-9100
cseeger@seegerweiss.com
sweiss@seegerweiss.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
DAIJ, INC. d/b/a GENADEEN CATERERS, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Suffolk
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Samuel H. Rudman, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 58 South Service Rd, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747, 631-367-7100

DEFENDANTS
WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY and AMTRUST FINANCIAL,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant New York
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State
Citizen of Another State
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country
PTF DEF
1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State
2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State
3 3 Foreign Nation
4 4
5 5
6 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Table with 5 columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal categories like Insurance, Personal Injury, Real Estate, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District
6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
29 U.S.C. §1332; Breach of contract

Brief description of cause:
Breach of contract claims requesting declaratory and monetary relief

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 06/19/2020 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration

I, Samuel H. Rudman, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

-
-
-

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
 the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
 the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

None.

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
 - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
 - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No
 - c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: 

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

DAIJ, INC. d/b/a GENADEEN CATERERS,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY and AMTRUST FINANCIAL,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY
HQ: 59 Maiden Lane, 43rd Floor
New York, NY 10038
Registered Agent:
Corporation Service Company
251 Little Falls Drive

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Samuel H. Rudman, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 58 South Service Road, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

DAIJ, INC. d/b/a GENADEEN CATERERS,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY and AMTRUST FINANCIAL,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) AMTRUST FINANCIAL
HQ: 59 Maiden Lane, 43rd Floor
New York, NY 10038
Registered Agent:
Corporation Service Company
251 Little Falls Drive

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Samuel H. Rudman, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 58 South Service Road, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

DOUGLAS C. PALMER
CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: