

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

Patricia Zurliene, individually and on behalf of  
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc.,

Defendant

3:21-cv-00747

Class Action Complaint

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief, except for allegations pertaining to plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge:

1. Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc. ("defendant") manufactures, labels, markets and sells "Vanilla Milk Chocolate" ice cream bars purporting to be "dipped in, then drizzled in rich milk chocolate," with a chunk of chocolate, under the Häagen-Dazs brand ("Product").



## I. DEFINITION OF CHOCOLATE

2. Chocolate is defined by Merriam-Webster as a food “prepared from ground roasted cacao beans.”<sup>1</sup>

3. Dictionary.com defines chocolate as a “a preparation of the seeds of cacao, roasted, husked, and ground, often sweetened and flavored, as with vanilla.”<sup>2</sup>

4. The Cambridge Dictionary describes chocolate as “a sweet, usually brown, food made from cacao seeds, that is usually sold in a block, or a small candy made from this.”<sup>3</sup>

5. Google Dictionary – based on its leading search engine that discovers the most relevant and accurate information – defines chocolate as “a food preparation in the form of a paste or solid block made from roasted and ground cacao seeds, typically sweetened.”<sup>4</sup>

6. To make chocolate, cacao beans are “Fermented, roasted, [and] shelled,” producing cacao nibs.

7. The nibs are ground to produce cocoa mass or chocolate liquor and then combined with dairy ingredients, sweeteners and flavorings.

8. This state, and the Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”), adopted these dictionary definitions for chocolate – made from cacao beans with a small amount of optional ingredients, like dairy products, sweeteners and flavorings. See 21 C.F.R. § 163.13(a); 21 C.F.R. § 163.124(b).

9. While a definition draws a sharp boundary around a term to provide meaning, words are also defined by what they exclude.<sup>5</sup>

10. In the context of chocolate, the definitions universally exclude fats from sources

---

<sup>1</sup> Merriam-Webster, [chocolate](#).

<sup>2</sup> Dictionary.com, [chocolate](#).

<sup>3</sup> Cambridge.org, [chocolate](#).

<sup>4</sup> [Chocolate definition](#) – Google search.

<sup>5</sup> Carnap and Bar-Hillel.

other than cacao ingredients, *viz*, vegetable oils.<sup>6</sup>

11. Federal and state regulations require that where a food has some chocolate but is supplemented by a non-de minimis amount of chocolate substitutes, it should be disclosed on the front label as “milk chocolate and vegetable oil coating.” 21 C.F.R. § 163.155(c).

## II. CONSUMERS EXPECT CHOCOLATE TO BE MADE FROM CACAO BEANS

12. Historians of chocolate note that “Mixing unnatural ingredients with chocolate – with the intent of extending the quantity of raw product and defrauding customers – has a long history.”<sup>7</sup>

13. The main adulterants and extenders were starches and vegetable fats.

14. In 2007, a group of the largest confectionery companies – which included the previous owner of Defendant, Nestle, S.A., sought to re-define chocolate by replacing cacao ingredients with “cocoa butter equivalents” (“CBE”), or vegetable fats.

15. Over thirty-thousand Americans submitted critical comments, crashing the FDA’s website.

16. In an interview on National Public Radio (“NPR”), Cybele May, proprietor of a website devoted to reviews of candy, was asked, “if it’s labeled chocolate, it has to have cocoa butter in it?”<sup>8</sup>

17. May replied, “Absolutely, and it cannot contain other vegetable oils. It has to be completely from the cocoa bean.”

18. The Los Angeles Times reported on the attempted change to the meaning of chocolate.

---

<sup>6</sup> Vegetable oils used with cacao ingredients are also referred to as vegetable fats because they are solid at room temperature.

<sup>7</sup> L.P. Brindle and B.F. Olsen, [Adulteration – The Dark World of ‘Dirty’ Chocolate](#),” in *Chocolate* (eds. L.E. Grivetti and H.Y. Shapiro) (2009).

