

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.  
Spencer Sheehan  
60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409  
Great Neck, NY 11021-3104  
Telephone: (516) 268-7080  
spencer@spencersheehan.com

United States District Court  
Eastern District of New York

1:20-cv-06066

Jonathan Dill, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Under Armour, Inc.,

Defendant

Complaint

Plaintiff by attorneys alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge: Under Armour, Inc.

1. Under Armour, Inc. (“defendant”) manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells clothes designed to improve athletic performance under its “Rush” line (“Product”) to consumers from retail and online stores and from its website.

2. Defendant’s website describes its Rush Products as “the Fabric Version of an Infrared Sauna, Recycling the Body’s Energy During Performance.”<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://about.underarmour.com/news/2019/03/ua-rush-2019-scientificallly-tested-athlete-proven>

04.03.2019

## **SCIENTIFICALLY TESTED. ATHLETE PROVEN.**

**UA RUSH Is the Fabric Version of an Infrared Sauna, Recycling the Body's Energy During Performance**

From the brand that first revolutionized the athletic wear market in 1996 by introducing sweat-wicking fabric, Under Armour is once again changing the performance apparel game by introducing responsive textiles and gear, scientifically designed to enhance performance.

Under Armour has a 24-hour, 365-day holistic mentality when it comes to training; for an athlete working to reach their full potential, there is much more to tap into beyond moments of training, sweat and competition. UA established itself in the rest and recovery space through product innovations including Athlete Recovery Sleepwear featuring TB12 technology, developed in collaboration with six-time Super Bowl champion Tom Brady. The 2018 Athlete Recover collection was designed in collaboration with leading technology provider Celliant for athletes to wear immediately after an intense workout, beginning the recovery process long before they get home and into bed.

In 2019, Under Armour once again partnered with Celliant to create UA RUSH, a mineral-infused fabric designed to enhance performance, giving athletes that extra edge by recycling the body's energy during moments of performance.

3. The Product is a type of “bioceramic,” described as a “mineral-infused fabric designed to enhance performance, giving athletes that extra edge by recycling the body's energy during moments of performance.”

4. According to Defendant, “This scientifically engineered fabric promotes improved performance and energy return. In simplified terms, UA RUSH is intended to provide the same benefits to the body as an infrared sauna.”

5. Defendant describes how the Product purports to work:

During performance, the body emits heat. The responsive UA RUSH fabric absorbs that heat and converts it into infrared energy that is re-emitted back into the body. This recycled energy increases temporary localized circulation, promoting improved performance, energy and recovery. When worn, UA RUSH stimulates increased endurance and strength.

6. On the webpages where the Product is sold, it states:

What's it do?

No wasted energy, only more gains. UA RUSH™ uses a mineral-infused fabric to take the energy you give off when you work and reflect it back into your muscles, increasing blood flow and helping them work harder. DNA

- As your body emits energy, the mineral-infused fabric absorbs & reflects it back into tissues & muscles, improving endurance & strength;
- Powered by Celliant

What's it do?

No wasted energy, only more gains. UA RUSH™ uses a mineral-infused fabric to take the energy you give off when you work and reflect it back into your muscles, increasing blood flow and helping them work harder.

DNA

Specs

Fit & Care

- As your body emits energy, the mineral-infused fabric absorbs & reflects it back into tissues & muscles, improving endurance & strength
- Mesh panels for strategic ventilation
- Anti-odor technology prevents the growth of odor-causing microbes
- Encased elastic waistband with raised jacquard texture
- Powered by Celliant

7. Defendant's website targeted to the United States does not use the term "far infrared radiation" (FIR) but this is used on its sites in other countries:<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.underarmour.com.sg/en-sg/tb12.html>

Far Infrared is a type of energy on the infrared spectrum that has several benefits for the human body. Our goal was to harness it even when you're resting.

The soft bioceramic print on the inside of the garment absorbs the body's natural heat and reflects Far Infrared back to the skin.

8. FIR is a region in the infrared spectrum of electromagnetic radiation, rays in the 4–14  $\mu\text{m}$  range.

9. After absorbing heat from the human body, the Product purportedly converts the energy (heat) emitted into FIR, which is reflected back to the body.

