IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Rebecca Hoover, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Class Action Complaint

Case No. 1:21-CV-0228 (BKS/ML)

The Price Chopper, Inc.,

Defendant

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. The Price Chopper, Inc. ("defendant") manufactures, distributes, markets, labels and sells lowfat yogurt labeled as "Vanilla With Other Natural Flavors" with a picture of vanilla beans and a vanilla flower under its PICS brand ("Product").



A. Consumers Seek Natural Flavors

- 2. Natural flavors are from natural sources like fruits and vegetables and made through natural processes such as distillation, roasting, heating, enzymolysis or fermentation. 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(a)(3).
- 3. Artificial flavors are made from synthetic sources or through artificial processes. 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(a)(1) (all methods other than those permitted for natural flavors).
- 4. At least seven out of ten consumers avoid artificial flavors because they believe the synthetic ingredients and harsh, non-natural processes are associated with detrimental health effects and lower nutritional values.¹
- 5. Defendant knows that consumers prefer foods with natural and not artificial flavors, which is why it describes the Product as containing "Other Natural Flavors" instead of "Artificially Flavored." 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(2); 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(c).

B. Vanilla

- 6. Vanilla (*Vanilla planifolia Andrews* and *Vanilla tahitenis Moore*) comes from an orchid plant that originated in Mexico where it was first cultivated.
- 7. The vanilla orchid produces a fruit pod, the vanilla bean which is the raw material for vanilla flavorings.
- 8. The vanilla bean is heated in the sun for weeks, soaked in alcohol and its flavor constituents extracted (vanilla extract).
 - 9. Vanillin (3-methoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde) is the major component of natural

¹ Alex Smolokoff, Natural color and flavor trends in food and beverage, Natural Products Insider, Oct. 11, 2019; Thea Bourianne, Exploring today's top ingredient trends and how they fit into our health-conscious world, March 26-28, 2018.

² The FDCA and its regulations are incorporated and adopted in New York Agriculture and Markets Law ("AGM") and accompanying regulations. See Title 1, Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York ("NYCRR"), 1 NYCRR § 259.1.

vanilla flavor and is responsible for roughly one-third of the flavor and aroma.

- 10. Vanillin is found in the form of its β -D-glucoside (glucovanillin) in green vanilla beans.
- 11. The curing process, including the hydrolysis of its β -D-glucoside, leads to the release of vanillin from glucovanillin, at concentrations of 1-4% of dry weight of cured beans.
- 12. Vanilla's flavor is due to the hundreds of odor-active compounds besides vanillin, such as acids, ethers, lactones, alcohols, acetals, phenols, esters and carbonyls.
 - 13. Methyl cinnamate and cinnamyl alcohol provide cinnamon notes.
 - 14. P-cresol contributes flavor notes described as woody and spicy.
 - 15. Acetovanillone provides a sweet, honey taste.
- 16. P-hydroxybenzoic acid, 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (p-anisyl alcohol) and vanillic acid are significant phenolic compounds which contribute to vanilla's aroma.
- 17. When consumers see a picture of vanilla beans and a vanilla flower on the Product, they expect its vanilla taste to come mainly from the vanilla plant.

II. There are Two Types of Vanillin – Defendant Uses the Artificial Kind

- 18. Since the isolation of vanillin from vanilla in the mid-1800s, there have been two types of vanillin.
 - 19. The first is natural vanillin from the vanilla plant.
 - 20. Natural vanillin is not isolated commercially since it is part of vanilla extract.
- 21. The second is artificial vanillin from sources including guaiacol (coal tar or petroleum), eugenol (clove oil), ferulic acid and lignin (tree pulp), made through artificial processes. 21 C.F.R.§ 182.60 (listing vanillin as a "Synthetic flavoring substances and adjuvants.").

i. Vanillin from Guaiacol

- 22. Guaiacol is the source of 85% of vanillin.
- 23. It is obtained from the synthetic petrochemicals, benzene and propylene, whose industrial source is petroleum.
 - 24. Converting guaiacol to vanillin entails condensation with glyoxylic acid.
- 25. The processes include chemical reactions such as decarboxylation and aromatic substitution.
- 26. Vanillin from guaiacol is an artificial flavor because it is from an artificial source and undergoes artificial processes.

ii. Vanillin from Lignin³

- 27. Vanillin is also derived from lignin, present in sulfite wastes of the wood pulp industry.
- 28. These sulfite wastes contain chemicals used in the processing of wood pulp and are therefore not considered a natural source.
- 29. Lignin is difficult to degrade by natural means and must be broken down either with sodium hydroxide, nickel or calcium hydroxide and simultaneously oxidized in the air in the presence of these chemical catalysts.
- 30. When these chemical reactions are completed, the solid wastes and vanillin are removed from the acidified solution with a non-natural solvent, e.g., butanol or benzene, and reextracted with a sodium hydrogen sulfite solution.
- 31. The vanillin is subjected to reacidification with sulfuric acid followed by vacuum distillation, and several recrystallization cycles before it is finished.

³ Lignin is a class of complex organic polymers that form key structural materials in the support tissues of most plants.

- 32. Vanillin from lignin is an artificial flavor because its starting material is sulfite waste containing chemicals from the processing of wood pulp and are therefore not a natural source.
- 33. Vanillin from lignin is an artificial flavor because it must be subjected to artificial processes in being converted to vanillin.

iii. Vanillin from Eugenol

- 34. Eugenol, the major constituent of clove oil, is a source of vanillin.
- 35. The first method of converting eugenol to vanillin requires isomerization of eugenol to isoeugenol under alkaline conditions, followed by side-chain cleavage to vanillin and two-carbon moiety under acidic conditions.
- 36. The second method of converting eugenol to vanillin involves the intermediary of coniferyl alcohol which is oxidized to ferulic acid.
- 37. The ferulic acid is subjected to high heat of 800 degrees Celsius, high amounts of pressure, 20 atmospheric pressure units, and chemical catalysts, sodium hydroxide or sodium chloride.
- 38. Vanillin from eugenol is an artificial flavor because the high heat, high pressure and chemical catalysts are outside of what is considered a natural process for producing a natural flavor.
- III. The Product's Ingredient List is Misleading and Prevents Consumers from Learning the Truth
- 39. Laboratory testing of the Product in the fall and/or winter of 2020 revealed it contains vanillin, an artificial flavor. See 21 C.F.R. § 182.60 (listing vanillin as a "[s]ynthetic flavoring substances and adjuvants").

40. The ingredient list conceals these added artificial flavors as part of the generic "Natural Flavors" instead of using the required, specific, names – "vanillin" or "artificial flavor." 21 C.F.R. § 101.4(b)(1).



INGREDIENTS: CULTURED PASTEURIZED LOWFAT MILK, SUGAR, WHEY PROTEIN CONCENTRATE, NONFAT MILK, NATURAL FLAVORS, PECTIN.

- 41. Even if reasonable consumers were to investigate the "Vanilla With Other Natural Flavors" statement on the front label by scrutinizing the ingredient list on the back, they would still be unaware that the Product contained artificial flavors.
 - 42. Defendant conflates natural and artificial flavor, which deceives consumers.
- 43. Because the only natural vanillin is from the vanilla plant, vanillin from non-vanilla sources is artificial.⁴
- 44. The vanillin used in the Product is the artificial version and not from vanilla beans for several reasons.

⁴ John B. Hallagan and Joanna Drake, The Flavor Extract Manufacturer's Association (FEMA), "<u>Labeling Vanilla Flavorings and Vanilla Flavored Foods in the U.S.</u>," Perfumer & Flavorist, Vol. 43 at p. 46, Apr. 25, 2018 ("Hallagan & Drake") (distinction between vanilla and non-vanilla flavors is discussed in regulatory correspondence and FDA advisory opinions)

- 45. First, the amount of vanillin was significantly greater than it would be if it were only present as *part* of the trace of vanilla extract included in the "Natural Flavor" ingredient listed.
- 46. Second, the vanillin was unaccompanied by the expected amounts of other aromatic compounds from the vanilla plant methyl cinnamate, cinnamyl alcohol, p-cresol, acetovanillone, p-hydroxybenzoic acid, 4-methyoxybenzyl alcohol (p-anisyl alcohol) and vanillic acid, even though these compounds were analyzed for.
- 47. Third, the absence of these compounds is indicative of a product which contains at most, a trace or *de minimis* amount of vanilla.
- 48. Fourth, there exists an inability to cost-effectively produce vanillin at scale through methods which can be considered natural processes.
- 49. Defendant adds artificial flavor because the amount of vanilla in the Product is unable to provide any noticeable vanilla taste.
- 50. Because the Product contains artificial flavor that "simulates, resembles or reinforces the characterizing flavor" of vanilla, the front label is required to state, "Artificially Flavored" instead of "With Other Natural Flavors." 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(2); 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(1)(iii).
- 51. "With Other Natural Flavors" is false and misleading because the Product's characterizing vanilla flavor is provided by artificial flavor.
- 52. The Product lacks the expected vanilla taste because added vanillin is not equivalent to the hundreds of compounds from the vanilla plant.
- 53. The Product's front label picture of vanilla beans and the vanilla flower reinforce the statement of "Vanilla" so that plaintiff and consumers expect the vanilla taste to come mainly from the vanilla plant.

- 54. Plaintiff and consumers were misled because the Product's vanilla taste did not come from the vanilla plant but from artificial flavor with no connection to the vanilla plant.
- 55. Reasonable consumers must and do rely on defendant to honestly disclose the source of the Product's flavor.
- 56. Defendant misrepresented the Product through affirmative statements, half-truths, and omissions.
- 57. Defendant sold more of the Product and at a higher price than it would have in absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.
- 58. Had plaintiff and the proposed class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.
- 59. As a result of the false and misleading representations, the Product is sold at a premium price, approximately no less than \$3.99 for containers of 32 OZ, excluding tax, compared to other similar products represented in a non-misleading way, and higher than it would be sold for absent the misleading representations and omissions.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 60. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") as the amount in controversy is at least \$5 million and there is minimal diversity. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).
- 61. Upon information and belief, sales of the Product and any available statutory and other monetary damages, exceed \$5 million during the applicable statutes of limitations, exclusive of interest and costs.
 - 62. Plaintiff Rebecca Hoover is a citizen of Pennsylvania.
 - 63. Defendant The Price Chopper, Inc. is a New York corporation with a principal place

of business in Schenectady, Schenectady County, New York.