<sup>8</sup> Rebecca Roberts, *An Argument Against ‘Healthy’ Chocolate*, *Talk of the Nation*, Apr. 24, 2007, NPR; [candyblog.net](#).

19. According to an average consumer who was interviewed, “The idea of substituting vegetable oil for cocoa butter, a natural component of the cocoa bean that is the traditional source of chocolate,” “would [make her] feel like [she] was being duped.”<sup>9</sup>

20. One chocolatier commented, “This is all a question of money. If they can take something and dilute it, and still get the taste [for] a fraction of the cost, that’s what they want. But the taste is not the same, he and others said.”

21. San Francisco-based Gary Guittard, “president of his eponymous, family-owned business [Guittard Chocolate Co.], [saw] this as a battle for the soul of the popular confection.”

22. Fran Bigelow, of Seattle’s Fran’s Chocolates, was asked if she would “ever use vegetable fats and oils instead of cocoa butter in [her] chocolate?,” and responded, “No, no, no...we just are fighting to protect the integrity of chocolate,” by “educat[ing] consumers.”

23. The proposal was defeated, in part due to chocolate colossus and industry leader, Mars Wrigley:

At Mars, the consumer is our boss, and American consumers are passionate about chocolate. They don’t want anyone to change the chocolate they’ve enjoyed for generations...As a privately held company, we have the freedom to invest in the highest quality chocolate and deliver what consumers want.

24. Recent consumer surveys, including one of over four hundred Americans, reached the same conclusions.

25. Roughly sixty percent of respondents who viewed the Product’s front label milk chocolate statements with a chunk of chocolate – expected it would contain more cacao bean ingredients than it did and would not be made with chocolate substitutes.

---

<sup>9</sup> Jerry Hirsch, The Courage of their Confections, Apr. 14, 2007, Los Angeles Times.

### **III. REASONS WHY CONSUMERS WANT CHOCOLATE FROM CACAO BEANS**

26. For several reasons, consumers are misled when “chocolate” replaces chocolate ingredients from cacao beans with vegetable oils.

27. First, cacao ingredients are several times more expensive than vegetable oils.

28. Though cocoa butter makes up one-fourth of chocolate, it costs more than three times as much as vegetable oil.

29. Bigelow stated, “there's no benefit to the consumer, but there's a benefit to the manufacturers that are using those vegetable oils or the lower-cost ingredients.”

30. Where a “chocolate” product is made with lower-cost ingredients, but advertising higher-value ingredients, consumers pay more and get less.

31. Second, cacao ingredients provide greater satiety than vegetable oils – it fills you up instead of leaving the consumer feeling like they did not eat anything.

32. By replacing cacao ingredients with vegetable oils, consumers must eat more of the “chocolate” to feel full.

33. The result is greater consumption of empty calories.

34. Third, the creamy and smooth taste of chocolate is eliminated when vegetable oils are added in place of the cocoa butter from chocolate from cacao beans.

35. The substitution of vegetable oils – in amounts as low as five percent – contribute to a waxy and oily mouthfeel and leave an aftertaste.

36. Cocoa butter, according to Jay King, the president of the Retail Confectioners International, “is the essence of the taste, texture and ‘mouth feel’” of chocolate.

37. Though proponents of the plan to add vegetable oils to chocolate claimed consumers “won’t notice if they change it,” Jean Hammond of Kilwin’s Ice Cream Shops, disagreed:

Just that little bit of vegetable oil changes the mouthfeel. It makes it taste waxy. You would just be stunned at the difference in taste. They're just not comparable. We take chocolate just very, very seriously. Chocolate-ish is not good enough.

38. Fourth, real chocolate has health and nutrition benefits that vegetable oils lack.

39. Numerous studies have indicated that flavonoids in cacao beans positively affect the heart and arteries against harmful free radicals.