10. The re-emitted FIR is theorized to penetrate the skin into subcutaneous tissue.

11. This “recycled energy” is said to increase blood circulation, leading to improved athletic performance through greater energy and faster recovery.

12. However, it is false to claim that FIR can achieve the effects claimed by Defendant.

13. Human tissue is close to 70% water, which means it becomes opaque to infrared as the wavelength increased into the FIR.

14. Between the three types of infrared radiation, FIR is the least capable of penetrating human tissue and dissipating heat.<sup>3</sup>

15. Near-infrared (IR-A; 700 nm – 1,400 nm) has the greatest ability to penetrate human tissue, to the subcutaneous layer (up to 5 mm) and provides the best dissipation of heat from the skin surface.<sup>4</sup>

16. Mid-infrared (IR-B; 1,400 nm – 3,000 nm) has the next deepest tissue penetration, to roughly 0.5 mm.

17. Far-infrared radiation (IR-C; 3,000 nm – 1 mm) only has a tissue penetration of about

---

<sup>3</sup> Walter J. Crinnion, "Sauna as a valuable clinical tool for cardiovascular, autoimmune, toxicant-induced and other chronic health problems." *Alternative Medicine Review* 16.3 (2011).

<sup>4</sup> One nm is one-millionth of a meter.

0.1 mm.<sup>5</sup>

18. Therefore, any far-infrared radiation will be unable to penetrate through the skin to achieve the effects promised, *viz*, increased circulation.

19. Though the Product claims to have the ability to “recycle[d] energy,” this is misleading because all bodies at temperatures above absolute zero emit energy, or “heat.”

20. Far-infrared radiation is no different from ordinary heat energy radiated by all objects.

21. The ability to emit energy back to the body is inherent in any fabric that absorbs heat and radiates warmth.

22. Plaintiff used the Product but did not receive the effects and benefits claimed and in no way was he able to recover quicker or exercise longer relative to other athletic clothes.

23. Even if FIR was capable of the effects claimed, the FIR generated by the Product would not be of sufficient intensity to achieve the advertised benefit.

24. The Product’s composition and construction does not meaningfully, or at all, increase energy or recovery time.

25. In fact, numerous scientists have noted the dangers of far-infrared radiation.

26. Therefore, if the Product were to have the effects claimed by Defendant, this would be dangerous for consumers.

27. Therefore, Defendant’s claims about the Product’s effects are false promises.

28. Defendant’s advertising is false and misleading because the vast weight of the competent evidence establishes that far-infrared radiation is not capable of having the effects claimed.

---

<sup>5</sup> Albert Bachem and C. I. Reed. "The penetration of light through human skin." American Journal of Physiology-Legacy Content 97.1 (1931): 86-91.

29. The Product is no more effective than a placebo.

30. Defendant's branding, marketing and packaging of the Product is designed to – and does – deceive, mislead, and defraud plaintiff and consumers.

31. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.

32. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased and consumed was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.

33. Had plaintiff and class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.

34. As a result of the false and misleading labeling, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$50 for a sleeveless t-shirt, and up, compared to other similar products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than the price of the Product if it were represented in a non-misleading way.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

35. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)

36. Under CAFA, district courts have “original federal jurisdiction over class actions involving (1) an aggregate amount in controversy of at least \$5,000,000; and (2) minimal diversity[.]” *Gold v. New York Life Ins. Co.*, 730 F.3d 137, 141 (2d Cir. 2013).

37. Plaintiff Jonathan Dill is a citizen of New York.

38. Defendant Under Armour, Inc. is a Maryland corporation with a principal place of business in Baltimore, Baltimore City County, Maryland.

39. “Minimal diversity” exists because plaintiff Jonathan Dill and defendant are citizens

of different states.

40. Upon information and belief, sales of the Product exceed \$5 million during the applicable statutes of limitations, exclusive of interest and costs.

41. Venue is proper a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

42. Plaintiff purchased the Product in 2020.

43. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price because he liked the product for its intended use and relied upon its representations that it would increase energy and recovery times during and after exercise.