- 64. Diversity exists because plaintiff Rebecca Hoover and defendant are citizens of different states.
- 65. Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here, as Plaintiff Rebecca Hoover made the purchase in this district.

Parties

- 66. Plaintiff Rebecca Hoover is a citizen of Scranton, Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania.
- 67. Defendant The Price Chopper, Inc. is a New York corporation with a principal place of business in Schenectady, New York, Schenectady County.
- 68. Defendant operates approximately 150 grocery stores under the Price Chopper and Market32 brands in Pennsylvania, New York, and throughout the Northeastern States.
- 69. Plaintiff Rebecca Hoover purchased the Product on one or more occasions at defendant's stores, and specifically, between November and December 2020, at locations including but not necessarily limited to 1510 S Main Ave, Scranton, PA 18504.
- 70. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price because she wanted to buy a product with the qualities and attributes represented and relied upon what the label indicated and/or omitted.
- 71. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid and she would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.
- 72. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so with the assurance that Product's labeling is consistent with its composition.

Class Allegations

- 73. The class will consist of all purchasers of the Product who reside in Pennsylvania, Vermont and New Hampshire during the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 74. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief based on Rule 23(b) in addition to a monetary relief class.
- 75. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.
- 76. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.
- 77. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other members.
- 78. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.
- 79. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.
- 80. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.
 - 81. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 349 & 350 (Consumer Protection Statutes)

- 82. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 83. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase a product with the attributes and omissions as represented by Defendant's labeling and marketing of the Product.

- 84. Defendant's acts and omissions are not unique to the parties and have a broader impact on the public.
- 85. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities and half-truths.
 - 86. Defendant intended that plaintiff and class members rely on these representations.
 - 87. Plaintiff and class members were misled and/or deceived.
- 88. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Breaches of Express Warranty, Implied Warranty of Merchantability and Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 90. The Product was manufactured, labeled and warranted to plaintiff and class members that it possessed substantive, functional, nutritional, qualitative, compositional, organoleptic, sensory, physical and/or health-related attributes which it did not.
- 91. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.
 - 92. This duty is based on Defendant's outsized role in the market for this type of product.
- 93. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers and employees.
- 94. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these misrepresentations due to numerous complaints by regulators and consumers to its main office over the past several years regarding the Product.
- 95. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable because it was not fit to pass in the trade as

advertised.

96. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Negligent Misrepresentation

- 97. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 98. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.
- 99. This duty is based on defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience in the sale of the product type.
- 100. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant, a well-known and respected brand or entity in this sector.
- 101. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, the purchase of the Product.
- 102. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

<u>Fraud</u>

- 103. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 104. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product.
- 105. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its failure to accurately disclose the issues described herein, when it knew not doing so would mislead consumers.
- 106. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Unjust Enrichment

107. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

108. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented

and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek

restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the

undersigned as counsel for the class;

2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the

challenged practices to comply with the law;

3. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and

representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the

applicable laws;

4. Awarding monetary damages, statutory damages pursuant to any statutory claims and

interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;

5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and

experts; and

6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 27, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.

/s/Spencer Sheehan

Spencer Sheehan

60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409

Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 268-7080 Fax: (516) 234-7800

spencer@spencersheehan.com

United States District Cou	ırt
Northern District of New	York

Rebecca Hoover, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

The Price Chopper, Inc.,

Defendant

Class Action Complaint

Sheehan & Associates, P.C. 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 268-7080 Fax: (516) 234-7800

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, upon information, and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the contentions contained in the annexed documents are not frivolous.

Dated: February 27, 2021

/s/ Spencer Sheehan
Spencer Sheehan

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

Case 1:21-cv-00228-BKS-ML Document 1-1 Filed 02/27/21 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (See instructions on part page of this form.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Rebecca Hoover, indesimilarly situated	lividually and on	behalf of all other	ers DEFENDANTS The Price Cho	pper, Inc.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lackawanna (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Sheehan & Associates, P. 11021-3104 (516) 268-70	C., 60 Cuttermill Rd		Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in o	One Box Only)	I I. CITIZENSHIP OF P		(For Diversity Cases Only.)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		nd one box for defendant.) FF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr Business In This S	
☐ 2 U.S. Governmen Defendant	☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State ✓	2	•
W. NATURE OF CHIE	D.		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FORFFITURE/PENALTY	RANKRUPTCV	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 970 Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities-Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities-Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes
	moved from 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil St	Appellate Court atute under which you are f	4 Reinstated or Reopened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☑ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: : ☑ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	DNEV OF DECORD	DOCKET NUMBER	
2/27/2021		/s/ Spencer Sheeh			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY ANYNDC-543842	8 MOUNT \$402.00	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	BKS MAG. JU	DGE ML

Case No.: 1:21-CV-0228