40. Vegetable oils raise cholesterol, contain artery-clogging trans-fats and saturated fats, and are linked to higher rates of heart disease.

41. Cocoa butter contains relatively heart-healthy, unsaturated fats, which does not cause elevated cholesterol or greater risks of heart disease.

42. Fifth, chocolate is a natural ingredient, made through fermentation, roasting, and grinding of cacao beans, and mixing them with flavorings, dairy ingredients and sweeteners.

43. Consumers across all demographics increasingly prefer foods that are natural and avoid synthetic ingredients that are highly processed and made with additives.

44. In contrast, the coconut oil used is synthetic, subjected to hydrogenation and interesterification, in the presence of chemical catalysts, such as nickel and cadmium.

#### **IV. THE “MILK CHOCOLATE” CONTAINS INGREDIENTS INCONSISTENT WITH CHOCOLATE**

45. The representation of the Product’s coating as “Rich Milk Chocolate” is misleading, and at best, a “half-truth,” because it contains ingredients not found in chocolate – “Coconut Oil.”

**INGREDIENTS: VANILLA ICE CREAM:** CREAM, SKIM MILK, SUGAR, EGG YOLKS, VANILLA EXTRACT. **MILK CHOCOLATE AND VEGETABLE OIL COATING:** MILK CHOCOLATE (SUGAR, WHOLE MILK POWDER, CHOCOLATE, COCOA BUTTER, SOY LECITHIN, VANILLA EXTRACT), COCONUT OIL.

**INGREDIENTS: VANILLA ICE CREAM:** CREAM, SKIM MILK, SUGAR, EGG YOLKS, VANILLA EXTRACT. **MILK CHOCOLATE AND VEGETABLE OIL COATING:** **MILK CHOCOLATE** (SUGAR, WHOLE MILK POWDER, CHOCOLATE, COCOA BUTTER, SOY LECITHIN, VANILLA EXTRACT), **COCONUT OIL**.

46. The ingredient list reveals that what is described on the front label as “MILK CHOCOLATE” is actually “MILK CHOCOLATE AND VEGETABLE OIL COATING.”

47. Based on industry specifications for “compound coatings” and/or evaluation of the saturated fat and cholesterol content listed on the Nutrition Facts, the amount of coconut oil is estimated at approximately thirty percent.

48. Though the “MILK CHOCOLATE AND VEGETABLE OIL COATING” ingredient separates the milk chocolate and coconut oil component, consumers are still not told that the Product’s coating contains an appreciable amount of coconut oil, in place of cacao ingredients.

49. Consumers of a premium ice cream bar, under the Häagen-Dazs brand, will not be so distrustful to scrutinize the fine print of the ingredient list to confirm the front label is accurate.

50. Guittard stated that nothing prevents a company from producing a bar with less or no cocoa butter, but it “has to be labeled ‘chocolate flavored’ (for it still has the cocoa in it) [on the front label] rather than ‘chocolate.’ That gives the consumer a signal that something less than chocolate lies beneath the wrapping.”

51. Even chocolate aficionados such as Cybele May indicated that expectations for chocolate are so well-established that she “do[es]n’t want to have to flip over the chocolate bar to read the ingredients to know what I’m getting. I want to know on the front that it is chocolate with cocoa butter.”

52. Consumers expect that companies – especially larger and respected brands, like Häagen-Dazs – to tell them the truth, not half-truths.

## **V. CONCLUSION**

53. Reasonable consumers must and do rely on a company to honestly identify and describe the components, attributes and features of the Product, relative to itself and other comparable products or alternatives.

54. The value of the Product that plaintiff purchased was materially less than its value as represented by defendant.

55. Defendant sold more of the Product and at higher prices than it would have in the absence of this misconduct, resulting in additional profits at the expense of consumers.

56. Had Plaintiff and proposed class members known the truth, they would not have bought the Product or would have paid less for it.