44. Plaintiff was deceived by and relied upon the Product's deceptive labeling and marketing.

45. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product in the absence of Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions or would have paid less for it.

46. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when he can do so with the assurance that Product's labels are consistent with the Product's components.

#### Class Allegations

47. The class will consist of all purchasers of the Product who reside in New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania during the applicable statutes of limitations.

48. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief based on Rule 23(b) in addition to a monetary relief class.

49. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

50. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were

subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.

51. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because his interests do not conflict with other members.

52. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

53. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

54. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

55. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350  
(Consumer Protection Statutes)

56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

57. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase and consume products which were as described and marketed by defendant and expected by reasonable consumers.

58. Defendant's acts and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.

59. Defendant misrepresented the ability of the Product to increase energy and decrease recovery time after exercise.

60. The Product's ability to "recycle energy" was material to consumers.

61. Plaintiff relied on the statements, omissions and representations of defendant, and defendant knew or should have known the falsity of same.

62. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.



Negligent Misrepresentation

63. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

64. Defendant had a duty to disclose the amount and presence of the characterizing ingredients on the front label in the Product's flavoring designation.

65. This duty is based on defendant's position as an entity which has held itself out as having special knowledge and experience in the production, service and/or sale of the product type.

66. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant, a well-known and respected brand or entity in this sector.

67. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Product.

68. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and  
Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

69. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

70. The Product was manufactured, labeled and sold by defendant or at its express directions and instructions, and warranted to plaintiff and class members that it possessed qualitative and/or compositional attributes it did not.

71. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

72. This duty is based, in part, on defendant's position as one of the most recognized companies in the nation in this sector.

73. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, and

their employees.

74. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these misrepresentations due to numerous complaints by consumers to its main office over the past several years regarding the Product, of the type described here.

75. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable because plaintiffs expected a product that was described by Defendant.

76. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Fraud

77. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

78. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its failure to accurately represent the Product, when it knew its statements were neither true nor accurate and misled consumers.

79. Defendant was motivated by increasing its market share against competitor products.

80. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Unjust Enrichment

81. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

82. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
3. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the applicable laws;
4. Awarding monetary damages, statutory damages under the GBL and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 14, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.

/s/Spencer Sheehan

Spencer Sheehan

60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409

Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 268-7080

Fax: (516) 234-7800

*spencer@spencersheehan.com*

E.D.N.Y. # SS-8533

S.D.N.Y. # SS-2056

1:20-cv-06066  
United States District Court  
Eastern District of New York

---

Jonathan Dill, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Under Armour, Inc.,

Defendant

---

Complaint

---

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.  
60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409  
Great Neck NY 11021-3104  
Tel: (516) 268-7080  
Fax: (516) 234-7800

---

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: December 14, 2020

/s/ Spencer Sheehan  
Spencer Sheehan

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

### I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Jonathan Dill, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Queens  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080

### DEFENDANTS

Under Armour, Inc.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant \_\_\_\_\_  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

### II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) |

### III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- |   | PTF                                   | DEF                        |   | PTF                        | DEF                                   |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Citizen of This State                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4            |
| Citizen of Another State                | <input type="checkbox"/> 2            | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3            | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6            |

### IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

### V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- |  |   |  |  |  |   |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|

### VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

28 USC § 1332

Brief description of cause:

False advertising

### VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$ 5,000,000

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No

### VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

DATE 12/14/2020 JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Spencer Sheehan

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY**

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration

I, Spencer Sheehan, counsel for                                  plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
- the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

**DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

**RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

**NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)**

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
  - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No
  - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District?  Yes  No
  - c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?  Yes  No

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

**BAR ADMISSION**

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes  No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain)  No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

**Signature:** /s/Spencer Sheehan

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Eastern District of New York

Jonathan Dill, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Under Armour, Inc.,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-06066

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Under Armour, Inc.
c/o The Corporation Trust Company
2405 York Rd Ste 201
Lutherville Timonium MD 21093-2252

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-
3104 (516) 268-7080

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk