

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.  
60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409  
Great Neck NY 11021-3104  
(516) 260-7080

United States District Court  
Southern District of New York

1:20-cv-08923

Monica Boswell, individually and on behalf  
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.,

Defendant

Class Action Complaint

Plaintiff by attorneys allege upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc. (“defendant”) manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells pound cake baked in a loaf pan purported to be made only with butter as its shortening ingredient under its Entenmann's brand (“Product”).

2. The Product is available to consumers from retail and online stores of third-parties and is sold in sizes including 11.5 OZ (326g) and is prominently represented as “All Butter Loaf Cake”



3. Consumers prefer butter to chemically produced “vegetable” oils when baking for reasons including taste, health and avoidance of highly processed artificial substitutes for butter.
4. Butter costs more than vegetable oil alternatives, like soybean, palm or canola oil.
5. Where a food is labeled “Butter \_\_\_\_\_” or uses the word “butter” in conjunction with its name, reasonable consumers will expect all of the shortening ingredient to be butter.<sup>1</sup>
6. The representations as “All Butter Loaf Cake” are misleading because butter is not the sole shortening ingredient in the Product, as shown by the small print of the ingredient list.

INGREDIENTS: SUGAR, BLEACHED WHEAT FLOUR, EGGS, BUTTER, NONFAT MILK, WATER, FOOD STARCH MODIFIED (CORN), SOYBEAN OIL, LEAVENING (SODIUM ACID PYROPHOSPHATE, BAKING SODA, MONOCALCIUM PHOSPHATE), GLYCERIN, SALT, SORBITAN MONOSTEARATE, ARTIFICIAL FLAVORS, POTASSIUM SORBATE (PRESERVATIVE), POLYSORBATE 60, XANTHAN GUM, MONO- AND DIGLYCERIDES, PHOSPHORIC ACID, CELLULOSE GUM, SOY FLOUR, COCONUT FLOUR. R19-310  
**CONTAINS WHEAT, SOY, MILK, EGG, COCONUT.**

**INGREDIENTS:** SUGAR, BLEACHED WHEAT FLOUR, EGGS, **BUTTER**, NONFAT MILK, WATER, FOOD STARCH-MODIFIED (CORN), **SOYBEAN OIL**, LEAVENING (SODIUM ACID PYROPHOSPHATE, BAKING SODA, MONOCALCIUM PHOSPHATE), GLYCERIN, SALT, SORBITAN MONOSTEARATE, ARTIFICIAL FLAVORS, POTASSIUM SORBATE (PRESERVATIVE), POLYSORBATE 60, XANTHAN GUM, MONO- AND DIGLYCERIDES, PHOSPHORIC ACID, CELLULOSE GUM, SOY FLOUR, COCONUT FLOUR.

7. Though the Product contains butter, it also contains soybean oil, a shortening ingredient.
8. The Product also contains artificial flavor, which provides artificial butter flavoring, which gives the impression that it contains more butter than it does by increasing the butter taste.

---

<sup>1</sup> Compliance Policy Guide (“CPG”), [Sec 505.200, “Butter” Featured in Product Name](#), Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Office of Regulatory Affairs, March 1988 (“If the product contains both butter and shortening but a sufficient amount of butter to give a characteristic butter flavor to the product, an appropriate name would be ‘butter flavored \_\_\_\_\_’... if the product contains any artificial butter flavor it would have to be labeled in compliance with 21 CFR 101.22(i)(2).”).

9. Defendant's branding and packaging of the Product is designed to – and does – deceive, mislead, and defraud plaintiff and consumers.

10. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers like plaintiff.

11. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased and consumed was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.

12. Had plaintiff and class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for them.

13. As a result of the false and misleading labeling, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$3.99 for 12 OZ, excluding tax, compared to other similar products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than the price of the Product if it were represented in a non-misleading way.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

14. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)

15. Under CAFA, district courts have “original federal jurisdiction over class actions involving (1) an aggregate amount in controversy of at least \$5,000,000; and (2) minimal diversity[.]” *Gold v. New York Life Ins. Co.*, 730 F.3d 137, 141 (2d Cir. 2013).

16. Plaintiff Monica Boswell is a citizen of New York.

17. Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Horsham, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania and is a citizen of Pennsylvania.

18. “Minimal diversity” exists because plaintiff Monica Boswell and defendant are

citizens of different states.

19. Upon information and belief, sales of the Product in New York exceed \$5 million per year, exclusive of interest and costs.

20. Venue is proper in this judicial district because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District, *viz*, the decision of plaintiff to purchase the Product and the misleading representations and/or their recognition as such.

21. This court has personal jurisdiction over defendant because it conducts and transacts business, contracts to supply and supplies goods within New York.

#### Parties

22. Plaintiff Monica Boswell is a citizen of New York, New York County, New York.

23. Defendant Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Horsham, Pennsylvania, Montgomery County and is a citizen of Pennsylvania.

24. During the relevant statutes of limitations, plaintiff purchased the Product within her district and/or State for personal and household consumption and/or use in reliance on the representations of the Product.

25. Plaintiff Monica Boswell purchased the Product on one or more occasions, during the relevant period, at stores including but not necessarily limited to, Stop and Shop, 5716 Broadway, The Bronx, NY 10463, and among other times, purchased the Product between September 18 2020 and October 18 2020.

26. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price because she liked the product for its intended use, expected it to contain only butter as a shortening ingredient due to the product name and that the butter flavor would only be from butter instead of added artificial butter flavor.

27. Plaintiff was deceived by and relied upon the Product's deceptive labeling.

28. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product in the absence of Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions.

29. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid for it and she would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

30. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so with the assurance that Product's labels are consistent with the Product's components.

#### Class Allegations

31. The class will consist of all purchasers of the Product who reside in New York during the applicable statutes of limitations.

32. Plaintiff will seek class-wide injunctive relief based on Rule 23(b) in addition to monetary relief class.

33. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

34. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.

35. Plaintiff is an adequate representatives because her interests do not conflict with other members.

36. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

37. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

38. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

39. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

New York General Business Law (“GBL”), §§ 349 & 350  
(Consumer Protection Statutes)

40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

41. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase and consume products which were as described and marketed by defendant and expected by reasonable consumers, given the product type.

42. Defendant’s acts and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.

43. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quality, compositional and/or environmental attributes of the Product.

44. Plaintiff relied on the statements, omissions and representations of defendant, and defendant knew or should have known the falsity of same.

45. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Negligent Misrepresentation

46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

47. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quality, compositional and/or environmental attributes of the Product.

48. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive marketing of the Product and knew or should have known same were false or misleading.

49. This duty is based on defendant’s position as an entity which has held itself out as having special knowledge and experience in the production, service and/or sale of the product type.

50. The representations took advantage of consumers’ cognitive shortcuts made at the

point-of-sale and their trust in defendant, a well-known and respected brand or entity in this sector.

51. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Product.

52. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

53. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

54. The Product was manufactured, labeled and sold by defendant or at its express directions and instructions, and warranted to plaintiff and class members that they possessed substantive, quality, compositional and/or environmental which they did not.

55. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

56. This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as one of the most recognized companies in the nation in this sector.

57. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers and their employees.

58. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these misrepresentations due to numerous complaints by consumers to its main office over the past several years regarding the Product, of the type described here.

59. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable.

60. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much

if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Fraud

61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

62. Defendant misrepresented the substantive, quality, compositional and/or environmental attributes of the Product.

63. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its failure to accurately identify the Product on the front label and ingredient list, when it knew its statements were neither true nor accurate and misled consumers.

64. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Unjust Enrichment

65. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

66. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
3. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and

representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the applicable laws;

4. Awarding monetary damages and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 25, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.

/s/Spencer Sheehan

Spencer Sheehan

60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409

Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 260-7080

Fax: (516) 234-7800

*spencer@spencersheehan.com*

E.D.N.Y. # SS-8533

S.D.N.Y. # SS-2056

1:20-cv-08923  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York

---

---

Monica Boswell, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Bimbo Bakeries USA, Inc.,

Defendant

---

Class Action Complaint

---

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.  
60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409  
Great Neck NY 11021-3104  
Tel: (516) 260-7080  
Fax: (516) 234-7800

---

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: October 25, 2020

/s/ Spencer Sheehan  
Spencer Sheehan