57. The Product is sold for a price premium compared to other similar products, no less than \$5.99 for pack of three, a higher price than it would otherwise be sold for, absent the misleading representations and omissions.



Jurisdiction and Venue

58. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”). 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

59. Upon information and belief, the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million, including any statutory damages, exclusive of interest and costs.

60. Plaintiff Patricia Zurliene is a citizen of Illinois.

61. Defendant Dreyer’s Grand Ice Cream, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Oakland, Alameda County, California

62. The parties are citizens of different states.

63. Venue is in this district because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here, Plaintiff’s purchase and awareness that the representations were misleading.

Parties

64. Plaintiff Patricia Zurliene is a citizen of Breese, Clinton County, Illinois.

65. Defendant Dreyer’s Grand Ice Cream, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in Oakland, California, Alameda County.

66. Defendant is one of the largest producers of frozen dairy desserts in the world.

67. Previously owned by Nestle, defendant is currently operated by the overseas holding company known only as “Froneri.”

68. Defendant’s brands include the super-premium Häagen-Dazs, recognized by consumers for the strictest standards of purity and quality with respect to ingredients.

69. The Product is sold throughout this state in grocery stores, convenience stores, big box stores, gas station stores, warehouse stores, and is available online.

70. Plaintiff bought the Product on one or more occasions within the statute of limitations for each cause of action alleged, from stores including Dierbergs, 6671 Edwardsville Crossing Drive Edwardsville IL 62025, between January and February 2021, among other times.

71. Plaintiff saw the front label that said, “Milk Chocolate,” “dipped in, then drizzled in rich milk chocolate” and a chunk of chocolate.

72. Plaintiff knew that “rich” was an adjective associated with chocolate, as it connotes a smooth mouthfeel.

73. Plaintiff knew that chocolate substitutes, like vegetable oils, imparted a waxy and oily mouthfeel.

74. Plaintiff expected the “milk chocolate” part of the Product would only contain chocolate, and that it would not include chocolate substitutes such as coconut oil.

75. Plaintiff knew that the Häagen-Dazs brand is one of the largest sellers of chocolate covered ice cream bars in this country and expected that if they sold a product represented as “Milk Chocolate” with a picture of chocolate, it would not contain ingredients inconsistent with chocolate.

76. Plaintiff wanted more than a “chocolatey” taste but chocolate – understood as made from cacao ingredients without chocolate substitutes like vegetable fats, such as coconut oil.

77. Plaintiff bought the Product at or exceeding the above-referenced price.

78. Plaintiff relied on the representations identified here.

79. Plaintiff would not have purchased the Product if she knew the representations were false and misleading.

80. Plaintiff chose between Defendant’s Product and other similar products which were represented similarly, but which did not misrepresent their attributes and/or lower-priced products

which did not make the claims made by Defendant.

81. The Product was worth less than what Plaintiff paid and she would not have paid as much absent Defendant's false and misleading statements and omissions.

82. Plaintiff intends to, seeks to, and will purchase the Product again when she can do so with the assurance that Product's representations are consistent with its composition.

#### Class Allegations

83. The class will consist of Illinois residents who purchased the Product during the statutes of limitations for each cause of action alleged.

84. Common questions of law or fact predominate and include whether defendant's representations were and are misleading and if plaintiff and class members are entitled to damages.

85. Plaintiff's claims and basis for relief are typical to other members because all were subjected to the same unfair and deceptive representations and actions.

86. Plaintiff is an adequate representative because her interests do not conflict with other members.

87. No individual inquiry is necessary since the focus is only on defendant's practices and the class is definable and ascertainable.

88. Individual actions would risk inconsistent results, be repetitive and are impractical to justify, as the claims are modest relative to the scope of the harm.

89. Plaintiff's counsel is competent and experienced in complex class action litigation and intends to protect class members' interests adequately and fairly.

90. Plaintiff seeks class-wide injunctive relief because the practices continue.

Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act  
(“ICFA”), 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq.

(Consumer Protection Statute)

91. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

92. Plaintiff and class members desired to purchase a product which contained chocolate ingredients and not an appreciable amount of chocolate substitutes.

93. Defendant’s false and deceptive representations and omissions are material in that they are likely to influence consumer purchasing decisions.

94. Defendant misrepresented the Product through statements, omissions, ambiguities, half-truths and/or actions.

95. Plaintiff relied on the representations.

96. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

Breaches of Express Warranty,  
Implied Warranty of Merchantability and  
Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq.

97. The Product was manufactured, labeled and sold by defendant and expressly and impliedly warranted to plaintiff and class members that it contained chocolate ingredients and not an appreciable amount of chocolate substitutes.

98. Defendant had a duty to disclose and/or provide non-deceptive descriptions and marketing of the Product.

99. This duty is based on Defendant’s outsized role in the market for this type of Product.

100. Plaintiff provided or will provide notice to defendant, its agents, representatives, retailers and their employees.

101. Defendant received notice and should have been aware of these issues due to

complaints by regulators, competitors, and consumers, to its main offices.

102. The Product did not conform to its affirmations of fact and promises due to defendant's actions and were not merchantable because they were not fit to pass in the trade as advertised.

103. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Negligent Misrepresentation

104. Defendant had a duty to truthfully represent the Product, which it breached.

105. This duty is based on defendant's position, holding itself out as having special knowledge and experience this area, as custodians of the Häagen-Dazs brand.

106. The representations took advantage of consumers' cognitive shortcuts made at the point-of-sale and their trust in defendant, an iconic brand.

107. Plaintiff and class members reasonably and justifiably relied on these negligent misrepresentations and omissions, which served to induce and did induce, their purchase of the Product.

108. Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased the Product or paid as much if the true facts had been known, suffering damages.

#### Fraud

109. Defendant misrepresented and/or omitted the attributes and qualities of the Product, that they contained chocolate ingredients and not an appreciable amount of chocolate substitutes

110. Defendant's fraudulent intent is evinced by its knowledge that the Product was not consistent with its representations.

#### Unjust Enrichment

111. Defendant obtained benefits and monies because the Product was not as represented

and expected, to the detriment and impoverishment of plaintiff and class members, who seek restitution and disgorgement of inequitably obtained profits.

Jury Demand and Prayer for Relief

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment:

1. Declaring this a proper class action, certifying plaintiff as representative and the undersigned as counsel for the class;
2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctive relief by directing defendant to correct the challenged practices to comply with the law;
3. Injunctive relief to remove, correct and/or refrain from the challenged practices and representations, and restitution and disgorgement for members of the class pursuant to the applicable laws;
4. Awarding monetary damages, statutory damages pursuant to any statutory claims and interest pursuant to the common law and other statutory claims;
5. Awarding costs and expenses, including reasonable fees for plaintiff's attorneys and experts; and
6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 28, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

Sheehan & Associates, P.C.

/s/Spencer Sheehan

60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409

Great Neck NY 11021-3104

Tel: (516) 268-7080

Fax: (516) 234-7800

spencer@spencersheehan.com

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Patricia Zurliene, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Clinton (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-3104 (516) 268-7080

DEFENDANTS

Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship and incorporation status. Includes options for Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, and Foreign Nation.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions .

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, TORTS, PERSONAL INJURY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Brief description of cause: false advertising

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,000. CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE June 28, 2021 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/Spencer Sheehan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Southern District of Illinois

Patricia Zurliene, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc.,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-00747

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream, Inc.
c/o The Corporation Trust Company
1209 N Orange St
Wilmington DE 19801-1120

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are: Sheehan & Associates, P.C., 60 Cuttermill Rd Ste 409 Great Neck NY 11021-
3104 (516) 268-7080

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk



AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-00747

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: